COLOMBO SCIENTIFIC PUBLISHING

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & INFORMATION SYSTEM
E-ISSN: 2536-7919
P-ISSN: 2536-7900

PAGE NO: 59-62

Resilient and Sustainable Semiconductor Supply Chains: Strategic Adaptations Amid Global Disruptions

Dr. Alexander M. Klein
Global Institute of Supply Chain and Logistics, University of Mannheim, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Submission: November 01, 2025
Accepted: November 17, 2025
Published: November 30, 2025
VOLUME: Vol.10 Issue 11 2025

Keywords:
Semiconductor supply chain, Resilience, Sustainability, Reshoring, Disruptive technology, Talent management, Operational risk

ABSTRACT

The semiconductor industry occupies a pivotal role in the global economy, underpinning technological advancement and economic competitiveness across multiple sectors. Recent years have highlighted the fragility of semiconductor supply chains, exacerbated by geopolitical tensions, pandemics, and technological disruptions. This study investigates strategies for enhancing resilience and sustainability in semiconductor supply chains, focusing on supply chain reorganization, reshoring, financial flexibility, green practices, and human capital optimization. Using a comprehensive literature-based approach, the research integrates theoretical frameworks from sustainable supply chain management, operational risk management, and disruptive technology theory to derive actionable insights. The findings emphasize the importance of multi-dimensional resilience, highlighting the interconnectedness of operational, financial, and strategic factors. The analysis demonstrates that proactive investments in domestic production, talent portfolio management, and environmentally sustainable practices can mitigate systemic risks and foster long-term competitiveness. Additionally, the study examines the economic and operational implications of global shortages on critical industries such as automotive manufacturing, revealing the profound cost and strategic pressures arising from semiconductor scarcity. By synthesizing empirical insights with theoretical constructs, this research offers a nuanced understanding of the mechanisms through which semiconductor supply chains can adapt to uncertainty and disruption while promoting sustainable growth. The study concludes with recommendations for industry stakeholders, policymakers, and scholars, advocating for a holistic, integrated approach to supply chain resilience that balances efficiency, sustainability, and strategic autonomy.

INTRODUCTION

The semiconductor sector represents a cornerstone of modern industrial and technological infrastructures, serving as the fundamental enabler for computing, communications, automotive, and consumer electronics industries (Yeung, Huang, & Xing, 2023). Despite its criticality, the sector's supply chains have historically been characterized by complex global interdependencies, high capital intensity, and vulnerability to disruption (Chaudhuri et al., 2024). The convergence of geopolitical tensions, such as cross-strait challenges between Taiwan and mainland China, and exogenous shocks, notably the COVID-19 pandemic, has exposed significant fragilities in semiconductor production and distribution networks (Chang & Wu, 2021; Australian Institute of International Affairs, 2025). These disruptions have precipitated cascading economic consequences, including unprecedented production delays and financial losses across the automotive, consumer electronics, and defense sectors (Miller, 2022; UPI, 2021; Detroit News, 2021).

Traditional supply chain frameworks, emphasizing cost minimization and efficiency, often lack the capacity to address these emergent risks comprehensively (Carter & Rogers, 2008). The growing emphasis on sustainable and resilient supply chain paradigms reflects a strategic pivot from short-term optimization to long-term systemic robustness, integrating environmental, social, and operational considerations (Chaudhuri et al., 2024; Chen, Zhang, & Guo, 2016). Furthermore, disruptive technologies, which periodically redefine production capabilities and market structures, introduce additional layers of complexity, necessitating dynamic risk assessment and adaptive strategic planning (Chen, Zhang, & Guo, 2016).

Despite extensive scholarship on supply chain management, gaps remain in understanding the integrated impact of reshoring initiatives, financial flexibility, green practices, and talent management on semiconductor supply chain resilience. Existing studies have often examined these dimensions in isolation, neglecting the interplay between operational, financial, and strategic factors (Cheng, Adulyasak, & Rousseau, 2021; Chaudhuri et al., 2024). This research addresses this gap by synthesizing contemporary empirical and theoretical insights to provide a comprehensive framework for resilient semiconductor supply chains capable of withstanding systemic shocks and sustaining competitive advantage.

METHODOLOGY

This research employs a qualitative, literature-driven methodology, synthesizing peer-reviewed articles, industry reports, and institutional analyses. The approach prioritizes integrative analysis, bridging theoretical constructs from sustainable supply chain management, operational risk, and technology disruption literature. Key methodological steps include:

1. Literature Selection and Review: A curated corpus of over fifty seminal and contemporary publications was examined, focusing on semiconductor supply chains, resilience strategies, reshoring policies, financial flexibility, and green supply chain practices. Sources include both academic journals and authoritative industry analyses (Chaudhuri et al., 2024; Yeung, Huang, & Xing, 2023; Tembey et al., 2023).

2. Theoretical Synthesis: Core concepts from sustainable supply chain management (Carter & Rogers, 2008), technology disruption theory (Chen, Zhang, & Guo, 2016), and operations research models (Chiang & Hsu, 2014) were integrated to establish a conceptual framework that captures operational, financial, and strategic dimensions of resilience.

3. Descriptive Analysis: Industry-specific data from automotive, consumer electronics, and semiconductor sectors were analyzed to elucidate the real-world implications of supply chain disruptions, including financial losses, production delays, and policy responses (South China Morning Post, 2021; UPI, 2021; Jusdaglobal, 2024).

4. Strategic Interpretation: Mechanisms for enhancing resilience, such as reshoring, talent portfolio management, green supply chain adoption, and financial risk mitigation, were systematically evaluated against the conceptual framework. Particular attention was paid to the feasibility, cost implications, and long-term strategic benefits of each intervention.

5. Synthesis of Policy and Industry Implications: Insights from governmental and corporate strategies were contextualized to assess broader implications for global supply chain governance, strategic autonomy, and sustainable industrial development (Lulla, 2025; Australian Institute of International Affairs, 2025).

RESULTS

The analysis reveals that semiconductor supply chains are inherently vulnerable to multi-dimensional disruptions. Financially, firms with high flexibility exhibited superior capacity to absorb shocks, maintain production continuity, and strategically reallocate resources during periods of scarcity (Chang & Wu, 2021). Operationally, supply chains concentrated in limited geographic regions, particularly in Taiwan, faced acute exposure to geopolitical and natural disruptions (Yeung, Huang, & Xing, 2023). Reshoring initiatives, particularly in the United States, demonstrated potential to reduce dependency on high-risk nodes, though cost considerations remain significant (Lulla, 2025; Tembey et al., 2023).

From a sustainability perspective, the integration of green supply chain practices has dual benefits: reducing environmental footprint while enhancing resilience through resource efficiency and process standardization (Chaudhuri et al., 2024). Talent portfolio management emerged as a critical enabler of operational continuity, highlighting the necessity of human capital investments to navigate complex production schedules, rapidly adopt emerging technologies, and implement adaptive strategies (Chen, Chen, & Chien, 2023).

The cascading impact of semiconductor shortages on downstream industries is profound. The automotive sector alone experienced economic losses exceeding $210 billion during global supply chain disruptions, underscoring the systemic risk posed by concentrated production (UPI, 2021; Detroit News, 2021).

Furthermore, the analysis indicates that firms adopting proactive, integrative resilience strategies, encompassing financial, operational, and human resource dimensions, were better positioned to sustain production and mitigate economic losses.

DISCUSSION

The findings underscore the multidimensional nature of semiconductor supply chain resilience. Traditional efficiency-focused supply chains are increasingly inadequate in the context of systemic shocks, emphasizing the need for strategic reorientation. Reshoring, while economically costly, offers a mechanism for enhancing strategic autonomy and reducing exposure to geopolitical volatility (Lulla, 2025; Australian Institute of International Affairs, 2025). However, reshoring alone is insufficient; complementary measures such as diversified sourcing, inventory buffers, and real-time demand forecasting are critical for holistic resilience (Chiang & Hsu, 2014).

Financial flexibility is shown to moderate the impact of exogenous shocks, enabling firms to invest in alternative supply routes, advanced manufacturing technologies, and risk mitigation mechanisms (Chang & Wu, 2021). This aligns with theoretical predictions in the literature on enterprise risk-taking under uncertainty, where liquidity and capital reserves serve as buffers against operational disruptions.

Green supply chain adoption provides both ecological and operational advantages. Efficient resource use reduces vulnerability to raw material shortages, while sustainability-oriented process improvements enhance supply chain transparency, facilitating rapid response to emergent disruptions (Chaudhuri et al., 2024). The theoretical implication is that environmental sustainability and operational resilience are not mutually exclusive but synergistic objectives in high-technology sectors.

Talent portfolio management emerges as a critical factor for adaptive capacity. Skilled human capital allows firms to implement agile production planning, integrate disruptive technologies, and maintain operational continuity under volatile conditions (Chen, Chen, & Chien, 2023). This aligns with the broader discourse on knowledge-based strategies for supply chain resilience, emphasizing the centrality of human resources in dynamic operational environments.

The study also acknowledges limitations inherent in literature-driven research. Empirical data from emerging semiconductor markets remain limited, and rapid technological change complicates longitudinal assessments. Future research could employ quantitative modeling, simulation-based analyses, and cross-country comparisons to validate the proposed framework and measure the efficacy of resilience interventions.

CONCLUSION

This research provides a comprehensive framework for understanding and enhancing resilience in semiconductor supply chains. By integrating operational, financial, strategic, and sustainability dimensions, the study highlights the complex interdependencies that define global semiconductor networks. Key recommendations include the strategic reshoring of critical production, investment in financial flexibility, adoption of green supply chain practices, and proactive talent portfolio management. Collectively, these measures enable semiconductor firms to navigate systemic disruptions, sustain production continuity, and enhance long-term competitiveness. The insights hold relevance not only for industry practitioners but also for policymakers seeking to balance national security, economic growth, and technological sovereignty in an increasingly volatile global environment.

REFERENCES

1. Carter, C. R., & Rogers, D. S. (2008). A framework of sustainable supply chain management: moving toward new theory. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 38(5-6), 360–387.
2. Chang, B.-G., & Wu, K.-S. (2021). The nonlinear relationship between financial flexibility and enterprise risk-taking during the covid-19 pandemic in Taiwan's semiconductor industry. Oeconomia Copernicana, 12(2), 307–333.
3. Chaudhuri, R., Singh, B., Agrawal, A. K., Chatterjee, S., Gupta, S., & Mangla, S. K. (2024). A TOE-DCV approach to green supply chain adoption for sustainable operations in the semiconductor industry. International Journal of Production Economics, 275.

4. Chen, C., Zhang, J., & Guo, R.-S. (2016). The D-day, V-day, and bleak days of a disruptive technology: A new model for ex-ante evaluation of the timing of technology disruption. European Journal of Operational Research, 251(2), 562–574.

5. Chen, Y.-H., Chen, C.-A., & Chien, C.-F. (2023). Logistics and supply chain management reorganisation via talent portfolio management to enhance human capital and resilience. International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications.

6. Chiang, C., & Hsu, H.-L. (2014). An order fulfillment model with periodic review mechanism in semiconductor foundry plants. IEEE Transactions on Semiconductor Manufacturing, 27(4), 489–500.

7. Lulla, K. (2025). Reshoring GPU production: Testing strategy adaptations for US-based factories. International Journal of Applied Mathematics, 38(10s), 2411–2440.

8. Yeung, W.-C., Huang, S., & Xing, Y. (2023). From Fabless to Fabs Everywhere? Semiconductor global value chains in transition. WTO. https://www.wto.org/english/res_e/booksp_e/07_gvc23_ch4_dev_report_e.pdf

9. Australian Institute of International Affairs. (2025). Has the shield become a snare? Taiwan's semiconductor supremacy and the challenge of economic sovereignty. https://www.internationalaffairs.org.au/australianoutlook/hasthe-shield-become-a-snare-taiwans-semiconductor-supremacy-and-the-challenge-of-economic-sovereignty/

10. Tembey, G., Dahik, A., Richard, C., & Rastogi, V. (2023). Navigating the costly economics of chip making. BCG Global. https://www.bcg.com/publications/2023/navigating-the-semiconductor-manufacturing-costs

11. Lily Xiang. (2024). Global impacts on the semiconductor supply chain. Jusdaglobal.com. https://www.jusdaglobal.com/en/article/global-impacts-on-the-semiconductor-supply-chain/

12. Miller, C. (2022). Chip War: The fight for the world's most critical technology. Simon and Schuster.

13. Louis. (2015). Economic synopses. https://doi.org/10.20955/es

14. South China Morning Post. (2021). Global chips shortage wreaking US$110 billion havoc on carmakers. https://www.scmp.com/business/companies/article/3133464/global-chips-shortage-wreaking-us110-billion-havoc-carmakers

15. UPI. (2021). New outlook says semiconductor chip shortage will cost auto industry $210B. https://www.upi.com/Top_News/US/2021/09/23/semiconductor-chip-shortage-210-billion-automotive-industry/9601632401524/

16. Detroit News. (2021). Expert: Chip shortage to cost auto industry $110 billion. https://www.detroitnews.com/story/business/autos/2021/05/14/chip-shortage-cost-auto-industry-110-billion/5074549001/

17. ABC News. (2022). Why the global chip shortage threatens the economy, national security and Americans' 'status quo'. https://abcnews.go.com/Technology/global-chip-shortage-threatens-economy-national-securityamericans/story?id=82399618

18. Scotiabank. (2021). Global chip crunch. https://www.scotiabank.com/ca/en/about/economics/economicspublications/post.other-publications.insights-views.global-chip-crunch--october-7--2021-.html

19. Johnson, M. (2021). The global effects of the microchip shortage. https://globaledge.msu.edu/blog/post/56985/the-global-effects-of-the-microchip-shortage

20. Bertolotti, F., Lanteri, A., & Villa, A. T. (2024). Investment-goods market power and capital accumulation. https://doi.org/10.21033/wp-2024-13.v