COLOMBO SCIENTIFIC PUBLISHING

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & INFORMATION SYSTEM
E-ISSN: 2536-7919
P-ISSN: 2536-7900

PAGE NO: 14-21

Generative AI–Driven Hyperautomation for Financial and Enterprise Workflows: A Process Mining–Centric Theoretical and Empirical Synthesis

Dr. Lucas M. Verhoeven
School of Computing and Information Systems, The University of Melbourne, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Submission: December 01, 2025
Accepted: December 17, 2025
Published: January 05, 2026
VOLUME: Vol.11 Issue 01 2026

Keywords:
Hyperautomation, Generative Artificial Intelligence, Process Mining, Financial Workflows, Intelligent Automation, Digital Enterprise Transformation

ABSTRACT

The accelerating convergence of generative artificial intelligence, robotic process automation, advanced analytics, and process mining has catalyzed the emergence of hyperautomation as a dominant paradigm for transforming enterprise and financial workflows. Unlike earlier automation waves that emphasized task-level efficiency and labor substitution, contemporary hyperautomation frameworks aspire to end-to-end cognitive orchestration of complex organizational processes, integrating decision intelligence, learning systems, and adaptive governance. This article develops a comprehensive, publication-ready theoretical and empirical synthesis of hyperautomation with a particular emphasis on financial workflows, drawing strictly on the provided scholarly and practitioner-oriented literature. Anchored in recent conceptual advances, especially the integration of generative artificial intelligence with process mining for financial workflow empowerment, the study situates hyperautomation within broader debates on intelligent automation, the future of work, and digital enterprise transformation (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025).

The article advances three interrelated objectives. First, it constructs an extensive theoretical foundation tracing the historical evolution from robotic process automation to hyperautomation, highlighting shifts in epistemological assumptions about work, cognition, and organizational intelligence (Madakam et al., 2022; Lasso-Rodriguez & Winkler, 2020). Second, it elaborates a rigorous text-based methodological framework suitable for analyzing hyperautomation initiatives in financial and enterprise contexts, emphasizing interpretive synthesis, comparative conceptual analysis, and design-oriented reasoning grounded in the literature (Kedziora, 2022). Third, it presents a deeply elaborated results and discussion narrative that interprets emergent patterns, capabilities, and tensions associated with generative AI–enabled hyperautomation, including governance risks, workforce implications, scalability challenges, and ethical considerations (Man, 2022; Coombs et al., 2020).

Throughout the article, financial workflows are treated not merely as operational pipelines but as socio-technical systems characterized by regulatory constraints, data heterogeneity, and strategic significance. The integration of process mining and generative AI is analyzed as a transformative mechanism that enables continuous discovery, simulation, and optimization of financial processes while simultaneously raising new questions about explainability, accountability, and organizational trust (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025). By engaging critically with supporting domains such as ERP transformation, big data analytics, reinforcement learning–based automation, and cloud-scale data infrastructures, the article positions hyperautomation as a foundational capability for the next generation of digital enterprises (Rajan Rauniyar, 2024; Yerra, 2025).

The contribution of this study lies in its depth of theoretical elaboration and its integrative perspective, which bridges fragmented discourses across information systems, automation research, and organizational

studies. Rather than offering prescriptive checklists or narrow case descriptions, the article provides a holistic analytical framework that scholars and practitioners can use to understand, evaluate, and responsibly advance hyperautomation initiatives in financial and enterprise settings. In doing so, it responds directly to the growing demand for rigorous, conceptually grounded research that can guide hyperautomation beyond hype toward sustainable and ethically informed organizational value creation (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025; Sujatha et al., 2023)

INTRODUCTION

The concept of automation has undergone a profound transformation over the past several decades, evolving from mechanistic task execution toward increasingly intelligent, adaptive, and context-aware systems embedded within organizational processes (Madakam et al., 2022). Early forms of automation, rooted in industrial engineering and information technology, primarily targeted efficiency gains through the replacement or augmentation of repetitive human labor. In contrast, contemporary enterprises face a far more complex operational landscape characterized by data-intensive decision-making, regulatory scrutiny, and rapidly shifting market conditions, particularly in financial domains where accuracy, transparency, and speed are simultaneously demanded (Kedziora, 2022). Within this context, hyperautomation has emerged as a unifying paradigm that seeks to orchestrate multiple automation technologies—robotic process automation, artificial intelligence, machine learning, process mining, and advanced analytics—into cohesive, end-to-end systems capable of continuous learning and optimization (Madakam et al., 2022).

Financial workflows represent a particularly salient arena for examining hyperautomation, as they embody both high-volume transactional processes and knowledge-intensive decision activities that span organizational boundaries (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025). Traditional automation approaches in finance have often focused narrowly on discrete tasks such as invoice processing, reconciliations, or data entry, yielding incremental efficiency improvements but failing to address systemic inefficiencies or decision bottlenecks embedded in broader process architectures (Lasso-Rodriguez & Winkler, 2020). Hyperautomation, by contrast, reframes financial workflows as dynamic systems whose structure and performance can be continuously discovered, analyzed, and reconfigured through the integration of process mining and generative artificial intelligence (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025). This shift signals not merely a technological upgrade but a fundamental reorientation in how organizations conceptualize control, intelligence, and value creation in financial operations.

The theoretical roots of hyperautomation can be traced to multiple disciplinary traditions, including business process management, artificial intelligence, and socio-technical systems theory, each of which contributes distinct assumptions and analytical lenses (Coombs et al., 2020). Business process management emphasizes the modeling, measurement, and optimization of workflows, historically relying on static representations and manual redesign efforts. Artificial intelligence introduces adaptive learning, pattern recognition, and generative capabilities that challenge static process models by enabling systems to infer, predict, and even propose novel process configurations (Madakam et al., 2022). Socio-technical perspectives, meanwhile, foreground the interdependence of technological systems and human actors, cautioning against overly deterministic narratives of automation that neglect organizational culture, power dynamics, and ethical considerations (Man, 2022). Hyperautomation emerges at the intersection of these traditions, aspiring to integrate their strengths while contending with their tensions.

A critical inflection point in the evolution of hyperautomation lies in the incorporation of process mining as a foundational capability for empirical process discovery and analysis (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025). Unlike conventional process modeling techniques that rely on normative assumptions or incomplete documentation, process mining leverages event logs to reconstruct actual process flows as they occur in operational systems. This empirical grounding is particularly valuable in financial contexts, where discrepancies between documented procedures and enacted practices can have significant compliance and risk implications (Kedziora, 2022). When combined with generative artificial intelligence, process mining transcends descriptive analytics to enable prescriptive and even creative interventions, such as the

automated generation of optimized workflow variants or explanatory narratives tailored to different stakeholder groups (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025).

Despite growing enthusiasm for hyperautomation in both academic and practitioner communities, the literature remains fragmented and uneven in its theoretical depth, especially with respect to financial workflows (Sujatha et al., 2023). Many studies emphasize technological capabilities or isolated use cases without sufficiently interrogating underlying assumptions about organizational learning, governance, or the distribution of agency between humans and machines (Lasso-Rodriguez & Winkler, 2020). Others focus on workforce displacement or reskilling debates without adequately considering how hyperautomation reshapes the epistemic foundations of decision-making itself (Man, 2022). As a result, there exists a substantive literature gap concerning the integrated analysis of generative AI–driven hyperautomation frameworks that explicitly foreground process mining as a mediating mechanism between data, intelligence, and organizational action (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025).

This article addresses that gap by offering an extensive, theoretically grounded examination of hyperautomation for financial and enterprise workflows, anchored in the provided references and structured to maximize analytical depth rather than brevity. The central research problem guiding the study concerns how generative artificial intelligence and process mining can be coherently integrated within hyperautomation frameworks to enhance financial workflows while navigating associated risks, limitations, and socio-organizational implications (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025). By synthesizing insights across information systems research, automation studies, and emerging empirical work on intelligent enterprise systems, the article seeks to contribute a holistic perspective that advances scholarly understanding and informs responsible practice (Coombs et al., 2020).

The remainder of the article unfolds through a detailed methodological exposition, a richly elaborated results narrative grounded in interpretive analysis, and an extended discussion that situates the findings within broader theoretical and practical debates on the future of work and digital enterprise transformation (Man, 2022). Throughout, the analysis remains attentive to the distinctive characteristics of financial workflows, including their regulatory embeddedness, data sensitivity, and strategic centrality, while also drawing connections to adjacent domains such as supply chain analytics, ERP transformation, and reinforcement learning–based automation (Yerra, 2025; Rajan Rauniyar, 2024). In doing so, the article aims to demonstrate that hyperautomation is not merely an assemblage of technologies but a complex socio-technical phenomenon whose implications extend far beyond efficiency gains toward the reconstitution of organizational intelligence itself (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025).

METHODOLOGY

The methodological orientation of this study is grounded in qualitative, interpretive, and design-oriented research traditions that are particularly well suited to the analysis of emergent technological paradigms such as hyperautomation (Kedziora, 2022). Given the conceptual breadth and integrative ambition of the research problem, a purely empirical or experimental methodology would risk premature closure or oversimplification of complex socio-technical dynamics (Coombs et al., 2020). Instead, the study adopts a structured literature synthesis and theoretical elaboration approach, drawing exclusively on the provided references to construct, compare, and critically interrogate conceptual frameworks related to generative AI–driven hyperautomation in financial workflows (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025).

At the core of the methodology lies an interpretive synthesis process that treats the referenced works not as isolated findings but as interconnected contributions to an evolving discourse on intelligent automation (Madakam et al., 2022). This process involves iterative reading, thematic coding, and comparative analysis of conceptual claims, assumptions, and implications articulated across the literature. Particular attention is paid to points of convergence and divergence among authors regarding the scope, objectives, and risks of hyperautomation, especially as they pertain to financial and enterprise contexts (Lasso-Rodriguez & Winkler, 2020). By foregrounding interpretive coherence rather than statistical aggregation, the methodology aligns with established practices in information systems research for theorizing about complex, multi-level phenomena (Coombs et al., 2020).

A distinctive feature of the methodological design is its explicit anchoring in the generative AI and process mining framework articulated by Krishnan and Bhat (2025). This work serves as a conceptual linchpin for the study, providing a detailed account of how financial workflows can be empowered through the

integration of generative intelligence and empirical process discovery. Rather than treating this framework as a fixed model to be validated, the methodology engages it as a generative theoretical artifact that can be extended, critiqued, and situated within broader hyperautomation debates (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025). This design-oriented stance reflects the recognition that hyperautomation is itself a moving target, shaped by ongoing advances in AI capabilities and organizational practices (Madakam et al., 2022).

The methodological process also incorporates cross-domain contextualization, drawing selectively on literature related to ERP transformation, big data analytics, and advanced automation algorithms to enrich the analysis of hyperautomation capabilities and constraints (Rajan Rauniyar, 2024; Yerra, 2025). These domains are not treated as empirical cases but as conceptual reference points that illuminate how hyperautomation principles manifest across different enterprise functions. For example, discussions of anomaly detection in order tracking systems or reinforcement learning–based portfolio management are used to illustrate the expanding scope of intelligent automation beyond rule-based execution toward adaptive, learning-driven decision support (Yerra, 2023; Praveenraj et al., 2025). This comparative strategy enhances the robustness of the analysis by situating financial workflow hyperautomation within a broader ecosystem of intelligent enterprise systems.

Methodological rigor is further supported through reflexive consideration of limitations inherent in literature-based synthesis (Man, 2022). The exclusive reliance on provided references constrains the empirical diversity and may underrepresent certain emerging perspectives or critical voices in the broader hyperautomation discourse. However, this constraint is also embraced as an opportunity to demonstrate the depth of theoretical elaboration that can be achieved through sustained engagement with a carefully curated corpus (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025). By making underlying assumptions explicit and systematically exploring their implications, the methodology seeks to mitigate risks of selective interpretation or conceptual bias (Coombs et al., 2020).

Ethical and normative considerations are treated as integral to the methodological framework rather than as peripheral add-ons (Man, 2022). Throughout the analysis, claims about efficiency, intelligence, or transformation are interrogated in light of their potential impacts on human agency, accountability, and organizational governance. This reflective stance aligns with calls in the literature for more responsible and human-centered approaches to intelligent automation research (Kedziora, 2022). Methodologically, this entails juxtaposing optimistic narratives of hyperautomation with counterarguments that emphasize uncertainty, unintended consequences, and the persistence of human judgment in complex financial decision-making (Lasso-Rodriguez & Winkler, 2020).

In sum, the methodology employed in this study is intentionally expansive, interpretive, and theory-driven, designed to support the article's objective of producing a comprehensive, publication-ready analysis of generative AI–driven hyperautomation for financial workflows (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025). By privileging depth of reasoning over empirical breadth, and by integrating insights across multiple strands of the provided literature, the methodological approach lays a robust foundation for the results and discussion that follow (Madakam et al., 2022).

RESULTS

The interpretive synthesis of the literature yields several interrelated findings concerning the nature, capabilities, and implications of hyperautomation when applied to financial and enterprise workflows, with generative artificial intelligence and process mining emerging as pivotal enablers (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025). One central result is the identification of a qualitative shift in how automation is conceptualized, moving from discrete task execution toward holistic workflow intelligence that encompasses discovery, analysis, optimization, and governance (Madakam et al., 2022). This shift is consistently reflected across the literature, suggesting that hyperautomation represents not merely an incremental extension of robotic process automation but a distinct paradigm characterized by cognitive integration and systemic scope (Lasso-Rodriguez & Winkler, 2020).

A second key result concerns the role of process mining as an empirical foundation for hyperautomation in financial contexts (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025). The literature indicates that process mining enables organizations to surface latent process structures, bottlenecks, and compliance deviations that remain invisible under traditional modeling approaches (Kedziora, 2022). When integrated into hyperautomation

frameworks, these insights facilitate data-driven decision-making and continuous improvement cycles that are particularly valuable in financial workflows subject to regulatory oversight and audit requirements (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025). The result is a more transparent and adaptable process landscape that supports both operational efficiency and governance objectives.

The analysis further reveals that generative artificial intelligence introduces a novel layer of abstraction and interaction within hyperautomation systems (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025). Unlike conventional AI techniques focused on prediction or classification, generative models are capable of producing narratives, recommendations, and simulated scenarios that can mediate between complex data structures and human stakeholders (Madakam et al., 2022). In financial workflows, this capability manifests as automated explanations of process deviations, scenario-based forecasting, and even the generation of alternative workflow designs, thereby enhancing interpretability and strategic engagement (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025). The literature suggests that such generative affordances may help bridge the longstanding gap between technical automation systems and managerial decision-making (Coombs et al., 2020).

Another significant result pertains to the organizational implications of hyperautomation for work roles and skills in financial domains (Man, 2022). Rather than wholesale displacement of human labor, the literature indicates a reconfiguration of roles toward oversight, exception handling, and strategic analysis, with automation systems assuming responsibility for routine execution and data synthesis (Lasso-Rodriguez & Winkler, 2020). This finding aligns with broader discussions of the future of work that emphasize task recomposition rather than simple substitution, although it also highlights persistent challenges related to reskilling, trust, and human–machine collaboration (Man, 2022). Hyperautomation thus emerges as a catalyst for organizational change that extends beyond technical implementation into the realms of culture and governance (Kedziora, 2022).

The results also underscore the importance of scalable data infrastructures and analytics platforms in enabling hyperautomation at enterprise scale (Yerra, 2025). Financial workflows generate vast volumes of heterogeneous data, and the literature indicates that cloud-based data lakes and advanced analytics pipelines are essential for supporting real-time process mining and AI-driven decision support (Yerra, 2025; Yerra, 2023). Without such infrastructural foundations, hyperautomation initiatives risk fragmentation or performance bottlenecks that undermine their transformative potential (Madakam et al., 2022). This finding situates hyperautomation within a broader technological ecosystem rather than as a standalone solution.

Finally, the synthesis reveals a set of persistent tensions and limitations associated with hyperautomation, particularly regarding explainability, accountability, and ethical governance (Man, 2022). While generative AI and process mining enhance visibility and adaptability, they also introduce complexity that can obscure causal relationships or diffuse responsibility across human and machine actors (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025). The literature reflects ongoing debate over how to balance automation-driven efficiency with the need for human judgment and regulatory compliance in financial decision-making (Coombs et al., 2020). These tensions do not negate the value of hyperautomation but highlight the need for careful design and governance frameworks that align technological capabilities with organizational values (Kedziora, 2022).

DISCUSSION

The results of this study invite a deeper theoretical interpretation of hyperautomation as a socio-technical phenomenon that reshapes not only operational workflows but also the epistemic foundations of organizational decision-making, particularly in financial contexts (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025). At a theoretical level, hyperautomation challenges traditional distinctions between execution and cognition by embedding learning, reasoning, and generative capabilities directly within process infrastructures (Madakam et al., 2022). This convergence raises fundamental questions about how organizations define intelligence, control, and accountability when decision-relevant knowledge is increasingly produced by hybrid human–machine systems (Coombs et al., 2020).

One prominent theoretical implication concerns the reconceptualization of business processes from static representations to evolving knowledge artifacts (Lasso-Rodriguez & Winkler, 2020). Process mining, as highlighted in the results, enables continuous empirical reconstruction of workflows, thereby destabilizing the notion of a single “correct” process model (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025). When combined with generative AI, these reconstructed processes become sites of experimentation and imagination, where alternative futures

can be simulated and evaluated (Madakam et al., 2022). This dynamic view aligns with contemporary theories of organizational learning that emphasize iteration, reflexivity, and adaptation, suggesting that hyperautomation may serve as an infrastructural substrate for learning organizations (Man, 2022).

At the same time, the discussion must grapple with counterarguments that caution against overestimating the autonomy or reliability of hyperautomation systems, particularly in high-stakes financial domains (Kedziora, 2022). Critics argue that generative AI models may produce plausible but misleading outputs, and that process mining insights are only as valid as the underlying data quality and logging practices (Coombs et al., 2020). From this perspective, hyperautomation risks creating an illusion of control or understanding that masks deeper uncertainties and biases embedded in data and algorithms (Man, 2022). The literature thus underscores the importance of human oversight and critical judgment as enduring components of financial workflow governance, even in highly automated environments (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025).

Another dimension of the discussion concerns the strategic implications of hyperautomation for enterprise competitiveness and differentiation (Madakam et al., 2022). By enabling faster cycle times, improved compliance, and more informed decision-making, hyperautomation frameworks promise significant value creation for organizations capable of implementing them effectively (Rajan Rauniyar, 2024). However, the literature suggests that these benefits are contingent on complementary organizational capabilities, including data governance, change management, and cross-functional collaboration (Kedziora, 2022). Hyperautomation thus emerges not as a plug-and-play solution but as a strategic capability that must be cultivated over time (Lasso-Rodriguez & Winkler, 2020).

The workforce implications of hyperautomation warrant sustained attention in the discussion, particularly in light of ongoing debates about automation and employment (Man, 2022). While the results indicate a shift toward higher-level human roles, the transition is neither automatic nor frictionless. Financial professionals may experience uncertainty or resistance as automation systems encroach on domains traditionally associated with expertise and judgment (Coombs et al., 2020). The literature emphasizes the need for intentional reskilling initiatives and participatory design approaches that involve workers in shaping hyperautomation systems, thereby fostering trust and alignment with organizational goals (Kedziora, 2022). Failure to address these human dimensions risks undermining the long-term sustainability of hyperautomation initiatives (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025).

From a governance perspective, hyperautomation introduces new challenges related to accountability, transparency, and regulatory compliance (Man, 2022). Financial workflows are subject to stringent oversight, and the integration of generative AI complicates traditional audit and control mechanisms (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025). The discussion highlights the importance of embedding explainability and traceability into hyperautomation architectures, leveraging process mining not only for optimization but also for compliance monitoring and forensic analysis (Kedziora, 2022). This dual use of process mining reinforces its centrality within hyperautomation frameworks and underscores its value beyond efficiency gains (Madakam et al., 2022).

The discussion also situates hyperautomation within broader technological trends such as cloud computing, big data analytics, and advanced machine learning (Yerra, 2025). These infrastructures enable the scalability and responsiveness required for enterprise-wide hyperautomation but also introduce dependencies and vulnerabilities related to data security and vendor lock-in (Yerra, 2023). The literature suggests that organizations must adopt a holistic view of their digital architecture, aligning hyperautomation initiatives with long-term platform strategies and risk management practices (Rajan Rauniyar, 2024). This systemic perspective reinforces the argument that hyperautomation is as much an architectural and organizational challenge as a technological one (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025).

Looking forward, the discussion identifies several avenues for future research that build on the findings of this study (Sujatha et al., 2023). These include empirical investigations of hyperautomation implementations in diverse financial contexts, comparative analyses of governance models, and theoretical explorations of human–machine cognition in generative AI–enabled workflows (Man, 2022). The literature also calls for interdisciplinary collaboration that brings together insights from information systems, organizational studies, ethics, and law to address the multifaceted implications of hyperautomation (Coombs et al., 2020). Such research efforts are essential for moving beyond hype and toward a mature

understanding of hyperautomation as a transformative but contested phenomenon (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025).

CONCLUSION

This article has presented an extensive, theoretically grounded examination of generative AI–driven hyperautomation for financial and enterprise workflows, drawing exclusively on the provided literature and emphasizing depth of analysis over brevity (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025). By synthesizing insights across business process management, artificial intelligence, and socio-technical systems research, the study has demonstrated that hyperautomation represents a qualitative shift in how organizations conceptualize and enact automation (Madakam et al., 2022). In financial contexts, the integration of process mining and generative artificial intelligence emerges as a particularly powerful mechanism for enhancing transparency, adaptability, and strategic decision-making (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025).

The analysis underscores that hyperautomation is not merely a technological assemblage but a complex organizational capability that reshapes workflows, roles, and governance structures (Lasso-Rodriguez & Winkler, 2020). While the potential benefits are substantial, including improved efficiency, compliance, and insight generation, they are accompanied by significant challenges related to explainability, workforce transition, and ethical accountability (Man, 2022). Addressing these challenges requires a holistic approach that integrates technical design with organizational learning and human-centered governance (Kedziora, 2022).

Ultimately, the article contributes to the scholarly discourse by providing a comprehensive conceptual framework that can inform both future research and responsible practice in hyperautomation (Sujatha et al., 2023). As generative AI and process mining capabilities continue to evolve, the need for rigorous, theoretically informed analysis will only intensify. By situating hyperautomation within a broader socio-technical and strategic context, this study offers a foundation for understanding its transformative potential and its enduring complexities (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025).

REFERENCES

1. Yerra, S. (2025). The role of Azure Data Lake in scalable and high-performance supply chain analytics. International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 11(1), 3668–3673. https://doi.org/10.32628/cseit25112483
2. Madakam, S., Holmukhe, R. M., & Revulagadda, R. K. (2022). The next generation intelligent automation: hyperautomation. JISTEM – Journal of Information Systems and Technology Management, 19, e202219009. https://doi.org/10.4301/S1807-1775202219009
3. Coombs, C., et al. (2020). The strategic impacts of intelligent automation for knowledge and service work: An interdisciplinary review. The Journal of Strategic Information Systems.
4. Yerra, S. (2023). Leveraging python and machine learning for anomaly detection in order tracking systems. International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 9(4), 500–506. https://doi.org/10.32628/cseit2311354
5. Krishnan, G., & Bhat, A. K. (2025). Empower financial workflows: Hyper automation framework utilizing generative artificial intelligence and process mining. SSRN.
6. Kedziora, D. (2022). Botsourcing, roboshoring or virtual backoffice? Perspectives on implementing robotic process automation (RPA) and artificial intelligence (AI). Human Technology, 18(2), 92–97. https://doi.org/10.14254/1795-6889.2022.18-2.1
7. Man, J. (2022). Towards the future of work: Managing the risks of AI and automation (Doctoral dissertation). Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
8. Rajan Rauniyar. (2024). The transformative impact of artificial intelligence on ERP software. Host Books. https://www.hostbooks.com/in/hb/blog/erp/ai-in-erp/
9. Lasso-Rodriguez, G., & Winkler, K. (2020). Hyperautomation to fulfil jobs rather than executing tasks: The BPM manager robot vs human case. Romanian Journal of Information Technology & Automatic Control, 30(3). https://doi.org/10.33436/v30i3y202001

10. Sujatha, V., et al. (2023). The rise of hyperautomation: A new frontier for business process automation. ResearchGate.

11. Praveenraj, D. D. W., Kurup, R. R., Almusawi, M., Lakhamraju, M. V., Arunkumar, B., & Mittal, P. (2025). Optimizing retail operations with big data-driven insights: From inventory management to personalized marketing. Proceedings of the International Conference on Automation and Computation.