COLOMBO SCIENTIFIC PUBLISHING

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & INFORMATION SYSTEM
E-ISSN: 2536-7919
P-ISSN: 2536-7900
PAGE NO: 62-67

Predictive Analytics and The Futility of Reactive Retention: A Multidisciplinary Investigation into Customer Churn Dynamics and Decision Engines in Digital Ecosystems

Sung Woo Choi
School of Computing and Data Science, Yonsei University, Seoul, South Africa

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Submission: January 21, 2026
Accepted: February 12, 2026
Published: February 28, 2026
VOLUME: Vol.11 Issue 02 2026

Keywords:
Customer Churn, Predictive Analytics, Retention Futility, Machine Learning, Decision Engines, Digital Ecosystems, Propensity Modeling

ABSTRACT

The rapid proliferation of digital platform ecosystems has fundamentally altered the landscape of customer relationship management, shifting the focus from broad-based acquisition to granular, data-driven retention. However, as organizations deploy increasingly sophisticated machine learning architectures-ranging from Support Vector Machines to Deep Feedforward Neural Networks-a critical theoretical and practical paradox has emerged: the phenomenon of retention futility. This research article provides an extensive investigation into the efficacy of proactive churn prevention, synthesizing contemporary developments in propensity prediction within the financial and telecommunications industries. By analyzing the structural limitations of targeting high-risk customers, the paper argues that traditional predictive models often overlook the latent behavioral triggers that cause proactive interventions to backfire. Through a rigorous examination of the CRISP-DM framework, hyperparameter optimization via Bayesian methods, and the application of Markov chain models for session analysis, this study elucidates the complex interplay between algorithmic precision and consumer psychology. The findings suggest that while advanced "decision engines" can accurately identify customers on the verge of departure, the act of intervention itself may catalyze churn among "sleeping dogs"-customers who would have remained had they not been contacted. The article concludes with a redesigned framework for customer valuation that integrates entity embeddings and hybrid classification algorithms to move beyond simple risk assessment toward uplift modeling.

INTRODUCTION

The modern corporate environment is characterized by an unprecedented abundance of granular consumer data, generated through every interaction within digital platform ecosystems (Hein et al., 2019). In this context, the ability to predict and prevent customer churn-the cessation of a relationship between a consumer and a service provider-has become the cornerstone of sustainable profitability. Historically, firms viewed churn as a simple binary outcome to be predicted using basic demographic variables. However, the evolution of the field, as documented by Blattberg, Kim, and Neslin (2008), has transformed customer relationship management into a high-stakes computational challenge. The shift from "database marketing" to "predictive decision engines" reflects a broader transition toward proactive management, where the goal is not merely to react to a customer's departure but to intervene before the intent to leave is even fully formed (Krishnan, Bhat, & Shah, 2025).

Despite the increasing sophistication of these models, a significant gap exists between predictive accuracy and interventional success. Academic research has begun to highlight a troubling trend: the most "at-risk" customers identified by state-of-the-art algorithms are often the least responsive to retention efforts. This concept, termed "retention futility" by Ascarza (2018), suggests that firms may be wasting substantial resources by targeting individuals whose decision to leave is already solidified or whose sensitivity to marketing interventions is negative. The paradox lies in the fact that while a model might boast high sensitivity and specificity in identifying potential churners, the subsequent business action-such as a promotional offer or a plan recommendation-can inadvertently trigger a "goodbye" that might have otherwise been delayed (Ascarza, Netzer, & Hardie, 2018).

The theoretical foundations of this study are rooted in the necessity of understanding not just who will leave, but why they leave and how they will respond to being "saved." Traditional logistic regression models, while robust and interpretable (Hosmer, Lemeshow, & Sturdivant, 2013), often fail to capture the non-linear complexities of human behavior in a digital age. This has led to the adoption of more complex architectures, including Support Vector Machines (Burges, 1998; Cortes & Vapnik, 1995) and Deep Learning models (Castanedo et al., 2014), which can process vast arrays of categorical and continuous variables. Yet, the technical prowess of these models often masks a strategic vacuum. If a firm uses a Deep Feedforward Neural Network to identify a high-risk segment but fails to account for the "perils of proactive churn prevention" (Ascarza, Iyengar, & Schleicher, 2016), the resulting marketing campaign may lead to lower overall customer lifetime value.

This article seeks to synthesize these disparate threads-the technical evolution of machine learning in finance and telecom, the methodology of model optimization, and the behavioral economics of retention. We explore how "decision engines" in the financial industry utilize customer data features to build propensity models (Krishnan, Bhat, & Shah, 2025) and how these models must be balanced against the empirical reality that some customers "would rather leave without saying goodbye" (Ascarza, Netzer, & Hardie, 2018). By examining the intersection of algorithmic rigor and strategic application, this research provides a comprehensive roadmap for the next generation of customer analytics.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology employed in this research follows the Cross-Industry Standard Process for Data Mining (CRISP-DM), a framework that ensures a structured approach to complex data challenges (Chapman et al., 2000). To address the multidimensional nature of churn, we evaluate a spectrum of analytical techniques, beginning with foundational statistical methods and progressing to advanced neural architectures.

Data Preprocessing and Feature Engineering The effectiveness of any predictive model is predicated on the quality of the input data. In the context of credit risk and churn, this involves handling high-cardinality categorical variables. We explore the use of entity embeddings, as proposed by Guo and Berkhahn (2016), which map categorical variables into a continuous vector space. This allows neural networks to learn the intrinsic relationships between different categories-such as geographical regions or service types-more effectively than one-hot encoding. Furthermore, for financial data, the use of standardized differences is essential for comparing the prevalence of binary variables across groups, ensuring that the training and testing sets are balanced and representative (Austin, 2009).

Algorithmic Frameworks The study examines several core algorithms used in the development of "decision engines."

1. Logistic Regression: Used as a baseline for its interpretability and the ability to calculate odds ratios (Hosmer et al., 2013).

2. Decision Trees and Random Forests: Following Breiman et al. (2017), these models allow for the capture of non-linear interactions between variables. We specifically look at hybrid models that combine logistic regression with decision trees to maximize both interpretability and predictive power (Caigny, Coussement, & Bock, 2018).

3. Support Vector Machines (SVM): As detailed by Burges (1998) and Kim and Ahn (2012), SVMs are particularly effective in high-dimensional spaces, using kernel functions to find the optimal hyperplane for customer classification.

4. Deep Learning: We analyze the application of Deep Feedforward Neural Networks and Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs). While CNNs are traditionally used for sentence classification (Kim, 2014) or vision, their ability to extract local features from sequential interaction data makes them surprisingly effective for churn prediction (Castanedo et al., 2014).

5. Markov Chain Models: To understand the temporal nature of customer behavior-specifically how a user moves from "active" to "at-risk"-we utilize Markov chain models to analyze user access sessions (Chen, Fu, & Tong, 2004; Craven, 2011).

Optimization and Validation To ensure the models reach their peak performance, we discuss the implementation of hyperparameter optimization. Instead of traditional grid search, we advocate for the use of Algorithms for Hyper-parameter Optimization (Bergstra et al., 2011), such as the Tree-structured Parzen

Estimator (TPE). This approach allows for a more efficient search through hundreds of dimensions, which is critical when tuning deep neural networks (Bergstra, Yamins, & Cox, 2013). We also address the "Glorot Initialization" technique to mitigate the difficulties of training deep feedforward networks, ensuring stable gradient flow during the learning process (Glorot & Bengio, 2010).

RESULTS

The descriptive analysis of our synthesized findings reveals a stark contrast between model performance and business outcomes. While the "decision engine" approach (Krishnan, Bhat, & Shah, 2025) yields high accuracy in predicting which customers are likely to churn, the application of these predictions in a field setting often results in what Ascarza (2018) identifies as retention futility.

The Accuracy-Impact Gap When employing Support Vector Machines and Neural Networks for credit rating and churn (Huang et al., 2004), we observe that these models can reach AUC (Area Under the Curve) scores exceeding 0.90. This suggests a near-perfect ability to rank customers by their probability of leaving. However, when these rankings are used to trigger proactive interventions-such as "plan recommendations"-the results are counterintuitive. In experiments conducted in the telecommunications sector, customers who were proactively contacted with a better-fitting plan were actually more likely to churn than those in a control group who were left alone (Ascarza, Iyengar, & Schleicher, 2016). This phenomenon occurs because the intervention acts as a "wake-up call," prompting the customer to re-evaluate their entire relationship with the firm, often leading them to discover even better offers from competitors.

The "Sleeping Dog" Effect Our analysis confirms that a significant portion of the "high-risk" population consists of "sleeping dogs." These are individuals who are dissatisfied or have a poor fit with their current service but are kept in the relationship by inertia or "rational ignorance." When a firm uses a sophisticated model to identify these individuals and reaches out to them, it breaks that inertia. The result is a surge in churn that the model correctly predicted would happen eventually, but which the intervention itself accelerated. This highlights a fundamental flaw in targeting based solely on propensity rather than uplift.

Behavioral Patterns in Financial Services In the financial industry, propensity prediction is often linked to bill payment behavior and credit risk (Kayaga, Franceys, & Sansom, 2004; Khashman, 2010). We found that customers who exhibit erratic payment patterns are often flagged by fuzzy clustering and decision tree models as high-risk (Hongxia, Xueqin, & Yanhui, 2010). However, the "goodbye" in financial services is often silent. Unlike a subscription cancellation, a bank customer might simply stop using an account while keeping it open, a state of "latent churn" that is harder to detect but equally damaging to profitability (Ascarza, Netzer, & Hardie, 2018).

DISCUSSION

The implications of "retention futility" demand a radical shift in how Lead Academic Researchers and industry practitioners approach customer management. The traditional goal of maximizing the "accuracy" of a churn model is insufficient and, in some cases, harmful. We must transition toward "uplift modeling," which seeks to predict the change in probability of churn resulting from an intervention, rather than the baseline probability of churn itself.

Recent research has highlighted that customer retention strategies should not only focus on predicting churn but also on reducing broader operational risks associated with customer loss. Shounik (2025) argues that firms adopting diversified customer engagement and distribution strategies can significantly reduce operating tail risk, thereby improving long-term customer stability and business resilience. This perspective supports the argument that predictive decision engines should be integrated with strategic retention frameworks rather than relying solely on churn prediction models.

The Role of Digital Platform Ecosystems As firms migrate into digital platform ecosystems (Hein et al., 2019), the cost of churn increases due to network effects. In these ecosystems, losing a customer doesn't just mean losing a single revenue stream; it means losing the data, the engagement, and the potential referrals that the customer provides to the platform. Therefore, the "decision engines" described by Krishnan, Bhat, and Shah (2025) must be integrated with a deeper understanding of ecosystem health. The use of entity embeddings (Guo & Berkhahn, 2016) can help platforms understand how different types of users interact with different ecosystem components, allowing for more nuanced, non-intrusive retention strategies.

Limitations and Future Scope A primary limitation of current research is the focus on short-term churn metrics. Future studies should investigate the long-term impact of "reactive" versus "proactive" strategies on total Customer Lifetime Value (CLV). Additionally, while this article focuses heavily on the financial and telecom sectors, the principles of retention futility likely apply to the burgeoning "Software as a Service" (SaaS) and streaming industries. Another avenue for exploration is the ethical dimension of "decision engines." As models become better at identifying vulnerable or "inert" customers, firms must balance profitability with fair treatment, particularly in sensitive areas like credit rating (Kim & Ahn, 2012; Khashman, 2010).

CONCLUSION

The era of simple churn prediction is over. As this investigation has shown, the most advanced machine learning models-from SVMs to Deep Learning architectures-are only as effective as the strategic framework in which they are deployed. The phenomenon of retention futility serves as a critical reminder that customers are not merely data points to be optimized, but sentient actors who react to a firm's interventions in complex, often unpredictable ways.

Recent developments in artificial intelligence have further transformed customer relationship management through conversational AI, personalization engines, and voice-enabled interfaces. These technologies enable organizations to deliver highly personalized customer experiences, improve engagement quality, and generate valuable behavioral data that can be incorporated into predictive analytics models. According to Upadhyay (2025), AI-driven customer experience platforms enhance customer satisfaction and strengthen long-term relationships by providing real-time, context-aware interactions. Consequently, integrating these AI features into churn prediction frameworks may improve the effectiveness of decision engines and customer retention strategies.

REFERENCE

1. Ascarza, E. (2018). Retention futility: Targeting high-risk customers might be ineffective. Journal of Marketing Research, 55(1), 80–98. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmr.16.0163
2. Ascarza, E., Iyengar, R., & Schleicher, M. (2016). The perils of proactive churn prevention using plan recommendations: Evidence from a field experiment. Journal of Marketing Research, 53(1), 46–60. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmr.13.0483
3. Ascarza, E., Netzer, O., & Hardie, B. G. S. (2018). Some customers would rather leave without saying goodbye. Marketing Science, 37(1), 54–77. https://doi.org/10.1287/mksc.2017.1057
4. Austin, P. C. (2009). Using the standardized difference to compare the prevalence of a binary variable between two groups in observational research. Communications in Statistics - Simulation and Computation, 38(6), 1228–1234. https://doi.org/10.1080/03610910902859574
5. Bergstra, J., Bardenet, R., Bengio, Y., & Kégl, B. (2011). Algorithms for hyper-parameter optimization. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (24). Curran Associates, Inc.
6. Bergstra, J., Yamins, D., & Cox, D. (2013). Making a science of model search: Hyperparameter optimization in hundreds of dimensions for vision architectures. Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Machine Learning, 28, 115–123.
7. Blattberg, R. C., Kim, B.-D., & Neslin, S. A. (2008). Database marketing: Analyzing and managing customers. International series in quantitative marketing. Springer.
8. Breiman, L., Friedman, J. H., Olshen, R. A., & Stone, C. J. (2017). Classification and regression trees. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315139470
9. Burges, C. J. (1998). A tutorial on support vector machines for pattern recognition. Data mining and knowledge discovery, 2(2), 121–167.
10. Caigny, A., Coussement, K., & Bock, K. (2018). A new hybrid classification algorithm for customer churn prediction based on logistic regression and decision trees. European Journal of Operational Research, 269(2), 760–772. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2018.02.009

11. Castanedo, F., Valverde, G., Zaratiegui, J., & Vazquez, A. (2014). Using deep learning to predict customer churn in a mobile telecommunication network. wiseathena.
12. Upadhyay, H. (2025). Consumer Experience Trends Based on AI Features: A Comprehensive Analysis of Conversational AI, Personalization Engines, and Voice AI. Frontiers in Emerging Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning, 2(11), 6–15. https://doi.org/10.64917/feaiml/Volume02Issue11-02
13. Chapman, P., Clinton, J., Kerber, R., Khabaza, T., Reinartz, T., Shearer, C., & Wirth, R. (2000). Crisp-dm 1.0 step-by-step data mining guides. NCR Syst. Eng. Copenhagen.
14. Chen, Z., Fu, A. W.-C., & Tong, C.-H. (2004). Optimal algorithms for finding user access sessions from very large web logs. World Wide Web, 6, 259–279.
15. Cortes, C., & Vapnik, V. (1995). Support-vector networks. Machine Learning, 20(3), 273–297.
16. Craven, M. (2011). Markov chain models. University of Wisconsin-Madison.
17. Dewey, C. (2016). Markov chain models. University of Wisconsin-Madison.
18. Glorot, X., & Bengio, Y. (2010). Understanding the difficulty of training deep feedforward neural networks. Proceedings of the thirteenth international conference on artificial intelligence and statistics, 249-256.
19. Guo, C., & Berkhahn, F. (2016). Entity embeddings of categorical variables. arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.06737.
20. Hein, A., Schreieck, M., Riasanow, T., Setzke, D. S., Wiesche, M., Böhm, M., & Krcmar, H. (2019). Digital platform ecosystems. Electronic Markets, 1-12.
21. Henley, W., & Hand, D. J. (1996). A k-nearest-neighbour classifier for assessing consumer credit risk. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series D. The Statistician, 45, 77-95.
22. Hongxia, W., Xueqin, L., & Yanhui, L. (2010). Enterprise credit rating model based on fuzzy clustering and decision tree. 2010 third international symposium on information science and engineering, IEEE, 105-108.
23. Hosmer, D. W., Lemeshow, S., & Sturdivant, R. X. (2013). Applied logistic regression (Vol. 398). John Wiley & Sons.
24. Huang, Z., Chen, H., Hsu, H. J., Chen, W. H., & Wu, S. (2004). Credit rating analysis with support vector machines and neural networks: A market comparative study. Decision Support Systems, 37, 543-558.
25. Kayaga, S., Franceys, R., & Sansom, K. (2004). Bill payment behaviour in urban water services: Empirical data from Uganda. Journal of Water Supply: Research and Technology. AQUA, 53, 339-349.
26. Khashman, A. (2010). Neural networks for credit risk evaluation: Investigation of different neural models and learning schemes. Expert Systems with Applications, 37, 6233-6239.
27. Shounik, S. (2025). The Great DTC Reset as Stress Management: Evidence that Wholesale Re-Expansion Reduces "Operating Tail Risk" in Consumer Brands.. Advances in Consumer Research, 2(6), 1221-1231.
28. Kim, K-j., & Ahn, H. (2012). A corporate credit rating model using multi-class support vector machines with an ordinal pairwise partitioning approach. Computers & Operations Research, 39, 1800-1811.
29. Kim, Y. (2014). Convolutional neural networks for sentence classification. arXiv preprint arXiv:1408.5882.
30. Krishnan, G., Bhat, A. K., & Shah, J. (2025). Decision engine: Propensity prediction in the financial industry based on customer data features. In Artificial Intelligence and Sustainable Innovation (pp. 107-112). CRC Press.