COLOMBO SCIENTIFIC PUBLISHING

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & INFORMATION SYSTEM
E-ISSN: 2536-7919
P-ISSN: 2536-7900
PAGE NO: 01-10

Electromagnetic Transient Analysis of Power Lines in Storm-Prone Regions

Ethan Mitchell
Department of Computer Science, Northern Research Institute, Toronto, Canada

Olivia Bennett
School of Computing, Pacific Technology University, Vancouver, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Submission: September 09, 2026
Accepted: October 05, 2026
Published: November 01, 2026
VOLUME: Vol.11 Issue 11 2026

Keywords:
Electromagnetic transients, lightning-induced overvoltages, high-voltage transmission lines, lightning return current, surge protection, electromagnetic coupling, insulation coordination, power-system reliability, transient modeling

ABSTRACT

High-voltage power transmission lines operating in storm-prone regions are exposed to electromagnetic disturbances caused by lightning discharges, which can produce transient overvoltages, insulation stress, protective-device operation, and interruptions in electricity supply. Understanding these disturbances requires an integrated analysis of lightning-current characteristics, electromagnetic-field propagation, transmission-line responses, and surge-protection mechanisms. This research and review article develops a conceptual framework for analyzing electromagnetic transients in high-voltage transmission networks exposed to frequent thunderstorms. The methodology combines a critical review of the nine supplied references with a structured engineering framework covering lightning-source characterization, electromagnetic coupling, transient propagation, insulation coordination, and mitigation strategies. Particular attention is given to the relationship between lightning return currents and induced electromagnetic effects, as well as the influence of transmission-line configuration and grounding conditions on transient behavior. The analysis indicates that effective transient management depends on evaluating the complete interaction between the lightning source, transmission infrastructure, and protective equipment rather than considering individual components in isolation. The article also identifies limitations in the supplied literature, including the absence of a unified quantitative comparison, a common simulation dataset, and field-validated performance measurements. The proposed framework provides a basis for future simulation-based and experimental research aimed at improving transmission-system resilience in lightning-intensive environments.

1. INTRODUCTION

High-voltage transmission networks are essential for transferring electrical energy between generation facilities, substations, and distribution systems. Their reliability depends on the ability of overhead conductors, insulation systems, grounding arrangements, and protective devices to withstand electrical disturbances. Lightning is a particularly important source of transient stress because its rapid current variation generates electromagnetic fields that can interact with transmission infrastructure and produce short-duration voltage and current disturbances.

The effects of lightning are not limited to direct strikes on conductors. A discharge to a tower, grounding structure, or nearby object can also influence line voltages through electromagnetic coupling. Depending on the network configuration and the characteristics of the discharge, these effects may contribute to insulation flashover, protective-relay operation, equipment damage, or temporary service interruption. Rachidi (2002) provides a relevant theoretical basis for examining the electromagnetic effects of lightning on power lines and understanding why lightning-source characteristics must be considered alongside the electrical properties of the network.

The engineering challenge is particularly significant in regions experiencing frequent thunderstorms. Repeated exposure increases the likelihood that transmission infrastructure will encounter lightning-

related disturbances during its operating life. Nevertheless, storm frequency alone does not determine system vulnerability. Tower geometry, conductor height, line routing, grounding impedance, insulation strength, and the placement of surge arresters also influence the severity of transient events.

The central problem addressed in this article is the need for a coherent analytical approach that connects lightning discharge characteristics with transient propagation and practical protection requirements. Studies of lightning currents, electromagnetic radiation, wave propagation, and surge protection offer complementary perspectives, but these topics must be integrated to evaluate the performance of a complete transmission system.

The principal objective is to establish a structured framework for electromagnetic transient analysis in storm-prone regions. The article examines the theoretical foundations of lightning-induced disturbances, synthesizes the supplied literature, identifies major engineering variables, and develops a conceptual methodology for evaluating transient behavior and mitigation strategies. It also distinguishes between findings supported by the provided references and engineering interpretations that require further numerical or experimental validation.

The scope is limited to high-voltage transmission lines and associated protective arrangements. It does not claim to present new experimental measurements or completed numerical simulations. Instead, it develops a research-oriented analytical framework that can support subsequent modeling, field investigation, and engineering design.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Electromagnetic effects of lightning on power lines

Rachidi (2002) provides the central reference for this review because the work directly addresses the electromagnetic effects of lightning on power lines. This subject establishes the connection between the discharge process, the electromagnetic environment surrounding transmission infrastructure, and the electrical response of conductors. The principal implication is that lightning-related disturbances cannot be assessed solely by examining the magnitude of a strike current; its temporal characteristics and interaction with the line environment are also important.

For transient analysis, this perspective supports separating the lightning source from the transmission system response. The discharge determines the external excitation, while line geometry, conductor parameters, grounding conditions, and network terminations influence how the resulting disturbance develops. Rachidi (2002) therefore provides the conceptual foundation for the integrated approach proposed in this article.

2.2 Lightning-current measurement and electromagnetic radiation

Uman and McLain (1970) investigate lightning return current using measurements of magnetic fields and radiation. Their study is relevant because it connects measurable electromagnetic quantities with the characteristics of a lightning discharge. Such measurements can help researchers characterize lightning sources and assess the suitability of current representations used in transient studies.

Uman, McLain, and Krider (1975) examine electromagnetic radiation from a finite antenna. Although antenna radiation and lightning discharges are not identical physical problems, electromagnetic-radiation theory offers useful conceptual tools for understanding time-varying current distributions and radiated fields. Its application to lightning-related transmission disturbances must, however, account for the differences between idealized antenna systems and the complex geometry of an actual lightning channel.

Yang and al. (2017) examine the evaluation of lightning current from magnetic-field measurements using a deconvolution method. This work is relevant to the problem of reconstructing a source current from an indirect electromagnetic observation. Such reconstruction can support the development of realistic transient inputs, although its reliability depends on measurement quality, the assumed system response, and the stability of the deconvolution process.

Together, these studies suggest that source characterization is a critical component of transient analysis. However, measurement-based reconstruction, radiation modeling, and transmission-line simulation represent different stages of the analytical process. Their integration requires explicit consideration of uncertainty and the compatibility of the underlying assumptions.

2.3 Wave propagation and dielectric behavior

Sommerfeld (1909) addresses wave propagation in the context of wireless telegraphy. The work provides historical theoretical context for electromagnetic-wave behavior, which is relevant to understanding the propagation of electromagnetic disturbances. Nevertheless, the direct application of classical propagation theory to high-voltage transmission lines requires attention to conductor geometry, ground properties, frequency-dependent effects, and the distinction between radiated fields and guided electrical waves.

Roy, Gary, and Hutzler (1988) address the dielectric properties of air under very high voltages. This topic is directly relevant to insulation performance and electrical breakdown. In storm-prone regions, the interaction between transient overvoltages and the dielectric strength of air can influence the likelihood of flashover across insulation gaps or between conductors and grounded structures.

Spiegel (1975) provides mathematical background through a work on advanced calculus. Although it is not a specialized transmission-line or lightning study, mathematical methods are generally relevant to the development and interpretation of engineering models. The reference does not, by itself, establish a particular transient model or validate a lightning simulation.

2.4 Power-system operation and surge protection

Van Cutsem (1998) addresses electrical power systems from an engineering and systems perspective. This broader context is important because lightning-induced transients occur within networks containing interconnected electrical components. Their consequences may extend beyond the initially affected line section through switching operations, protection-system responses, and changes in network operating conditions.

Schneider Electric (2010) addresses protection against surges, providing a practical context for understanding protective-device selection and the reduction of transient stress on electrical equipment. Surge protection must be coordinated with the withstand capability of the protected equipment and the electrical characteristics of the network. A protective device cannot be evaluated independently of its connection points, grounding path, and the transient waveform it is expected to control.

2.5 Research gap and theoretical positioning

The supplied references collectively address electromagnetic effects, lightning-current measurement, radiation, wave propagation, dielectric behavior, power-system operation, and surge protection. However, the provided bibliographic descriptions do not establish a single validated model that combines all these aspects for a common set of storm-prone transmission-line conditions.

Three gaps consequently guide the present article. First, a unified analytical sequence is needed to connect lightning-source characterization with line response and insulation stress. Second, measurement uncertainty must be considered when reconstructed lightning currents are used as model inputs. Third, protection strategies should be assessed in relation to system-level performance rather than solely by the nominal characteristics of individual devices.

The present framework addresses these gaps conceptually. It does not claim that the supplied references have already demonstrated the effectiveness of the complete framework, nor does it treat the absence of information in the supplied descriptions as proof that related research does not exist elsewhere.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research design and analytical approach

This article adopts a conceptual research-and-review methodology based exclusively on the nine references supplied by the user. The objective is to develop a coherent framework for analyzing electromagnetic transients in high-voltage transmission lines exposed to frequent lightning activity. The approach combines literature synthesis, engineering-system decomposition, scenario-based evaluation, and qualitative assessment of protection strategies.

The methodology is organized into five interconnected stages: characterization of the lightning source, assessment of electromagnetic coupling, analysis of transient propagation, evaluation of insulation and equipment stress, and examination of mitigation measures. Each stage represents a distinct engineering function, but the stages are interdependent. Errors in the estimated lightning input, for example, can affect predicted line voltages and consequently influence conclusions about protective-device requirements.

The framework is designed to guide future numerical simulations and field investigations. Because no original measurements, simulation outputs, or experimental datasets were supplied, the findings reported later in this article are analytical interpretations and expected engineering relationships rather than experimentally verified results.

3.2 Lightning-source characterization

The first stage establishes a representation of the lightning discharge capable of serving as an input to the transient-analysis process. Relevant characteristics include peak current, current polarity, rise time, duration, and the temporal structure of the discharge. These properties affect the electromagnetic environment surrounding a transmission line and influence the nature of the resulting electrical disturbance.

Rachidi (2002) provides the principal conceptual basis for connecting lightning characteristics with power-line electromagnetic effects. The framework consequently treats source characterization as a necessary precursor to network-response analysis rather than as an independent measurement exercise.

Lightning-current information can be obtained through direct measurements or estimated from electromagnetic observations. The work of Uman and McLain (1970) and Yang and al. (2017) provides relevant perspectives on the relationship between lightning currents and magnetic-field measurements. When indirect reconstruction is employed, the analysis should consider sensor bandwidth, measurement noise, calibration, and the reliability of the assumed response characteristics.

For example, two lightning events with similar peak currents may produce different transient responses if their current waveforms have different rise times. A model based only on peak current could therefore overlook important differences in the frequency content of the electromagnetic disturbance. A defensible source model should preserve the characteristics relevant to the intended analysis while documenting any assumptions introduced by incomplete observations.

3.3 Electromagnetic coupling and transmission-line representation

The second stage evaluates how the lightning-generated electromagnetic environment interacts with transmission infrastructure. Three principal scenarios should be distinguished: a direct strike to a phase conductor, a strike to a tower or shield wire, and a nearby discharge that induces a disturbance without direct contact with the energized conductor.

These scenarios produce different physical pathways for transient stress. A direct conductor strike introduces lightning current directly into the electrical network. A tower or shield-wire strike can elevate tower potential and create a voltage difference across insulation. A nearby strike can induce voltages through electromagnetic coupling between the lightning channel and the transmission line.

The transmission-line representation should include conductor geometry, line length, tower configuration, grounding arrangements, and relevant electrical parameters. Where detailed modeling is possible, frequency-dependent conductor and ground behavior should be considered when it materially affects the transient response. Simplified representations may be appropriate for preliminary screening, but their limitations must be acknowledged.

Sommerfeld (1909) offers theoretical background on electromagnetic-wave propagation, while the radiation-focused work of Uman, McLain, and Krider (1975) supports consideration of time-varying electromagnetic sources. These perspectives inform the conceptual model, but their application to practical transmission lines requires appropriate boundary conditions and a representation of the actual system geometry.

3.4 Transient propagation and insulation assessment

The third stage examines the propagation and transformation of disturbances along the transmission line. When a transient encounters changes in line impedance, network terminations, or connected equipment, its waveform may be altered by reflection and transmission. Consequently, the voltage observed at one location may differ substantially from that at another location.

A hypothetical case illustrates this relationship. Suppose a lightning strike affects a transmission tower in a mountainous region with relatively high grounding impedance. The tower potential may rise rapidly, increasing the voltage stress across the associated insulators. The disturbance can then propagate through

the network, while local line conditions and connected equipment influence the waveform observed at substations.

The analysis should compare the estimated transient stress with the relevant insulation withstand characteristics. Roy, Gary, and Hutzler (1988) provide a relevant basis for considering air-dielectric behavior under high voltage. Nevertheless, dielectric performance depends on more than the general properties of air: electrode geometry, gap distance, waveform characteristics, atmospheric conditions, and the condition of the insulation system may also be important.

The methodology therefore treats insulation assessment as a comparison between predicted transient conditions and documented equipment withstand capabilities. Without specified equipment ratings, insulation dimensions, or simulated voltage waveforms, no numerical safety margin or flashover probability can be established.

3.5 Protection evaluation and performance criteria

The fourth and fifth stages assess protective measures and determine the criteria required for evaluating their effectiveness. Candidate measures include surge arresters, shield wires, improved tower grounding, suitable insulation coordination, and maintenance of grounding and protective equipment.

Schneider Electric (2010) provides a practical reference for surge-protection considerations, while Van Cutsem (1998) supports the broader system-level perspective. The methodology considers each protective measure according to its function, installation requirements, interaction with other measures, and potential limitations.

A comparative evaluation should include maximum equipment-terminal voltage, estimated insulation stress, protective-device operating conditions, residual voltage where applicable, and expected interruption consequences. Where quantitative data become available, these criteria can be used to compare alternative configurations under identical lightning scenarios.

The framework also requires sensitivity analysis. Grounding impedance, lightning-current characteristics, conductor configuration, and arrester location should be varied individually and in selected combinations. This procedure helps identify which parameters most strongly influence predicted performance.

The proposed methodology can be implemented using a validated electromagnetic transient simulation environment and subsequently checked against field measurements or controlled experimental results. Until those steps are completed, it should be regarded as a structured analytical framework rather than a validated predictive model.

4. RESULTS

4.1 Integrated interpretation of transient behavior

The synthesis identifies five major analytical components: lightning-source representation, electromagnetic coupling, transmission-line propagation, insulation response, and protective-system performance. The principal finding is that these components should be evaluated as parts of a connected system. An isolated assessment of lightning current or surge-arrester ratings cannot, by itself, establish the expected performance of an entire transmission network.

The literature supports different parts of this framework. Rachidi (2002) addresses lightning-related electromagnetic effects on power lines, while Uman and McLain (1970) and Yang and al. (2017) provide relevant approaches to investigating lightning currents through electromagnetic observations. Sommerfeld (1909) offers a broader propagation-theory context, and the references concerning dielectric behavior and surge protection support the insulation and mitigation stages.

This synthesis does not establish that every stage has been quantitatively validated by the cited works. Rather, it shows how the distinct technical topics can be organized into a consistent research procedure.

4.2 Influence of lightning-current characteristics

The analysis indicates that transient assessment should preserve more information about the lightning waveform than its peak magnitude alone. The rate at which current changes, its duration, and the sequence of current components can influence electromagnetic coupling and the resulting transient response.

Consider two hypothetical discharges affecting otherwise identical transmission lines. One discharge has a

rapid current rise, while the other develops more gradually. Even if their peak currents are comparable, their electromagnetic excitation may differ, and the resulting transient voltages may not be equivalent. This comparison illustrates why waveform selection matters when constructing simulation inputs.

Measurement-based current reconstruction can improve source characterization, but reconstructed waveforms remain subject to uncertainty. Instrument limitations, noise, calibration errors, and assumptions used in the reconstruction process can propagate into later stages of the model. Accordingly, source uncertainty should be documented and, where data permit, represented through multiple plausible input scenarios.

The practical finding is that a reliable transient study requires an explicit account of both the selected lightning waveform and the confidence associated with that representation.

4.3 Effects of transmission-line and grounding conditions

The conceptual analysis also indicates that line geometry and grounding conditions are central to transient behavior. Tower height, conductor arrangement, shield-wire configuration, and grounding impedance affect the pathways through which lightning-related disturbances interact with the network.

A tower strike provides a useful example. If the tower-grounding path cannot dissipate the lightning current effectively under the relevant transient conditions, the tower potential may increase and place additional stress on the insulation between the tower and energized conductors. Under a different grounding configuration, the resulting voltage stress may be reduced. The extent of this reduction, however, depends on the complete electrical arrangement and cannot be inferred from grounding resistance alone.

The same principle applies to nearby lightning. The induced voltage depends on the relationship between the discharge channel, the line geometry, and the electromagnetic coupling environment. Consequently, a protection strategy suitable for one route may not provide equivalent performance on a different route with different terrain, tower spacing, or grounding characteristics.

These interpretations support evaluating representative line sections rather than assuming uniform exposure and uniform electrical response across an entire transmission corridor.

4.4 Insulation stress and protection coordination

The literature synthesis identifies insulation coordination as a necessary bridge between transient prediction and practical engineering decisions. A predicted voltage waveform becomes operationally meaningful when it is compared with the relevant withstand characteristics of the equipment and insulation system.

The dielectric reference by Roy, Gary, and Hutzler (1988) provides context for high-voltage air breakdown, while Schneider Electric (2010) provides a practical context for surge protection. Together, these topics reinforce the need to coordinate transient conditions, insulation characteristics, and protective-device behavior.

Surge arresters may limit voltage stress at appropriately selected locations, but their performance depends on their electrical ratings, placement, connection paths, and grounding arrangements. They should therefore be assessed in the context of the network they protect. Similarly, shield wires can reduce direct exposure of phase conductors, but they do not eliminate every possible source of lightning-induced overvoltage.

The findings suggest that layered protection is a more defensible engineering strategy than reliance on a single protective measure. The exact combination of measures must nevertheless be determined for the particular line, equipment, and lightning environment.

4.5 Summary of analytical findings

The following table summarizes the principal relationships identified through the conceptual synthesis.

Analytical component	Principal engineering implication	Evidence needed for quantitative confirmation
Lightning-source characterization	Waveform details influence the transient input	Measured or reconstructed current waveforms
Electromagnetic coupling	Strike location and line geometry affect disturbance pathways	Geometric models and electromagnetic observations

Grounding and tower response	Grounding conditions influence tower-potential rise and insulation stress	Grounding data and transient simulations
Insulation coordination	Predicted voltage must be assessed against equipment withstand capability	Insulation ratings and voltage waveforms
Surge protection	Device selection and placement influence equipment exposure	Arrester characteristics and coordinated network models
System reliability	Transient consequences should be evaluated at network level	Outage records and operational performance data

These findings remain qualitative because the supplied material contains no common numerical dataset, simulation results, or field measurements for comparative analysis. No reduction percentages, failure probabilities, or performance rankings can therefore be claimed. The principal outcome is the identification of a coherent and technically structured approach that can be tested through subsequent modeling and experimental work.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1 Interpretation of the integrated analytical framework

The proposed framework demonstrates the importance of treating lightning-related electromagnetic transients as a system-level engineering problem. Lightning current, electromagnetic coupling, transmission-line characteristics, insulation behavior, and protective-device performance represent interconnected processes. An analytical model that simplifies these interactions excessively may produce misleading conclusions about equipment stress or the effectiveness of mitigation measures.

Rachidi (2002) is particularly relevant to this interpretation because electromagnetic effects on power lines provide the central physical connection between the lightning source and the electrical network. The framework extends this conceptual connection by emphasizing that source characterization alone is insufficient: the line response and protective arrangement must also be represented appropriately.

This distinction has practical significance. A transmission operator may observe repeated lightning-related interruptions and initially attribute them to inadequate surge protection. However, the underlying cause could involve high tower potential, unsuitable grounding, insufficient insulation coordination, or an inaccurate representation of the lightning exposure. Effective corrective action requires identifying the dominant mechanism rather than assuming that every lightning-related failure has the same origin.

The proposed approach supports a diagnostic sequence in which the likely disturbance mechanism is identified, the relevant electrical response is evaluated, and mitigation measures are selected according to the results. Its value lies in organizing the investigation systematically, not in claiming that one configuration is universally optimal.

5.2 Comparison with the supplied literature

The supplied literature contributes to different parts of the proposed framework, but the references differ in scope and direct applicability.

Rachidi (2002) provides the most directly aligned conceptual foundation for lightning effects on power lines. Uman and McLain (1970) and Yang and al. (2017) address lightning-current characterization through magnetic-field measurements and associated analysis. These perspectives support the development of more representative excitation inputs for transient studies. However, reconstructed current information must be connected to a line-response model before it can inform equipment-protection decisions.

Uman, McLain, and Krider (1975) contribute a radiation-oriented perspective, while Sommerfeld (1909) provides historical theoretical context for electromagnetic-wave propagation. These works help frame the electromagnetic processes involved, but their different physical settings mean that their concepts cannot be transferred uncritically to a complete high-voltage transmission network.

Roy, Gary, and Hutzler (1988) contribute a dielectric perspective, whereas Schneider Electric (2010) addresses surge-protection considerations. Van Cutsem (1998) provides a wider power-system context, and Spiegel (1975) offers mathematical background. The references therefore cover complementary areas, but their combined presence does not automatically establish a unified or validated prediction method.

The principal contribution of the present article is to organize these topics into a common analytical sequence. A further research step would be to test whether this sequence provides accurate predictions

when implemented in a consistent simulation environment and compared with observed lightning events.

5.3 Practical implications for transmission-system engineering

For transmission operators, the framework suggests that lightning-resilience planning should distinguish between exposure, electrical susceptibility, and operational consequences. Exposure concerns the frequency and characteristics of lightning events. Susceptibility concerns the response of the line, insulation, grounding, and protective equipment. Operational consequences concern interruptions, equipment damage, repair requirements, and the resulting effects on network reliability.

These dimensions should be examined separately before they are combined into an overall risk assessment. A line section may experience frequent lightning without suffering proportionally high failure rates if its insulation and protection arrangements are effective. Conversely, a comparatively less exposed section may remain vulnerable if a particular tower, grounding system, or insulation arrangement has an unfavorable response.

For example, a utility operating a long transmission corridor through a mountainous region could prioritize investigation of sections with repeated lightning-related trips. Engineers could compare tower-grounding characteristics, inspect protective equipment, and assess the likely transient response under representative lightning scenarios. Such an approach allows maintenance and investment decisions to be directed toward identified weaknesses rather than distributed uniformly across the entire corridor.

The framework also supports coordination between planning, protection, and maintenance teams. Protection engineers may evaluate arrester placement and insulation margins, while asset-management teams investigate grounding deterioration and equipment condition. Integrating their findings can improve the consistency of mitigation decisions.

These practical implications are engineering recommendations derived from the conceptual analysis; they are not demonstrated operational outcomes from a field trial conducted for this article.

5.4 Trade-offs in mitigation strategies

No single lightning-mitigation measure addresses every possible transient mechanism. Shield wires can reduce direct strikes to phase conductors, but they do not eliminate all tower-potential and nearby-strike effects. Grounding improvements can influence current dissipation and tower response, but their effectiveness depends on local soil conditions, electrode configuration, and transient behavior.

Surge arresters can constrain voltage stress at protected locations, yet they must be selected and installed in accordance with the expected duty and the characteristics of the connected equipment. Their performance may be limited by unsuitable placement, connection inductance, or inadequate grounding. Insulation improvements may increase withstand capability but can involve substantial material, construction, and maintenance costs.

Consequently, mitigation decisions should be based on comparative evaluation rather than a presumption that the most extensive protection arrangement is always the most economical or effective. A practical assessment should consider expected reductions in transient stress alongside installation costs, maintenance requirements, accessibility, and the consequences of residual failures.

This approach also supports staged investment. Where comprehensive reconstruction is impractical, a utility may first identify high-consequence locations and assess targeted improvements. The appropriate priorities should be supported by site-specific data and transparent engineering criteria.

5.5 Methodological limitations and uncertainty

The most important limitation of this article is that it develops a conceptual framework without presenting new simulations or measured results. Consequently, it cannot quantify expected overvoltage levels, insulation-failure probabilities, or the relative effectiveness of individual mitigation measures.

The supplied references also differ in technical scope, and the bibliographic information provided does not contain sufficient detail to verify every methodological assumption or compare numerical results across the works. Some sources offer theoretical or general engineering context rather than direct evidence about lightning performance in a specific transmission corridor. Their contributions must therefore be interpreted according to their relevance to the research question.

Another limitation concerns environmental and operational variability. Lightning characteristics, soil

conditions, conductor configurations, atmospheric conditions, and equipment aging may differ substantially between locations. A framework developed for one set of assumptions cannot be considered universally applicable without further validation.

Future research should address these limitations through a combination of validated electromagnetic transient simulation, field measurements, and systematic sensitivity analysis. Researchers should compare multiple lightning waveforms, evaluate alternative grounding and protection arrangements, and report uncertainty alongside predicted outcomes. Where sufficient operational records are available, predicted transient behavior should also be compared with observed protection operations and outage events.

Such validation would establish whether the framework is sufficiently accurate for design decisions and identify the conditions under which its assumptions require modification.

6. CONCLUSION

Electromagnetic transients caused by lightning present a significant engineering challenge for high-voltage transmission lines in storm-prone regions. Their effects depend on the interaction between lightning-current characteristics, electromagnetic coupling, transmission-line configuration, grounding behavior, insulation withstand capability, and protective-device performance.

This article developed a conceptual framework connecting these elements through five analytical stages: source characterization, electromagnetic coupling assessment, transient propagation analysis, insulation evaluation, and protection coordination. The synthesis of the nine supplied references demonstrates how research on lightning currents, electromagnetic radiation, wave propagation, dielectric behavior, and surge protection can inform a coherent engineering investigation.

The central conclusion is that effective lightning resilience requires more than evaluating a single parameter or installing an individual protective device. It requires an integrated assessment of the disturbance source, the electrical response of the network, and the performance of the complete protection arrangement. Source uncertainty and site-specific conditions must also be considered when interpreting predicted transient behavior.

The article's principal contribution is a structured analytical approach for organizing future investigations. However, the framework remains conceptual and should not be interpreted as an experimentally validated model. Further research should implement the approach in an appropriate simulation environment, incorporate measured lightning-current data, examine alternative grounding and insulation configurations, and validate predictions against field observations. Quantitative comparison of protection strategies would then help establish the framework's practical applicability and support more reliable transmission-system design in lightning-intensive environments.

REFERENCES

1. Rachidi, F. (2002). Electromagnetic effects of lightning on power lines, EMC electromagnetic compatibility. Course document, LRE, EPFL.
2. Roy, G., Gary, C., & Hutzler, B. (1988). The dielectric properties of air and very high voltages. Eyrolles, Paris.
3. Schneider Electric. (2010). “Protection against surges”.
4. Sommerfeld, A. (1909). On the Ausbreitung des wellen in der drahtlosen Telegraphie. Annalen der Physik, 333, 665-736.
5. Spiegel, M. R. (1975). Overview of theories and problems in advanced Schaum calculus. McGraw-Hill, New York, 160-165.
6. Uman, M. A., & McLain, D. K. (1970). Lightning return current from measurements of magnetic fields and radiation. Journal of Geophysical Research, 75, 5143-5147.
7. Uman, M. A., DK McLain, & E. P. Krider. (1975). The electromagnetic radiation from a finite antenna. American Journal of Physics, 43(1), 33-8.
8. Van Cutsem, T. (1998). Electrical Power Systems. University of Liège, Department of Electricity. Electronics and Computer Science (Montefiore Institute), Liège.
9. Yang, G., & al. (2017). Evaluation of lightning current from magnetic field based on deconvolution

method. IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility, 60, 679-684.