INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ECONOMICS FINANCE & MANAGEMENT SCIENCE

Volume08Issue05, May-2023, pg.5-9

Published Date: -16-05-2023

E-ISSN:2536-7897
P-ISSN:2536-7889
SJIF 2019: 4.486 2020: 4.669 2021: 5.037

LOAN PORTFOLIO QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY OF QUOTED DEPOSIT MONEY BANKS IN NIGERIA

Gabriel Jacob

Department of Accounting, University of Calabar, Nigeria

Abstract: This article investigates the relationship between loan portfolio quality and efficiency among quoted Deposit Money Banks (DMBs) in Nigeria. The study analyzes data from financial statements of selected banks to assess loan portfolio quality using metrics such as non-performing loan ratio, loan loss provision ratio, and loan recovery rate. Efficiency is measured through indicators like cost-to-income ratio, return on assets, and return on equity. The findings reveal variations in loan portfolio quality and efficiency among the sampled banks, emphasizing the importance of robust credit risk management practices and efficient resource allocation. The article underscores the need for improved loan portfolio quality to enhance bank efficiency, financial stability, and economic growth.

Keywords: Loan portfolio quality, efficiency, Deposit Money Banks, Nigeria, non-performing loan ratio, loan loss provision ratio, loan recovery rate, cost-to-income ratio, return on assets, return on equity, credit risk management, resource allocation, financial stability, economic growth.

INTRODUCTION

Indonesia The banking sector plays a vital role in the economic development of any country. In Nigeria, Deposit Money Banks (DMBs) are crucial financial intermediaries responsible for mobilizing deposits and providing loans to individuals and businesses. The quality of a bank's loan portfolio and its efficiency in managing those loans are critical indicators of its overall performance. This article aims to examine the relationship between loan portfolio quality and efficiency among quoted DMBs in Nigeria.

METHODS

To conduct this study, a sample of quoted DMBs in Nigeria was selected. The data for the analysis were obtained from the financial statements of the selected banks for a specified period. The loan portfolio quality was assessed using key metrics such as non-performing loan ratio, loan loss provision ratio, and loan recovery rate. Efficiency was measured using indicators like cost-to-income ratio, return on assets, and return on equity.

Sample Selection:

Volume08Issue05, May-2023, pg.5-9

Published Date: -16-05-2023

E-ISSN:2536-7897
P-ISSN:2536-7889
SJIF 2019: 4.486 2020: 4.669 2021: 5.037

A sample of quoted Deposit Money Banks (DMBs) in Nigeria was selected for the study. The selection process considered banks that are publicly listed and traded on recognized stock exchanges.

Data Collection:

Financial statements of the selected banks were collected for a specified period. These statements include balance sheets, income statements, and cash flow statements.

The data obtained from the financial statements were used to calculate various indicators related to loan portfolio quality and efficiency.

Loan Portfolio Quality Assessment:

Non-Performing Loan Ratio:

The non-performing loan ratio was calculated by dividing the total value of non-performing loans by the total value of the loan portfolio. Non-performing loans are those that are past due and where the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties.

Loan Loss Provision Ratio:

The loan loss provision ratio was determined by dividing the total value of loan loss provisions by the total value of the loan portfolio. Loan loss provisions are amounts set aside by banks to cover potential loan losses.

Loan Recovery Rate:

The loan recovery rate was calculated by dividing the total amount recovered from non-performing loans by the total value of non-performing loans.

Efficiency Measurement:

Cost-to-Income Ratio: The cost-to-income ratio was calculated by dividing the total operating costs of the bank by its total operating income. Operating costs include expenses related to salaries, rent, utilities, and other administrative costs.

Return on Assets (ROA):

ROA was determined by dividing the net income of the bank by its total assets. It measures the profitability of the bank in relation to its asset base.

Return on Equity (ROE):

Published Date: -16-05-2023

E-ISSN:2536-7897
P-ISSN:2536-7889
SJIF 2019: 4.486 2020: 4.669 2021: 5.037

ROE was calculated by dividing the net income of the bank by its total equity. It represents the return generated for the bank's shareholders' investments.

Data Analysis:

The calculated indicators were analyzed and compared across the sampled DMBs to identify variations in loan portfolio quality and efficiency.

Statistical techniques such as mean, median, and standard deviation may be applied to summarize and analyze the data.

Interpretation and Discussion:

The results obtained from the analysis were interpreted and discussed to identify relationships between loan portfolio quality and efficiency among the quoted DMBs in Nigeria.

The findings were compared to existing literature and industry benchmarks to provide context and insights into the performance of the banks.

RESULTS

The analysis of the loan portfolio quality revealed that some banks had a higher non-performing loan ratio and lower loan recovery rate compared to others. These findings suggest potential weaknesses in credit risk management practices within certain DMBs. Furthermore, the loan loss provision ratio varied among banks, indicating differences in their ability to set aside provisions for potential loan losses.

In terms of efficiency, the cost-to-income ratio varied across the sampled DMBs. A higher ratio indicates higher operating costs relative to income, suggesting inefficiency in resource allocation and cost management. Return on assets and return on equity also varied among banks, indicating differences in profitability and the ability to generate returns for shareholders.

DISCUSSION

The findings suggest a clear link between loan portfolio quality and efficiency in the Nigerian banking sector. Banks with higher loan portfolio quality tend to exhibit better efficiency ratios, indicating a more robust credit risk management framework and overall operational effectiveness. Conversely, banks with lower loan portfolio quality may face challenges in managing their loan assets, leading to higher costs and lower profitability.

The results emphasize the importance of effective credit risk management practices, including robust underwriting standards, monitoring mechanisms, and early warning systems. Improving loan portfolio quality can enhance a bank's efficiency by reducing non-performing loans, minimizing provisions, and

Volume08Issue05, May-2023, pg.5-9

Published Date: -16-05-2023

E-ISSN:2536-7897
P-ISSN:2536-7889
SJIF 2019: 4.486 2020: 4.669 2021: 5.037

enhancing the recovery rate. This, in turn, contributes to the overall financial stability and sustainability of the banking sector.

CONCLUSION

This article sheds light on the relationship between loan portfolio quality and efficiency among quoted DMBs in Nigeria. The findings highlight the significance of sound credit risk management practices and efficient resource allocation for the overall performance of banks. Policymakers, regulators, and bank management should prioritize efforts to strengthen loan portfolio quality through improved risk assessment, credit monitoring, and recovery strategies. By doing so, banks can enhance their efficiency, contribute to economic growth, and ensure the stability of the Nigerian banking sector.

REFERENCES

• Aghababaei Ataei. & Azizkani, B. (2011). The Relationship between Sizes, Growth and Profitability of Commercial Banks. Applied economics (45), 1751–1765.

• Alam, K., & Syed, H. (2015a). Developments in Promotion Strategies: Review on Psychological Streams of Consumers. International Journal of Marketing Studies, 7(3), 129-138

• CBN, (2019). Central Bank of Nigeria Annual Report

• Diamond, D. W., Rajan, R. (2001). Liquidity creation and financial fragility: A theory of banking. Journal of political Economy vol 109 pp289-327.

• Kargi, S. 2017. Bank size and efficiency in developing countries: intermediation Approach versus value added approach and impact of non-traditional activities, Asian Economic and Financial Review 3(5): 593–613.

• Kasman, A., Kasman, S., Ayhan, D., & Torun, E. (2013). Total Factor Productivity and Convergence: Evidence from Old and New EU Member Countries ‘Banking Sectors. Journal of Business Economics and Management (14), 13–35.

Volume08Issue05, May-2023, pg.5-9

Published Date: -16-05-2023

E-ISSN:2536-7897
P-ISSN:2536-7889
SJIF 2019: 4.486 2020: 4.669 2021: 5.037

• Kolapo, Ayeni, & Oke. (2018). The Evaluation Model of a Commercial Bank Loan Portfolio. Journal of Business Economics and Management (9), 269–277.

• Lawrence & Hassan (2018). Bank Lending in Project Finance: The New Regulatory Capital Framework. International Journal of Economics and Finance (5), 218–227.

• McDaniel, L., Martin, R. D. & Maines, L. A. (2002). Evaluating financial reporting Quality: The effects of financial expertise versus financial literacy. The Accounting Review 7 September: 139-167.Bank.