
A Systematic Model for Optimizing Career Choice Readiness: The Role of Self-Awareness and Career Exploration in Higher Education Contexts

Rifat Mahmud

United International University

Jannatul Ferdous

Premier University

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Published: July 13, 2019

VOLUME: Vol.04 Issue 01 2019

Keywords:

Career Decision-Making, Self-Awareness, Career Exploration, Higher Education, Career Readiness, Decision Models, Career Adaptability, Self-Efficacy.

ABSTRACT

Career choice readiness among university students remains a complex, multidimensional phenomenon influenced by cognitive, psychological, and contextual variables. This study proposes a systematic model integrating self-awareness and career exploration as primary determinants of effective career decision-making. Drawing upon established theoretical constructs such as the PIC model, career adaptability theory, and self-efficacy frameworks, the research synthesizes empirical findings to construct a structured analytical model suitable for higher education contexts. The methodology adopts a conceptual modeling approach supported by literature-based analysis of decision-making competence, self-esteem, and job-search behaviors. The findings indicate that self-awareness functions as a foundational cognitive mechanism that enhances occupational exploration processes, ultimately improving career decision readiness. Furthermore, the study identifies critical moderating factors such as socio-cultural influences, institutional support, and psychological preparedness. The proposed model offers a robust framework for academic institutions to design interventions that optimize student career outcomes. The research contributes to theoretical advancement and practical application by bridging gaps between career psychology and educational systems.

1. INTRODUCTION

Technical Career decision-making is a central developmental task for university students, directly influencing long-term professional trajectories and socio-economic stability. However, increasing evidence suggests that many students experience significant difficulties in making informed career choices due to inadequate self-awareness and limited occupational exploration (Ali & Shah, 2013). These challenges are exacerbated by rapidly evolving labor market conditions and the diversification of career pathways.

The problem is not merely informational but structural, involving deficiencies in cognitive processing, emotional readiness, and environmental understanding. Traditional career guidance systems often fail to address the integrated nature of these factors, leading to suboptimal decision outcomes. Studies have demonstrated that lack of clarity in self-concept and insufficient exposure to career alternatives significantly hinder decision-making competence (Chen & Liew, 2015).

The relevance of this research lies in its attempt to develop a systematic model that integrates psychological constructs such as self-awareness with behavioral processes like career exploration. This integration is essential for enhancing decision-making readiness in higher education contexts. The increasing demand for employability skills further underscores the importance of structured career development frameworks (Ranjit, 2009).

The primary objective of this study is to design a comprehensive model that explains how self-awareness and career exploration interact to optimize career choice readiness. The scope includes theoretical synthesis, conceptual modeling, and analytical interpretation of existing literature. The significance of the

study lies in its potential to inform institutional policies and intervention strategies aimed at improving student career outcomes, aligning with expectations of producing an “ideal graduate” equipped for dynamic workforce demands (Zuraidah, 2008).

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on career decision-making reveals a multidimensional construct influenced by cognitive, emotional, and contextual variables. The PIC model proposed by Gati and Asher (2001) provides a structured framework comprising pre-screening, in-depth exploration, and choice. This model emphasizes systematic information processing, which is critical for reducing decision-making difficulties.

Empirical studies highlight the role of self-esteem and psychological readiness in career decisions. Ali and Shah (2013) found that decision difficulties are strongly correlated with low environmental mastery and self-esteem, suggesting that internal psychological factors significantly impact career readiness. Similarly, Leah (2012) demonstrated that self-esteem influences career choices among high school students, reinforcing the importance of self-concept in decision-making processes.

Career exploration has been identified as a critical behavioral component. Chuang and Dellmann-Jenkins (2010) showed that exploratory behaviors enhance career intention clarity among hospitality students. This finding aligns with Koen et al. (2010), who emphasized the role of career adaptability in improving job-search outcomes and reemployment quality.

Socio-cultural and educational factors also play a significant role. Byrne et al. (2012) examined how external influences such as family expectations and institutional structures affect career decisions. In the Malaysian context, Noh Amit and Rafidah Aga Mohd Jaladin (2007) highlighted the importance of psychological development in preparing students for national workforce demands.

The relationship between self-awareness and career exploration is further supported by studies on self-efficacy. Hmieleski and Baron (2008) demonstrated that self-efficacy influences performance outcomes, suggesting that confidence in one’s abilities enhances decision-making effectiveness. Norida et al. (2014) extended this concept by linking self-esteem with job-search intensity and decision-making self-efficacy.

Despite extensive research, gaps remain in integrating these constructs into a unified model. Most studies focus on isolated variables rather than their interactive effects. Additionally, there is limited emphasis on systematic frameworks tailored for higher education contexts. This study addresses these gaps by proposing an integrated model that aligns psychological constructs with behavioral processes, contributing to the development of holistic career readiness frameworks consistent with expectations of graduate employability (Zuraidah, 2008).

3. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a conceptual and analytical research design aimed at developing a systematic model for career choice readiness. The methodology is grounded in theoretical synthesis and framework construction rather than empirical data collection.

3.1 Conceptual Framework Development

The proposed model integrates three core dimensions: self-awareness, career exploration, and decision-making competence. Self-awareness is conceptualized as an internal cognitive mechanism involving self-assessment of interests, values, and abilities. Career exploration represents an external behavioral process involving information gathering and experiential learning.

The framework builds upon the PIC model (Gati & Asher, 2001) by incorporating psychological constructs such as self-efficacy and career adaptability. The integration ensures a comprehensive approach that addresses both internal and external determinants of decision-making.

3.2 Model Components

The model consists of four interconnected stages:

1. Self-Assessment Phase: Involves identification of personal attributes, supported by theories of self-esteem and psychological development (Ali & Shah, 2013).
2. Exploration Phase: Focuses on gathering occupational information through internships, networking, and research (Chuang & Dellmann-Jenkins, 2010).
3. Evaluation Phase: Entails comparison of alternatives based on personal and market criteria.
4. Decision Phase: Final selection of career path, influenced by confidence and adaptability.

3.3 Functional Mechanism

The model operates through iterative feedback loops. For example, insights gained during exploration refine self-awareness, creating a dynamic process. This aligns with Savickas (1997), who emphasized adaptability as a continuous developmental construct.

3.4 Analytical Approach

The study employs comparative analysis of existing literature to validate the relationships among variables. The approach ensures that the model is theoretically grounded and empirically supported.

3.5 Practical Application

The model can be implemented in university career services through structured programs such as career counseling, workshops, and experiential learning opportunities. This aligns with the objective of producing graduates who meet industry expectations (Zuraidah, 2008).

4. RESULTS

The analysis reveals that self-awareness significantly enhances the effectiveness of career exploration processes. Students with higher levels of self-awareness demonstrate greater clarity in identifying suitable career paths, reducing decision-making difficulties (Ali & Shah, 2013).

Career exploration emerges as a critical mediator between self-awareness and decision outcomes. Structured exploration activities, such as internships and mentorship programs, improve decision confidence and readiness (Koen et al., 2010). The findings also indicate that career adaptability plays a moderating role, enabling students to adjust to changing career environments (Savickas, 1997).

The model demonstrates that decision-making competence is not a linear process but an iterative cycle influenced by continuous feedback. Students who engage in repeated cycles of self-assessment and exploration exhibit higher levels of readiness and satisfaction with their career choices.

Additionally, socio-cultural factors such as family expectations and institutional support influence the effectiveness of the model. These factors can either facilitate or hinder the decision-making process, highlighting the need for context-specific interventions (Byrne et al., 2012).

5. DISCUSSION

The findings underscore the importance of integrating psychological and behavioral dimensions in career decision-making models. The proposed framework extends existing theories by combining self-awareness and exploration into a unified system, addressing limitations of previous models that treated these variables independently.

Theoretically, the model aligns with the PIC framework while incorporating adaptability and self-efficacy constructs. This integration enhances explanatory power and applicability across diverse educational contexts. The role of self-awareness as a foundational element supports previous research emphasizing the importance of self-concept in career decisions (Leah, 2012).

Practically, the model provides actionable insights for higher education institutions. Career development programs should prioritize self-assessment tools and experiential learning opportunities to enhance exploration. This approach is consistent with the goal of producing industry-ready graduates capable of navigating complex career landscapes (Zuraidah, 2008).

However, the model has limitations. It is based on theoretical synthesis rather than empirical validation, which may affect generalizability. Additionally, socio-cultural variability may influence the applicability of the model across different regions. Future research should focus on empirical testing and refinement of the model using quantitative and qualitative methods.

6. CONCLUSION

This study presents a systematic model for optimizing career choice readiness by integrating self-awareness and career exploration. The model addresses critical gaps in existing literature by providing a holistic framework that combines psychological and behavioral dimensions.

The research contributes to academic knowledge by extending theoretical models and offers practical implications for higher education institutions. By emphasizing iterative processes and adaptability, the model aligns with contemporary workforce demands and educational objectives.

Future research should focus on empirical validation and the development of measurement tools to assess model effectiveness. Additionally, exploring the impact of digital technologies on career exploration could further enhance the model's relevance.

7. REFERENCES

1. Ali, U., & Shah, E. (2013). Career Decision Difficulty as a Predictor of Environmental Mastery and Self-Esteem in College Students. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 84, 1119–1123. Retrieved from: doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.06.711
2. Byrne, M., Willis, P., & Burke, J. (2012). Influences on school leavers' career decisions - Implications for the accounting profession. *International Journal of Management Education*, 10(2), 101–111. Retrieved from: doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2012.03.005
3. Chuang, N.K., & Dellmann-Jenkins, M (2010). Career Decision Making and Intention: A Study of Hospitality Undergraduate Students, *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research*, 34(4), 512-530.
Chen, L. S., & Liew, S. A. (2015). Factors Influencing Career Decision-Making Difficulties among Graduating Students from Malaysian Private Higher Educational Institutions. *Proceedings of 8th Asia-Pacific Business Research Conference*. Proceedings of 8th Asia-Pacific Business Research Conference, (February).
4. Gati, I., and Asher, I. (2001). The PIC model for career decision making: Pre-screening, in-depth exploration, and choice. In Leong, F. T. and Barak, A. (Eds.) *Contemporary model in vocational psychology*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
5. Guranda, M. (2014). The Importance of Adult's Personality Traits and Professional Interests in Career Decision Making. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 136, 522–526. Retrieved from: doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.05.368
6. Hmieleski, K.M. and Baron, R.A. (2008), "When does entrepreneurial self-efficacy enhance versus reduce firm performance?", *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*, Vol. 2 No. 1, pp. 57-72.

7. Koen, J., Klehe, U. C., Vianen, A.E.M.V., Zikic, J., and Nauta, A. (2010). Job-search strategies and reemployment quality. The impact of career adaptability. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 77, 126-139. Retrieved from: doi: 10.1016/j.jvb.2010.02.004.
8. Korkmaz, H. (2015). Factors Influencing Students' Career Chooses in Science and Technology: Implications for High School Science Curricula. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 197(February), doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.07.284
9. Lazaroo, S. (2008). Carve your niche at Naza. GRADUAN. Careers & employment for Malaysian fresh graduates 2008. Ampang, Selangor: BIZ Connexion Sdn Bhd, 151-153
10. Leah, W. A. (2012). The Relationship Between Self-Esteem and Career Choices Amongst African American High School Students. Howard University.
11. Mazwin, N.A. (2006). Too much job-hopping bad for career. Retrieved May 15, 2008, from <http://www.star-jobs.com/news/story.asp?file=/2006/3/15/starjobs/13663628&sec=starjobs>
12. NICHE. (2009). Higher Education in the Learning Society (The Dearing Report), London, 1997, (paragraph 4.22). Retrieved February 10, 2009, from bei.leeds.ac.uk/Partners/NICHE/
13. Noh Amit and Rafidah Aga Mohd Jaladin. (2007). The importance of psychological development for Malaysian students in facing Vision 2020. *Masalah Pendidikan*, Jilid 30(2), 117-127.
14. Norida, A., Mohd Tajudin, N., Kalthom, H., Zanariah, J, Sharif, M, Shahrulanuar. M. (2014). Model of self-esteem, job-search intensity and career decision-making self-efficacy for undergraduate students. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Science, Technology and Social Sciences (ICSTSS) 2012*. Pg 257-265. Springer Singapore.
15. Norida, A., Mohd Tajudin, N., Mohamad Sahari., N. (2011). The relationship between self-esteem, job search intensity, and career decision-making among final year students: An application of structural equation modeling: *International Technology, Education and Development Conference (INTED 2011)*. 7-9 March, Valencia Spain.
16. Reno, T. (2011). Self-Esteem and Career Decision Making among Latina American Female college students. Alliant International University.
17. Ranjit, S. M. (2009). Make yourself employable. How graduates can hit the ground running. Kuala Lumpur: TQM Consultants Sdn. Bhd.
18. Rusli, A., & Nur Naha, M. (2010). Proses Kognitif, Pembuatan Keputusan Dan Pemilihan Kerjaya. *Kajian Emperikal Menggunakan Perspektif. Jurnal Kemanusiaan*, (Bil.20), 67-83.
19. Savickas, M. L. (1997). Career adaptability: An integrative construct for lifespan theory. *Career Development Quarterly*, 45, 247-259.
20. Savickas, M. L. (2000). Assessing career decision making. In E. Watkins & V. Campbell (eds), *Testing and assessment in counselling practice* (2nd ed., p 429-477). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
21. Skorikov.V, (2007). Continuity in Adolescent Career Preparation and Its Effects. *J. of Voc. Behaviour* 70, 8-24.
22. Sovet, L., & Metz, a. J. (2014). Parenting styles and career decision-making among French and Korean adolescents. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 84(3), 345-355. Retrieved from: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2014.02.002>
23. Zunker, V. G. (2006). *Career counseling. A holistic approach*. (7th ed.) Belmont, CA: Thomson Brooks/Cole.

24. Zuraidah, O (2008). The Ideal Graduate. GRADUAN. Careers & employment for Malaysian fresh graduates 2008. Ampang, Selangor: BIZ Connexion Sdn Bhd, 41-43.