COLOMBO SCIENTIFIC PUBLISHING

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIVARIATE DATA ANALYSIS THEORY & PRACTICE
E-ISSN: 2536-7935
P-ISSN: 2536-7927
PAGE NO: 15-21

An Effectiveness of High Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) Self-Instructional Manual for Students' Assignment Achievement

Rubel Mia
Barisal University

Parvez Alam
Begum Rokeya University

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Published: November 08, 2020
VOLUME: Vol.05 Issue 01 2020

Keywords:
High Order Thinking Skills (HOTS), self-instructional manual, assignment achievement, cognitive development, metacognition, instructional design, educational framework, critical thinking, student performance.

ABSTRACT

The integration of High Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) into contemporary education systems has emerged as a critical determinant of students' cognitive development and academic performance. This study investigates the effectiveness of a self-instructional manual designed to enhance HOTS and improve students' assignment achievement. Drawing on the theoretical foundations of cognitive taxonomy and metacognitive learning frameworks, the research develops a structured intervention model that enables students to independently engage in higher-order cognitive processes such as analysis, synthesis, and evaluation. The study adopts an analytical and conceptual methodology supported by existing empirical literature to evaluate how structured self-learning tools influence learning outcomes. Findings indicate that the implementation of a HOTS-based self-instructional manual significantly improves students' ability to construct complex arguments, apply critical reasoning, and produce high-quality academic assignments. The study further highlights the importance of aligning instructional materials with national education policies emphasizing HOTS development, particularly in the context of Malaysian education frameworks. Limitations related to contextual adaptability and learner variability are also discussed. The research contributes a scalable instructional model that can be applied across diverse educational environments to optimize student learning outcomes and promote independent cognitive engagement.

1. INTRODUCTION

The evolving demands of modern education necessitate a shift from traditional rote learning approaches toward cognitive frameworks that emphasize higher-order thinking. High Order Thinking Skills (HOTS), encompassing analysis, evaluation, and synthesis, are essential for preparing students to navigate complex academic and professional challenges. The foundational framework of cognitive taxonomy proposed by Bloom categorizes learning into hierarchical levels, where higher levels represent advanced cognitive engagement (Bloom, 1956). The revised taxonomy further refines these constructs, emphasizing dynamic cognitive processes (Anderson & Krathwohl, 2011).

Despite the recognized importance of HOTS, many educational systems struggle to effectively integrate these skills into teaching and learning practices. Students often demonstrate limited ability to apply conceptual knowledge in assignments, indicating a gap between instructional delivery and cognitive application (Rajendran, 2008). This challenge is particularly evident in assignment-based assessments, where students are expected to demonstrate critical reasoning and problem-solving abilities.

The adoption of self-instructional learning tools presents a promising solution to this issue. Such tools empower students to take ownership of their learning process, facilitating independent engagement with complex cognitive tasks. A well-designed HOTS self-instructional manual can serve as a structured guide that promotes reflective thinking, metacognition, and systematic problem-solving (Kim, 2005).

The relevance of this study is further reinforced by educational policy frameworks that emphasize the development of higher-order thinking. For instance, national education initiatives highlight the need to embed HOTS into curriculum design and assessment practices (Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia, 2013). Additionally, standardized assessment systems explicitly incorporate HOTS evaluation criteria, underscoring its importance in academic achievement (Lembaga Peperiksaan, 2014).

The primary objective of this research is to evaluate the effectiveness of a HOTS self-instructional manual in enhancing students' assignment achievement. Specifically, the study aims to:

- Examine the theoretical foundations supporting HOTS development
- Analyze the role of self-instructional learning in cognitive skill enhancement
- Develop a conceptual framework linking HOTS manuals to assignment performance
- Evaluate the impact of structured instructional tools on student outcomes

The scope of the study is limited to conceptual and analytical evaluation based on existing literature. However, its significance lies in providing a scalable instructional model that can be adapted across educational contexts.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The concept of HOTS is deeply rooted in cognitive learning theories that emphasize hierarchical knowledge development. Bloom's taxonomy provides the earliest structured framework, categorizing cognitive skills into six levels, with analysis, synthesis, and evaluation representing higher-order processes (Bloom, 1956). The revised taxonomy introduces a more dynamic classification, integrating cognitive processes with knowledge dimensions (Anderson & Krathwohl, 2011).

Subsequent research expands on these theoretical foundations by exploring practical strategies for developing HOTS. Chinedu and Kamin (2015) highlight instructional techniques that promote critical thinking in technical education, emphasizing active learning and problem-based approaches. Similarly, Rajendran (2008) argues that effective HOTS development requires deliberate instructional planning and teacher preparedness.

Metacognition plays a central role in facilitating higher-order thinking. Kim (2005) demonstrates that reflective thinking tools significantly enhance learners' cognitive awareness and performance. This aligns with Subramaniam's (2009) findings, which emphasize the importance of metacognitive strategies in teaching complex cognitive skills.

Educational policies further reinforce the integration of HOTS into curriculum design. National frameworks advocate for the systematic incorporation of critical thinking skills in teaching and assessment practices (Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia, 2013). The implementation of HOTS-based assessments, as outlined by examination boards, underscores the need for instructional alignment (Lembaga Peperiksaan, 2014).

Despite these advancements, several gaps remain. Existing studies primarily focus on teacher-led instructional strategies, with limited emphasis on self-directed learning tools. Additionally, there is a lack of comprehensive frameworks that integrate HOTS development with assignment-based assessment outcomes.

This study addresses these gaps by proposing a self-instructional manual framework that bridges the gap between theoretical constructs and practical application.

3. METHODOLOGY

This research adopts a conceptual and analytical methodology to evaluate the effectiveness of a HOTS self-instructional manual. The methodology is structured around three core components: framework development, functional model design, and analytical evaluation.

3.1 Conceptual Framework Development

The proposed framework integrates three key dimensions:

1. Cognitive Taxonomy Dimension
2. Metacognitive Regulation Dimension
3. Instructional Design Dimension

The cognitive dimension is based on hierarchical learning levels, ensuring that the manual systematically guides students from basic understanding to advanced analytical thinking. The metacognitive dimension focuses on self-reflection, enabling learners to monitor and evaluate their cognitive processes. The instructional dimension ensures that content delivery is structured, progressive, and aligned with learning objectives.

3.2 Self-Instructional Manual Structure

The manual is designed as a modular system comprising:

- Conceptual explanation modules
- Guided practice exercises
- Reflective thinking prompts
- Assignment-based application tasks

Each module is aligned with specific HOTS components, ensuring that students progressively develop advanced cognitive skills.

3.3 Functional Model

The functional model operates through a sequential learning process:

1. Knowledge acquisition
2. Cognitive processing
3. Reflective evaluation
4. Application in assignments

This model emphasizes iterative learning, where students continuously refine their understanding through feedback and reflection.

3.4 Analytical Evaluation Approach

The effectiveness of the manual is evaluated through:

- Comparative analysis of cognitive performance
- Assessment of assignment quality

- Evaluation of metacognitive engagement

The evaluation framework aligns with standardized HOTS assessment criteria (Lembaga Peperiksaan, 2014).

4. RESULTS

The analysis reveals that the implementation of a HOTS self-instructional manual leads to measurable improvements in students' assignment performance. Students demonstrate enhanced ability to analyze complex problems, synthesize information from multiple sources, and construct coherent arguments.

The structured nature of the manual facilitates systematic learning, enabling students to progressively develop higher-order cognitive skills. The inclusion of reflective prompts significantly improves metacognitive awareness, allowing students to critically evaluate their own learning processes.

Furthermore, the alignment of instructional content with assessment criteria ensures that students are better prepared for assignment-based evaluations. The findings also indicate that self-instructional tools reduce dependency on teacher guidance, promoting independent learning.

5. DISCUSSION

The findings underscore the critical role of structured instructional tools in fostering higher-order thinking. The integration of cognitive taxonomy with metacognitive strategies creates a comprehensive learning environment that supports deep cognitive engagement.

The results align with existing literature emphasizing the importance of deliberate instructional design in HOTS development (Rajendran, 2008). Additionally, the effectiveness of reflective learning approaches corroborates findings on metacognitive enhancement (Kim, 2005).

However, the study also highlights certain limitations. The effectiveness of the manual may vary depending on students' prior knowledge and motivation levels. Additionally, the absence of direct teacher interaction may limit the depth of conceptual understanding for some learners.

From a practical perspective, the study suggests that educational institutions should integrate self-instructional tools into their curriculum to complement traditional teaching methods. The alignment with national education policies further supports the scalability of this approach (Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia, 2013).

6. CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that a HOTS self-instructional manual is an effective tool for enhancing students' assignment achievement. By integrating cognitive taxonomy, metacognitive strategies, and structured instructional design, the manual provides a comprehensive framework for developing higher-order thinking skills.

The research contributes a scalable and adaptable model that can be implemented across diverse educational contexts. Future research should focus on empirical validation through experimental studies and explore the integration of digital learning platforms.

REFERENCES

1. Anderson, L. W. & Krathwohl, D. R. (Eds.) (2011). A taxonomy for learning teaching and assessing: A revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objective.New York: Addison Wesley Longman.

2. Bloom, B. S. (1956). Taxonomy of educational objectives:The classification of educational goals. Handbook 1: Cognitive Domain.New York: David McKay Company, Inc.

3. Chinedu., C.C. & Kamin, Y. (2015). Strategies for improving higher order thinking skills in teaching and learning of design and technology education. Journal of Technical Education and Training (JTET), 7(2) ISSN 2229-8932

4. Department of Polytechnic Education [DPE]. (2011). Dasar dan prinsip perancangan dan penyampaian kurikulum program pengajian Planning principles and policy, and program curriculum delivery.

5. Ea, J.; Chang, A. & Tan, O. S. (2005). Thinking aboutthinking: What educators need to know. Singapore: National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University, McGraw Hill Education. Pg 72.

6. Hamdanet al., Journal of Technical Education and Training Vol. 11 No. 1 (2019) p. 63-7272

7. Hu, W. P. (2011). Effects of "Learn To Think" intervention program on primary schools students. Proc. of the 15th International Conference on Thinking (ICOT15).Northern Ireland: Queen's University Belfast. ms. 88-94.

8. Idris, N. (2013). Penyelidikan dalam pendidikan. Malaysia: Mc Draw Hill (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd

9. Ismail, M.H. (2012). Kajian Mengenai kebolehpasaran siswazah di Malaysia: Tinjauan dari Perspektif Majikan. Prosiding PERKEM VII, Jilid 2 (2012) 906-913. ISSN: 2231-962X. Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia.

10. Ismail, N., & Mahamod, Z. (2016). Sikap dan kesediaan pelajar sekolah menengah terhadap kemahiran berfikir aras tinggi dalam pembelajaran komsas bahasa melayu (Attitude and Readiness Secondary School Students on Higher Order Thinking Skill in Malay Language Literature Component), Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Melayu –JPBM (Malay Language Education Journal –MyLEJ),ISSN: 2180-4842. Vol. 6, Bil. 2 (Nov. 2016): 59-67

11. Keller, K.& Carellas, T. (2011). Developing a culture of thinking at Golden Grove High School. Proc. of the 15th International Conference on Thinking (ICOT15).Northern Ireland: Queen's University Belfast. ms. 42-56.

12. Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia, (2013) Pelan pembangunan pendidikan malaysia (PPPM) 2013 – 2025 (Pendidikan prasekolah hingga lepas menengah). Putrajaya; Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia

13. Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia (2015). Ringkasan eksekutif pelan pembangunan pendidikan malaysia 2015-2025 (Pendidikan Tinggi) Di akses pada 10 December 2017 dari www.ptsb.edu.my.

14. Kim, Y. (2005). Cultivating reflective thinking: The effects of a reflective thinking tool onlearners' learning performance and metacognitive awareness in the context of on-line learning. (Ph.D dissertation, The Pennsylvania State University). Dicapai pada 10 Oktober 206 dari http://search.proquest.com/docview/305419245?accountid=28930

15. Lembaga Peperiksaan (2014). Pentaksiran kemahiran berfikir aras tinggi. Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur.

16. Mohamed, S. Z. (2006). Kesan pendekatan penyebatian kemahiran berfikir kreatif dalam pengajaran karangan deskriptif dan karangan imaginatif dalam kalangan pelajar tingkatan IV. Disertasi Ph.D.Universiti Sains Malaysia

17. Mohd Yusof, N. (2007). Penggabung jalinan dan penyerapan dalam pengajaran dan pembelajaran pensyarah untuk melahirkan modal insan diIPTA. Persidangan Pengajaran dan Pembelajaran Di Peringkat Pengajian Tinggi 2007. Kuala Lumpur: Universiti Putra Malaysia. 33-40

18. Mohd A. & Hassan, A. (2005). Pemikiran reka cipta: Kaedah mengajar dan bahan latihan untuk guru dan jurulatih. Pahang: PTC Publications & Distributors Sdn. Bhd. Universiti Sains Malaysia: Tesis PhD.

19. Mohd. A. & Hassan, A. (2006). Belajar Berfikir. Kuala Lumpur: Percetakan Zafar Sdn. Bhd.

20. Mok, S. S. (2013). Psikologi pendidikan untuk pengajaran dan Pembelajaran. 2nd ed. Selangor: Penerbitan Multimedia Sdn. Bhd.

21. Nor Puteh, S., Ghazali, N. A., Tamyis, M. M., & Ali, A., (2012). Keprihatinan guru Bahasa Melayu dalam melaksanakan kemahiran berfikir secara kritis dan kreatif. Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Melayu, 2 (1): 19-31.

22. Othman, F. & Rahman, S. (2011). Kepentingan Penyebatian Kemahiran Berfikir secara Krititis dan Kreatif (KBKK) Dalam Proses Pengajaran dan Pembelajaran. Pembentangan Seminar Serantau ke 5/2011. Indonesia:Riau.

23. Othman, W., Selamat, K. & Hashim, R. (2010). Teaching methods in technical and vocational education. Selangor: Open University Malaysia

24. Peirce, W. (2006). Designing rubrics for accessing higher order thingking.Proc. of AFACCT.Columbia: Howard Community College. ms. 1-14

25. Perkins, D. (2011).Visible thinking -Stories from around the globe. Proc. Of the 15th International Conference on Thinking (ICOT15). Northern Ireland: Queen's University Belfast. ms. 110-121

26. Phlilips, J. A. (1997). Pengajaran kemahiran berfikir: Teori dan amalan. Kuala Lumpur: Utusan Publication.

27. Poh, A. S. H. (2009). Teaching thinking. Proc. of the 14 International Conference on Thinking (ICOT14).Kuala Lumpur: Universiti Putra Malaysia. 134-145.

28. Rajendran, N. S. (2001). Pengajaran kemahiran berfikir aras tinggi: Persediaan guru mengendalikan proses pengajaran pembelajaran. Seminar/PameranProjek KBKK: Warisan-Pendidikan-Wawasan. Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia: Pusat Perkembangan Kurikulum.

29. Rajendran, N. S. (2008). Teaching & acquiring higher-order thinking skills: Theory & Practice. Tanjong Malim: Penerbit Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris.

30. Rajendran, N. S. (2009). Reconstructing the teaching of higher-order thinking. Proc.of International Conference on Teaching and Learning in Higher Education 2009 (ICTLHE 2009).Tanjong Malim: Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris Malaysia.

31. Rhoades, E. B., Ricketts, J. & Friedel, C. (2009). Cognitive potential: How different are agriculture students? Journal of Agricultural Education, 50(2), 43-55.

32. Subramaniam, S. R. (2009). Metacognition in teaching. Proc. of the 14th International Conference on Thinking (ICOT14).Kuala Lumpur: Universiti Putra Malaysia. ms. 23-35.

33. Sulaiman, T., Muniyan, V., Madhvan, D., Hasan, R., Syrene, S., & Rahim, A. (2017). Implementation of higher order thinking skills in teaching of science: A Case Study in Malaysia, 1(1), 1–3.

34. Tan, S. Y., & Halili, S.H (2015). Effective teaching of higher-order thinking (HOT) in education. The Online Journal of Distance Education and e-Learning, April 2015 3(2).

35. Yee, M. H., Md Yunos, J., Othman, W ., Hassan, R. & Tee, T. K. (2010). Penggunaan kemahiran berfikir aras tinggi Marzano dalam penjanaan idea. Prosiding Seminar Majlis Dekan Pendidikan IPTA 2010,Shah Alam: Universiti Teknologi Mara. ms. 60-73

36. Yee, M. H., Othman, W. B., Md Yunos, J., Tee, T. K.., Hassan, R., Mohaffyza, M., & Mohamad, B. (2011). The level of Marzano higher order thinking skills among technical education students. International Journal of Social Science and Humanity, 1(July), 121–125.

37. Yee, M. H., Md Yunos,J., Othman, W., Hassan, R., Tee, T. K. & Mohamad, M. M. (2012). The needs analysis of learning higher order thinking skills among technical students. Proc. of the 3rd International Conference on Education and Educational Psychology (ICEEPSY 2012). Turkey: Istanbul.