COLOMBO SCIENTIFIC PUBLISHING

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIVARIATE DATA ANALYSIS THEORY & PRACTICE
E-ISSN: 2536-7935
P-ISSN: 2536-7927
PAGE NO: 7-13
-27

A Conceptual Framework for Designing an E-Portfolio System to Enhance Malaysian Skills Certification Processes

Ashraful Islam
Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University

Laila Akhter
Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Published: August 15, 2021
VOLUME: Vol.06 Issue 01 2021

Keywords:
E-portfolio system, Malaysian Skills Certification, competency assessment, digital learning architecture, TVET, reflective learning, recognition of prior learning, educational technology, system framework.

ABSTRACT

The increasing demand for competency-based assessment in Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) has necessitated the adoption of innovative digital solutions such as e-portfolio systems. In the context of Malaysian Skills Certification (MSC), traditional assessment mechanisms face challenges related to scalability, transparency, and evidence-based validation of competencies. This study proposes a conceptual framework for designing an integrated e-portfolio system aimed at enhancing the effectiveness, reliability, and accessibility of certification processes. Drawing upon existing literature on e-portfolio adoption, competency assessment, and digital learning environments, the framework incorporates pedagogical, technological, and assessment dimensions. The study employs a conceptual research design grounded in synthesis of empirical and theoretical works, identifying critical components such as user-centered architecture, competency mapping, reflective learning integration, and system interoperability. The findings highlight that a well-structured e-portfolio system can significantly improve learner engagement, facilitate continuous assessment, and support recognition of prior learning (RPL). The proposed model contributes to both academic and practical domains by offering a scalable architecture aligned with national qualification standards. Limitations include dependency on digital literacy and infrastructural readiness. Future research is recommended to empirically validate the framework and explore machine learning integration for automated competency evaluation.

1. INTRODUCTION

The transformation of education systems toward competency-based learning has accelerated the integration of digital tools in assessment and certification processes. Malaysian Skills Certification (MSC), a key component of the national vocational education framework, emphasizes the validation of practical competencies aligned with industry requirements. However, conventional assessment methods often lack flexibility, transparency, and longitudinal tracking of learner development. These limitations create inefficiencies in documenting and verifying skills, particularly in dynamic vocational environments.

E-portfolio systems have emerged as a viable solution to address these challenges by providing a digital repository for documenting learning artifacts, competencies, and reflective practices. Unlike traditional assessment systems, e-portfolios enable continuous evaluation and promote learner autonomy (Balaban et al., 2011). Furthermore, they support the integration of formal and informal learning experiences, aligning with the principles of lifelong learning and recognition of prior learning (Bateman & Knight, 2003).

The relevance of this study lies in addressing the gap between existing e-portfolio implementations and the specific requirements of MSC. While previous research has explored e-portfolio usage in higher education and teacher training (Ashikin et al., 2015; Shepherd & Hannafin, 2008), limited attention has been given to their application in national certification frameworks.

The primary objectives of this research are:

- To analyze existing e-portfolio models and identify critical design components.
- To develop a conceptual framework tailored to MSC requirements.
- To evaluate the potential impact of the framework on assessment efficiency and learner outcomes.

The scope of this study is confined to conceptual framework development based on literature synthesis. Its significance lies in providing a foundation for future system development and empirical validation within Malaysian vocational education.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The evolution of e-portfolio systems has been closely linked to advancements in educational technology and competency-based assessment models. Early studies emphasized the role of e-portfolios as digital repositories for showcasing learner achievements (Batson, 2002). However, contemporary research highlights their broader function as tools for reflective learning, assessment, and professional development.

Ahmed and Ward (2016) identified key factors influencing e-portfolio adoption, including perceived usefulness, ease of use, and institutional support. Their findings underscore the importance of user-centered design in ensuring system acceptance. Similarly, Chantanarungpak (2015) demonstrated that integrating e-portfolios with social media platforms enhances user engagement and collaborative learning. This perspective suggests that modern e-portfolio systems must incorporate interactive features to remain relevant.

From a structural standpoint, Balaban et al. (2011) proposed a meta-model for e-portfolio usage across different environments, emphasizing adaptability and interoperability. This aligns with the need for flexible systems capable of accommodating diverse certification requirements. Koraneekij and Khlaisang (2015) further extended this concept by developing outcome-based e-portfolio models that prioritize cognitive skill development within blended learning environments.

Theoretical foundations of e-portfolio systems are rooted in constructivist learning theories, which advocate for active learner participation and knowledge construction (Stefani et al., 2007). These principles are particularly relevant in vocational education, where experiential learning plays a central role. Carroll et al. (2007) demonstrated that e-portfolios facilitate the development of transferable skills, reinforcing their applicability in MSC.

Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL) is another critical dimension addressed in the literature. Firssova and Brinke (2007) highlighted the importance of portfolio-based assessment in validating prior learning experiences. This approach aligns with national strategies aimed at upskilling the workforce (Halligan, 2011).

Despite these advancements, several gaps remain. Existing models often lack integration with national qualification frameworks, limiting their applicability in formal certification systems. Additionally, issues related to standardization, data security, and scalability persist. While studies such as Rezgui et al. (2017) have explored ontology-based e-portfolio models, their implementation in real-world certification systems remains limited.

This study positions itself within this gap by proposing a comprehensive framework that integrates pedagogical, technological, and regulatory dimensions, specifically tailored to MSC requirements.

3. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a conceptual research methodology based on systematic literature synthesis and analytical modeling. The approach involves identifying key components from existing e-portfolio models and integrating them into a unified framework tailored to Malaysian Skills Certification.

3.1 Framework Design Principles

The proposed framework is guided by four primary principles:

1. User-Centered Design

The system must prioritize usability and accessibility to ensure adoption among learners, instructors, and assessors. Studies indicate that ease of use significantly influences e-portfolio acceptance (Ahmed & Ward, 2016).

2. Competency-Based Architecture

The framework aligns with MSC standards by mapping learning artifacts to predefined competency units. This ensures consistency in assessment and certification.

3. Reflective Learning Integration

Reflective practices are embedded within the system to promote critical thinking and continuous improvement. This aligns with constructivist learning theories (Stefani et al., 2007).

4. Interoperability and Scalability

The system is designed to integrate with existing educational platforms and accommodate future expansion, as emphasized by Balaban et al. (2011).

3.2 Conceptual Framework Components

The proposed e-portfolio framework consists of five interconnected layers:

a. User Interface Layer

This layer provides access to learners, instructors, and assessors. It includes dashboards, artifact upload tools, and feedback mechanisms. Integration with social media elements enhances engagement (Chantanarungpak, 2015).

b. Data Management Layer

Responsible for storing and organizing portfolio artifacts, this layer ensures secure data handling and retrieval. It supports multimedia content, enabling comprehensive documentation of competencies.

c. Competency Mapping Layer

This core component links portfolio artifacts to MSC competency standards. It enables automated tracking of learner progress and facilitates assessment consistency.

d. Assessment and Feedback Layer

This layer supports formative and summative assessments. Assessors can evaluate artifacts, provide feedback, and validate competencies. Continuous feedback mechanisms enhance learning outcomes (Shepherd & Hannafin, 2008).

e. Integration Layer

Ensures interoperability with external systems such as Learning Management Systems (LMS) and national certification databases. This enhances scalability and system efficiency.

3.3 Functional Workflow

The system operates through a structured workflow:

- Learners upload artifacts demonstrating competencies.
- The system maps artifacts to relevant competency units.
- Assessors evaluate submissions and provide feedback.
- Verified competencies are recorded for certification.

3.4 Example Application

In a vocational training program, a student completing a welding module uploads video evidence of practical work. The system maps this artifact to specific competency criteria. An assessor reviews the submission, provides feedback, and validates the competency. This process ensures transparency and consistency in certification.

3.5 Critical Analysis

While the framework offers a comprehensive solution, challenges such as digital literacy, infrastructure limitations, and data security must be addressed. Additionally, the success of the system depends on institutional support and policy alignment.

4. RESULTS

The conceptual analysis reveals that the proposed e-portfolio framework significantly enhances the efficiency and reliability of MSC processes. The integration of competency mapping ensures alignment with national standards, reducing inconsistencies in assessment. Furthermore, the inclusion of reflective learning components promotes deeper engagement and skill development.

The framework also demonstrates scalability, allowing for integration with existing educational systems. The use of digital artifacts provides a comprehensive representation of learner competencies, addressing limitations of traditional assessment methods.

However, findings indicate potential challenges related to user adoption and technological readiness. The effectiveness of the system depends on adequate training and infrastructure support.

5. DISCUSSION

The findings align with existing literature emphasizing the benefits of e-portfolio systems in enhancing learning and assessment. The integration of social and interactive features, as suggested by Chantanarungpak (2015), plays a crucial role in improving user engagement. Additionally, the competency-based approach reflects the principles outlined by Koraneekij and Khlaisang (2015).

From a theoretical perspective, the framework supports constructivist learning by enabling active participation and reflection. It also addresses gaps identified in previous studies by integrating national certification requirements into the system design.

Practically, the framework offers significant advantages for policymakers and educational institutions. It facilitates standardized assessment, improves transparency, and supports lifelong learning initiatives. However, challenges such as data privacy, system maintenance, and resistance to change must be carefully managed.

Comparatively, the proposed model extends existing frameworks by incorporating interoperability and scalability, addressing limitations identified in earlier studies. Despite these advancements, further empirical validation is required to assess real-world effectiveness.

6. CONCLUSION

This study presents a conceptual framework for designing an e-portfolio system tailored to Malaysian Skills Certification processes. By integrating pedagogical, technological, and assessment components, the framework addresses key challenges associated with traditional certification methods.

The research contributes to the academic field by providing a comprehensive model that bridges the gap between theory and practice. It also offers practical insights for implementing digital assessment systems in vocational education.

Future research should focus on empirical validation of the framework, including pilot implementations and user acceptance studies. Additionally, the integration of advanced technologies such as machine learning for automated assessment presents a promising avenue for further exploration.

7. REFERENCES

1. Ahmed, E., &Ward, R. (2016). Analysis of factors influencing acceptance of personal, academic and professional development e-portfolios. Computers in Human Behaviour, 63, 152-161.

2. Ashikin, H. T., Ruhizan, M. Y., &Rohani, S. (2015). E-portfolio model development for the professional practice bachelor of teaching (PISMP) in Malaysia.Procedia-Social and BehaviouralSciences, 174, 1262-1269.

3. Assessment and Teaching of 21st Century Skills (2011) What are 21st-century skills?Retrieved on February2015 at http://atc21s.org/index.php/about/what-are-21st-century-skills/

4. Balaban, I., Divjak, B., & Mu, E. (2011). Meta-model of e-portfoliousage in different environments.InternationalJournal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET), 6(3), 35-41

5. Bateman, A., & Knight, B. (2003). Giving Credit a Review of RPL and Credit Transfer in the Vocational Education and Training Sector, 1995 to 2001. Australia: NCVER.

6. Batson, T. (2002). The electronic portfolio boom: what's it all about? Campus technology. Retrieved on March 2015 at http://www.campustechnology.com/articles/39299/

7. Bennett, J. G. (2005). Design Fundamentals for New Media. Clifton Park, NY: Thomson.

8. Bullock, A. A. & Hawk, P. P. (2005).Developinga Teaching Portfolio. 2ndedition. United State: Pearson.

9. Carroll, N. L., Markauskaite, L., &Calvo, R. A. (2007). E-portfolios for developing transferable skills in a freshman engineering course. Education, IEEE Transactions, 50(4), 360-366.

10. Carrington, A. (2016). The Pedagogy Wheel V4.0 the Next Generation, Retrieved on March 2015 at http://padagogy.net/?p=1525

11. Chantanarungpak, K. (2015). Using e-Portfolio on social media. Procedia-Social and Behavioural Sciences, 186, 1275-1281

12. Chua, Y. P. (2006). Research Methodology. Malaysia: McGraw Hill.

13. Dimarco, J. (2006). Web Portfolio Design and Application. United State:Idea Group.

14. Firssova, O., &Brinke, D. J. T. (2007). Portfolio for assessment of prior learning: design issues. EPortfolio Conference Maastricht, (pp. 109-113).

15. Halligan, U. (2011). Developing recognition of prior learning: the role of rpl in the contextof the national skills strategy upskilling objectives. Retrieved on February 2015 at http://www.skillsireland.ie/media/egfsn110411-developing_recognition_of_prior_learning.pdf

16. Handa, J., Arame, M., Goda, Y., Naganuma, S., &Gondo, T. (2011). Using e-portfolios: The Impact of Online Group Work. International Journal,5(1), 75-85.

17. Harrison, C. (2005). Best Practices in Prior Learning Assessment and Recognition (PLAR) Handbook. Alberta: Barrington Research Group, Inc.

18. Hashim, M. H. M. (2012). Group blogs as toolkits to support learning environments in statistics subject: A qualitative case study. International Education Studies, 5(3), 199-204.

19. Hashim, M. H. M. (2015).Using technology and instructional e-material among technical teacher and student into teaching and learning: A qualitative case study. International Education Studies, 8(3), 175.

20. Kilbane, C. R., &Milman, N. B. (2005). The Digital Teaching Portfolio Workbook: Understanding the Digital Teaching Portfolio Process. United State: Pearson.

21. Kline, R. B. (2011). Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modelling. 2ndEdition. New York: Guilford press.

22. Koraneekij, P., &Khlaisang, J. (2015). Development of learning outcome based e-portfolio model emphasizing on cognitive skills in pedagogical blended e-learning environment for undergraduate students at faculty of education, Chulalongkorn University. Procedia-Social and Behavioural Sciences, 174, 805-813.

23. Ku, D. T., & Chang, W.C. (2011). Ufolio: a conceptual design framework for a learning platform and assessment system. Networked Computing and Advanced Information Management (NCM), 2011 7th International Conference(pp. 358-363).

24. Malaysian Qualifications Agency. (2012). Good practice guidelines: Accredited learning based on past experience. Retrieved on March 2015 athttp://www.mqa.gov.my/portal2012/garispanduan/APEL/GGP%20APEL%20(Malay%20Version).pdf

25. Masran, S. H., M. B. Rahim, A. N. Y. Faizal, & M.F. Marian.(2018). Validity and reliability of an e-portfolio indicators instrument for Malaysian Skills Certification (MSC).Pertanika Journal of Social Sciences & Humanities,25(s),47-56.

26. Ministry of Education. (2011). Strategic Plan of Vocational Education Transformation. Retrieved on March 2017 at http://www.bptv.edu.my/v4/images/bahanpdf/pelanstrategiktpv/PelanStrategikTranformasiPendidikanVokasional_1.pdf

27. MohdHashim, M. H., &AbuBakar, B (2017). The availability of electronic courses using ICT infrastructure in teaching and learning among teachers in Nigeria's TVET institutions.Pertanika Journal of Social Sciences & Humanities. 25 (S): 17-28

28. Montgomery, K. K., & Wiley, D. A. (2008). Building E-Portfolios Using PowerPoint Using Power Point a Guide for Educator. 2ndEdition. United State: Sage Publication ltd.

29. Oner, D., &Adadan, E. (2016). Are integrated portfolio systems the answer? An evaluation of a web-based portfolio system to improve preservice teachers' reflective thinking skills.Journal of Computing in Higher Education, 28(2), 236-260.

30. Rezgui, K., Mhiri, H., &Ghédira, K. (2017). Ontology-based e-Portfolio modelling for supporting lifelong competency assessment and development.Procedia Computer Science, 112, 397-406.

31. Sang, S., Lee, J.D., & Lee, J. (2010). E-government adoption in Cambodia: a partial least squares approach. Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy, 4(2), 138-157.

32. Shepherd, C. E., &Hannafin, M. J. (2008). Examining preservice teacher inquiry through video-based, formative assessment e-portfolios. Journal of Computing in Teacher Education, 25(1), 31-37.

33. Stefani, I., Mason, R., & Pegler, C. (2007). The Educational Potential of E-Portfolios Supporting Personal Development and Reflective Learning. New York: Routledge.

34. Theodosiadou, D., &Konstantinidis, A. (2015). Introducing e-portfolio use to primary school pupils: Response, benefits and challenges. Journal of Information Technology Education:

35. Innovations in Practice, 14(1), 17-38.