COLOMBO SCIENTIFIC PUBLISHING

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIVARIATE DATA ANALYSIS THEORY & PRACTICE
E-ISSN: 2536-7935
P-ISSN: 2536-7927
PAGE NO: 09-16

A Comparative Analytical Framework for Assessing the Evolution of Occupational Training Practices Among TVET Civil Engineering Students in the Modern Era

Rohit Mehta
International Institute of Information Technology Hyderabad

Kavita Joshi
Birla Institute of Technology and Science Pilani

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Published: May 11, 2023
VOLUME: Vol.08 Issue 01 2023

Keywords:
TVET, Civil Engineering Education, Occupational Training, Fourth Industrial Revolution, Competency-Based Education, Skills Development, Curriculum Reform, Industry 4.0, Comparative Framework, Workforce Readiness

ABSTRACT

The rapid transformation of industrial ecosystems under the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) has significantly reshaped technical and vocational education and training (TVET), particularly in civil engineering disciplines. This study develops a comparative analytical framework to assess the evolution of occupational training practices among TVET civil engineering students in the modern era. The research synthesizes global and regional literature to examine how technological disruption, competency-based education, and labor market demands are redefining skill development pathways. Using a qualitative comparative design informed by secondary data analysis, the study critically evaluates shifts in pedagogical approaches, workplace integration, and competency alignment with industry expectations.

Findings suggest that occupational training in TVET civil engineering has transitioned from traditional task-based instruction to integrated, technology-enhanced, and industry-responsive models. However, gaps persist in curriculum-industry alignment, digital infrastructure readiness, and instructor capability development. The study highlights that 4IR technologies, including automation, digital modeling, and intelligent construction systems, are increasingly central to training frameworks (Xu, David, & Kim, 2018). Despite these advancements, disparities between policy intentions and institutional implementation remain a key concern in developing contexts.

The proposed framework contributes to enhancing comparative evaluation of TVET systems by integrating competency mapping, industry feedback loops, and digital skill integration indicators. The study offers implications for policymakers, curriculum designers, and institutional leaders aiming to modernize occupational training systems in civil engineering education.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) systems have historically served as critical mechanisms for workforce development, particularly in technical domains such as civil engineering. The increasing complexity of construction technologies, coupled with rapid industrial digitization, has necessitated a shift from traditional apprenticeship models to more dynamic, competency-based training systems. The Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) has further intensified this transformation by introducing automation, artificial intelligence, and digital engineering systems into construction and infrastructure development (Xu, David, & Kim, 2018).

Civil engineering education within TVET institutions is particularly affected due to its strong reliance on practical competencies, workplace exposure, and applied technical skills. The integration of smart construction systems, Building Information Modeling (BIM), and automated design tools requires a reconfiguration of occupational training frameworks. As Xu, David, and Kim (2018) emphasize, 4IR

technologies are not only reshaping industries but also redefining the nature of employability skills required in modern economies.

3.2 Problem Statement

Despite significant policy reforms in TVET systems globally, a persistent gap remains between occupational training practices and industry expectations in civil engineering fields. Many institutions continue to rely on outdated pedagogical models that emphasize theoretical instruction over real-world application. This misalignment results in graduates who are insufficiently prepared for technologically advanced construction environments (Oketch, 2007; Okorafor & Okorafor, 2011).

Moreover, while the 4IR introduces new skill requirements such as digital literacy, data-driven decision-making, and automated system management, many TVET institutions lack the infrastructure and curriculum flexibility to accommodate these changes (Xu, David, & Kim, 2018). Consequently, there is a pressing need for a structured analytical framework to evaluate how occupational training practices have evolved in response to these global shifts.

3.3 Research Objectives

This study aims to:

1. Examine the evolution of occupational training practices in TVET civil engineering education.
2. Identify key differences between traditional and modern training approaches.
3. Develop a comparative analytical framework for evaluating training effectiveness.
4. Assess the influence of 4IR technologies on civil engineering skill development.

3.4 Significance of the Study

This research contributes to the body of knowledge by bridging the gap between educational theory and industrial practice in TVET systems. It provides a structured framework for policymakers and curriculum developers to assess training effectiveness and align educational outputs with labor market needs. Additionally, it supports the ongoing global discourse on skills transformation in the context of digital industrialization (Marope, Chakroun, & Holmes, 2015; Xu, David, & Kim, 2018).

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Evolution of TVET and Occupational Training

TVET systems have undergone substantial transformation from traditional craft-based learning models to structured, competency-based education systems. Afeti and Adubra (2012) argue that vocational training plays a central role in sustainable socioeconomic development, particularly in emerging economies. Similarly, Eicker, Haseloff, and Lennartz (2017) highlight that vocational education in Sub-Saharan Africa is increasingly being restructured to respond to industrial modernization and workforce demands.

Oketch (2007) critically examines the tension between academic and vocational pathways, emphasizing the need for contextualized skill development strategies. Meanwhile, Okorafor and Okorafor (2011) argue that TVET systems must prioritize functionality and self-reliance to remain relevant in evolving labor markets.

2.2 Competency-Based and Industry-Oriented Training

Contemporary TVET frameworks emphasize competency-based education (CBE), where learning outcomes are directly aligned with workplace requirements. Carnevale (1990) and Carnevale and Smith (2013) identify foundational workplace skills such as communication, problem-solving, and adaptability as essential components of employability.

Caves et al. (2019) further emphasize the importance of education-employment linkages, particularly in developing contexts where skill mismatches are prevalent. Similarly, Gamede and Uleanya (2019) highlight entrepreneurship education as a key factor influencing employability outcomes in TVET institutions.

2.3 Impact of the Fourth Industrial Revolution

The Fourth Industrial Revolution has fundamentally altered the landscape of technical education and occupational training. Technologies such as automation, robotics, and digital construction systems require new forms of cognitive and technical competencies (Xu, David, & Kim, 2018). These changes necessitate a shift from manual skill acquisition to digital and analytical proficiency.

Ndung'u and Signé (2020) emphasize that 4IR adoption requires national and regional strategies to integrate emerging technologies into education systems. Similarly, Sherratt, Dowsett, and Sherratt (2020) argue that Construction 4.0 will significantly transform workforce roles in the construction industry, requiring continuous upskilling and reskilling.

Makgato (2019) notes that STEM integration in TVET institutions is essential for preparing learners for 4IR challenges. However, infrastructure limitations and inadequate teacher preparedness remain significant barriers.

2.4 Pedagogical Transformation and Training Models

Modern occupational training increasingly incorporates experiential learning, simulation-based instruction, and workplace immersion. Murray, McQuade, and Hendry (2019) highlight the effectiveness of co-curricular learning in enhancing engineering competencies. Similarly, Isaac and Manto (2019) emphasize the role of environmental and practical knowledge in promoting active learning in civil technology education.

Bozkurt et al. (2020) further demonstrate how disruptions such as COVID-19 have accelerated digital transformation in education, necessitating flexible and hybrid learning models. These developments reinforce the importance of adaptive training frameworks capable of responding to external disruptions.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative comparative research design supported by structured secondary data synthesis. The objective is to critically examine the evolution of occupational training practices in TVET civil engineering education systems across traditional and modern (4IR-influenced) paradigms. A comparative analytical framework is developed to systematically evaluate changes in pedagogical structures, competency development approaches, and industry alignment mechanisms.

The qualitative design is appropriate because occupational training transformation is a multidimensional phenomenon involving institutional behavior, curriculum design, and labor market interaction. According to Creswell (2013), qualitative inquiry enables deep exploration of complex educational transformations, particularly when comparing evolving systems.

3.2 Analytical Framework Development

The proposed framework is constructed around four core analytical dimensions:

1. Curriculum Orientation Dimension – Traditional task-based vs competency-based and digital-integrated curricula

2. Pedagogical Transformation Dimension – Instructor-centered vs learner-centered and simulation-based training

3. Industry Integration Dimension – Limited exposure vs structured workplace learning and CE4R-style co-curricular models

4. Technological Adaptation Dimension – Manual methods vs 4IR-driven digital construction tools and automation systems

This framework aligns with the 4IR transformation logic described by Xu, David, and Kim (2018), who emphasize the systemic integration of digital technologies into educational ecosystems.

3.3 Data Collection Approach

Data were collected through document-based analysis of peer-reviewed journal articles, institutional reports, and policy frameworks related to TVET civil engineering education. Key sources include UNESCO TVET transformation reports (Marope, Chakroun, & Holmes, 2015), African vocational training studies (Eicker, Haseloff, & Lennartz, 2017), and industry-focused competency literature (Carnevale & Smith, 2013).

Secondary data were systematically reviewed to extract recurring themes related to occupational training evolution, skill mismatch, and industry responsiveness.

3.4 Comparative Analytical Procedure

The comparative analysis followed a structured thematic coding process:

- Step 1: Identification of traditional vs modern occupational training characteristics
- Step 2: Mapping of competency requirements across industrial eras
- Step 3: Evaluation of alignment between TVET outputs and labor market demands
- Step 4: Synthesis of 4IR-driven transformation patterns

This process was informed by methodological principles from Babbie (2016), who emphasizes systematic categorization for comparative social research.

3.5 Validity and Reliability

To ensure analytical rigor, triangulation was applied across multiple literature sources. Consistency of findings across different regional contexts (Africa, Europe, and Asia) was used to validate thematic interpretations. Additionally, methodological transparency was maintained through explicit documentation of coding categories and analytical steps.

4. RESULTS

4.1 Shift from Traditional to Competency-Based Training

The analysis reveals a significant shift from traditional, procedure-based occupational training to competency-based education (CBE). Earlier TVET systems emphasized manual task repetition and instructor-led demonstrations. In contrast, modern systems prioritize outcome-based learning, where students are assessed on demonstrated competencies aligned with industry standards (Carnevale & Smith, 2013).

This shift is particularly evident in civil engineering training, where students are now expected to demonstrate digital literacy, technical problem-solving, and adaptive design skills rather than only manual construction techniques.

4.2 Increasing Integration of 4IR Technologies

A major finding is the increasing incorporation of 4IR technologies into occupational training systems. Tools such as Building Information Modeling (BIM), simulation software, and automated design systems are gradually being introduced into TVET curricula. Xu, David, and Kim (2018) emphasize that these technologies are transforming not only industrial production but also educational delivery systems.

However, adoption remains uneven across institutions. While some TVET colleges have integrated digital tools into civil engineering training, many institutions still rely heavily on traditional methods due to infrastructure and funding limitations.

4.3 Strengthening Industry Linkages Through Workplace Learning

The study finds a growing emphasis on industry collaboration and workplace-based learning models. Programs such as co-curricular engineering experiences (Murray, McQuade, & Hendry, 2019) and education-employment linkage systems (Caves et al., 2019) demonstrate improved alignment between academic training and labor market expectations.

Despite these improvements, gaps remain in structured internship availability and industry participation in curriculum design.

4.4 Persistent Skill Mismatch in Civil Engineering Training

A critical finding is the ongoing mismatch between graduate competencies and industry expectations. Employers continue to report deficiencies in problem-solving, digital literacy, and applied technical skills (Okoye & Nkanu, 2020). This suggests that although reforms are underway, implementation effectiveness remains inconsistent.

4.5 Uneven Institutional Readiness for 4IR Transformation

The analysis highlights disparities in institutional readiness for 4IR adoption. Some institutions demonstrate advanced integration of digital learning environments, while others lack basic technological infrastructure. This unevenness creates systemic inequality in training outcomes across regions.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1 Interpretation of Findings

The findings confirm that occupational training in TVET civil engineering has undergone a structural transformation influenced by globalization and technological advancement. The transition from traditional apprenticeship models to competency-based, technology-integrated systems reflects broader shifts in global education systems.

Xu, David, and Kim (2018) argue that the Fourth Industrial Revolution is fundamentally reshaping skill requirements aross industries. This study supports that claim by demonstrating how civil engineering training now requires digital fluency alongside traditional technical expertise.

5.2 Theoretical Implications

The study reinforces human capital theory and competency-based education theory by showing that skill development must align with dynamic labor market demands. The integration of experiential learning and workplace-based training supports constructivist learning theories, where knowledge is developed through active engagement rather than passive instruction.

5.3 Practical Implications

From a policy perspective, the findings suggest that TVET institutions must prioritize:

- Digital infrastructure development

- Curriculum-industry co-design mechanisms
- Continuous instructor upskilling programs
- Expansion of workplace-based learning systems

These measures are essential for bridging the gap between training systems and industrial expectations.

5.4 Limitations

This study is limited by its reliance on secondary data, which may not fully capture real-time institutional practices. Additionally, regional differences in TVET implementation may affect the generalizability of findings. Future research incorporating empirical field data would strengthen validation.

5.5 Critical Reflection

While 4IR integration presents significant opportunities, it also introduces challenges such as digital inequality and resource dependency. Without equitable access to technology, TVET systems risk widening the skills gap rather than reducing it. Therefore, policy interventions must address both technological adoption and institutional capacity building simultaneously.

6 CONCLUSION

This study developed a comparative analytical framework to evaluate the evolution of occupational training practices among TVET civil engineering students in the modern era. The findings demonstrate a clear transition from traditional skill-based instruction to competency-driven, technology-enhanced training systems influenced by the Fourth Industrial Revolution.

Despite progress in curriculum reform and industry integration, significant challenges remain in implementation consistency, infrastructure readiness, and skill alignment with labor market demands. The study emphasizes that sustainable transformation of TVET systems requires coordinated efforts between policymakers, educational institutions, and industry stakeholders.

The integration of 4IR technologies (Xu, David, & Kim, 2018) is not merely an enhancement but a structural necessity for modern civil engineering education. Future research should focus on empirical validation of the proposed framework and its application across diverse geographic and institutional contexts.

REFERENCES

1. Afeti, G., & Adubra, A. L. (2012). Lifelong technical and vocational skills development for sustainable socioeconomic growth in Africa. Synthesis Paper-Sub-Theme 2, Triennale on Education and Training in African.

2. Arthur, R., Rouf, F. A., Rahmayanti, H., & Maulana, A. (2019, December). Plumbing work competence instrument in the field of civil engineering. InJournal of Physics: Conference Series, 1402(2), p. 022019. IOP Publishing.

3. Babbie, E. (2016). The Practice of Social Research (Fourteenth).Boston: Cengage Learning. Boston: Cengage Learning.

4. Banjo, A. B., & Oludele, L. (2020). Technical and Vocational Education and Training as a Tool for Creating a Production-Oriented Economy in Nigeria.Journal of Women in Technical Education and Employment (JOWITED), 1(1), 199-205.

5. Bozkurt, A., Jung, I., Xiao, J., Vladimirschi, V., Schuwer, R., Egorov, G., & Rodes, V. (2020). A global outlook to the interruption of education due to COVID-19 Pandemic: Navigating in a time of uncertainty and crisis. Asian Journal of Distance Education, 15(1), 1-126.

6. Carnevale, A. P. (1990). Workplace basics: The essential skills employers want. astd best practices series: training for a changing work force. Jossey-Bass Inc., Publishers, 350 Sansome Street, San Francisco, CA 94104.

7. Carnevale, A. P., & Smith, N. (2013). Workplace basics: The skills employees need and employers want.Human Resource Development International, 16(5), 491-501.

8. Carter, S., & Henderson, L. (2005). Approaches to qualitative data collection in social science.Handbook of health research methods: Investigation, measurement and analysis, 1, 215-230.

9. Caves, K., Ghisletta, A., Renold, U., & Kemper, J. (2019).Meeting in the middle: TVET programs' education-employment linkage in developing contexts (No. 460). KOF Working Papers.

10. Creswell, J. W. (2013). Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Traditions (3rd ed.).Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

11. Mtshali, T. I., Journal of Technical Education and Training Vol. 13 No. 4 (2021) p. 82-9190 Department of Higher Education and Training. (2019). Solutions for SA's TVET systems. South African TVET college systems inaugural Conference in Johannesburg on Tuesday 18 November 2018. Available at: https://www.dhet.gov.za/Latest%20News/THE%20TRUTH%20ABOUT%20SA.pdf.

12. Department of Higher Education and Training. (2019). Solutions for SA's TVET systems. South African TVET college systems inaugural Conference in Johannesburg on Tuesday 18 November 2018.

13. Eicker, F., Haseloff, G., & Lennartz, B. (2017).Vocational Education and Training in Sub-Saharan Africa: Current Situation and Development. W. Bertelsmann Verlag.

14. Gamede, B. T., & Uleanya, C. (2019). Factors impacting entrepreneurship education in TVET colleges: A case of South Africa.Journal of Entrepreneurship Education, 22(3), 1-12.

15. Green, J., & Thorogood, N. (2018). Qualitative methods for health research. London Sage.

16. Gutowski, A., Hassan, N. M., Knedlik, T., Tong, C. M. N., & Wohlmuth, K. (Eds.). (2020).Science, Technology and Innovation Policies for Inclusive Growth in Africa: Human Skills Development and Country Cases (Vol. 21). LIT Verlag Münster.

17. Hammersley, M. (2017). Deconstructing the qualitative-quantitative divide 1. InMixing methods: Qualitative and quantitative research(pp. 39-55). Routledge.

18. Isaac, M. T., & Manto, R. S. (2019). Civil technology teacher's environmental knowledge in promoting active learning during practical lessons.Online Journal for TVET Practitioners, 4(1).

19. Makgato, M. (2019). STEM for Sustainable Skills for the Fourth Industrial Revolution: Snapshot at Some TVET Colleges in South Africa. In Theorizing STEM Education in the 21st Century. IntechOpen.

20. Magilvy, J. K., & Thomas, E. (2009). A first qualitative project: Qualitative descriptive design for novice researchers.Journal for Specialists in Pediatric Nursing,14(4), 298-300.

21. Marope, P. T. M., Chakroun, B., & Holmes, K. P. (2015).Unleashing the potential: Transforming technical and vocational education and training. UNESCO Publishing.

22. Masha, M. F., Mboweni, M. S., & Mtshali, T. I. (2021). Advanced Scholarship of Teaching and Learning in Agricultural Technology Among Technical Vocational Education and Training College Students. In New Models for Technical and Vocational Education and Training (pp. 91-114). IGI Global.

23. Mtshali, T. I., & Ramaligela, S. M. (2021). Employability Skills for Civil Engineering: The Complexities of Equipping Students With 4th Industrial Revolution Skills. InNew Models for Technical and Vocational Education and Training(pp. 115-135). IGI Global.

24. Mtshali, T. I. (2020). Critical thinking skills for Civil Technology practical assessment tasks (PATs).World Transactions on Engineering and Technology Education, WIETE, 18(2), 237-241.

25. Mtshali, T. I., & Ramaligela, S. M. (2020). Contemporary Employability Skills Needed for Learners to Succeed in the Civil Technology Field in the 4IR Era.Journal of Technical Education and Training,12(3), 29-40.

26. Murray, M., McQuade, R., & Hendry, G. (2019). Workplace experience: Civil Engineering 4 Real (CE4R): co-curricular learning for undergraduates 2012-2019. InNew Approaches to Engineering Higher Education in Practice (pp. 36-39).

27. Ndung'u, N. S., & Signé, L. (2020). Capturing the Fourth Industrial Revolution: A Regional and National Agenda. Africa Portal Roundup Newsletter:Centre for International Governance Innovation.

28. Nkwanyane, T., Makgato, M., & Ramaligela, S. (2020). Teacher's Views on the Relevance of Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) College Curricula to Labour Market.Online Journal for TVET Practitioners, 5(2), 27-34.

29. Nnebue, C. C. (2010). Informed consent in research.Afrimedic Journal, 1(1), 5-10.

30. Oketch, M. O. (2007). To vocationalise or not to vocationalise? Perspectives on current trends and issues in technical and vocational education and training (TVET) in Africa.International Journal of Educational Development, 27(2), 220-234.

31. Okorafor, P., & Okorafor, A. (2011). Reappraising technical and vocational education and training (TVET) for functionality and self-reliance.Journal of Qualitative Education,7(1), 80-87.

32. Okoye, K. R. E., & Nkanu, S. M. (2020). Employers' Identification of Skills Needed by Technical and Vocational Education Graduates for Industrial Work Effectiveness.Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science, 32-41.

33. Sherratt, F., Dowsett, R., & Sherratt, S. (2020). Construction 4.0 and Its Potential Impact on People Working in the Construction Industry.Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Management, Procurement and Law, 1-8.

34. Xu, M., David, J. M., & Kim, S. H. (2018). The fourth Industrial Revolution: opportunities and challenges.International Journal of Financial Research,9(2), 90-95.