COLOMBO SCIENTIFIC PUBLISHING

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIVARIATE DATA ANALYSIS THEORY & PRACTICE
E-ISSN: 2536-7935
P-ISSN: 2536-7927
PAGE NO: 01-08

A Framework for Evaluating the Impact of Inquiry-Based Blended Learning Modules on Academic Performance in Electronics Technology Education

Karan Malhotra
Punjab University

Shalini Singh
University of Mumbai

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Published: January 27, 2024
VOLUME: Vol.09 Issue 01 2024

Keywords:
Inquiry-based learning, blended learning, electronics technology education, academic performance, constructivist learning, vocational education, learning analytics, instructional design, educational framework

ABSTRACT

The rapid transformation of technical and vocational education requires instructional models that integrate active learning strategies with digital learning environments. This study proposes a comprehensive evaluative framework for assessing the impact of inquiry-based blended learning modules on academic performance in Electronics Technology Education. The framework integrates pedagogical inquiry principles, constructivist learning theory, and blended learning design models to analyze student achievement outcomes in technical disciplines. Grounded in inquiry-based learning research (Annisa & Rohaeti, 2018; Nisa et al., 2018), blended instructional design principles (Koohang, 2009; Shambhavi & Mallikharjuna Babu, 2015), and vocational education practices (Anesman Abdul Rahman et al., 2020), the study conceptualizes learning effectiveness through cognitive, psychomotor, and affective dimensions.

The methodology adopts a structured framework combining instructional design variables, learner engagement indicators, and performance assessment metrics. The study further synthesizes constructivist learning theory (Vygotsky, 1978; Cooperstein & Kocevar-weidinger, 2004) with inquiry-based instructional cycles (BSCS & IBM, 1989; Karplus & Their, 1967). Findings from literature synthesis indicate that inquiry-based blended learning significantly enhances conceptual understanding, problem-solving ability, and critical thinking skills, particularly in technical subjects such as electronics engineering. However, implementation challenges such as digital readiness, instructor competency, and curriculum alignment remain critical barriers.

The proposed framework contributes to educational technology research by offering a structured evaluation model for assessing blended learning effectiveness in vocational electronics education contexts.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The evolution of technical education systems has been significantly influenced by digital transformation and pedagogical innovation. Electronics Technology Education, in particular, requires learners to integrate theoretical knowledge with practical problem-solving skills. Traditional lecture-based instruction has been widely criticized for its limited capacity to develop higher-order cognitive skills in technical domains. As a response, inquiry-based learning and blended learning models have emerged as effective instructional approaches.

Inquiry-based learning emphasizes learner autonomy, exploration, and problem-solving through structured questioning and experimentation. According to Annisa and Rohaeti (2018), inquiry-based instruction significantly improves conceptual understanding in scientific disciplines by encouraging active knowledge construction. Similarly, Nisa et al. (2018) demonstrated that guided inquiry learning enhances critical thinking skills among secondary school students by systematically engaging learners in investigation-based learning cycles. This finding is reinforced across multiple educational contexts, highlighting the adaptability of inquiry-based pedagogy.

Blended learning integrates face-to-face instruction with online learning environments, offering flexibility and improved access to learning resources. Koohang (2009) emphasizes that learner-centered blended learning models enhance engagement and knowledge retention by combining synchronous and asynchronous learning modalities. In technical education, this integration is particularly significant due to the need for simulation-based learning and virtual laboratories.

1.2 Problem Statement

Despite increasing adoption of blended learning in vocational education, there remains a lack of structured frameworks for evaluating its impact specifically in Electronics Technology Education. Existing studies often focus on either pedagogical effectiveness or technological implementation independently, without integrating both dimensions into a unified assessment model. Additionally, inquiry-based learning studies such as Nisa et al. (2018) primarily focus on science education contexts, leaving a research gap in technical and vocational domains.

Furthermore, inconsistencies in measuring academic performance outcomes—particularly across cognitive, psychomotor, and affective domains—limit the ability to generalize findings across different educational settings. This necessitates the development of a comprehensive framework that integrates instructional design, learner engagement, and performance evaluation metrics.

1.3 Research Objectives

The primary objectives of this study are:

1. To develop a structured framework for evaluating inquiry-based blended learning modules in Electronics Technology Education.

2. To identify key pedagogical and technological factors influencing academic performance.

3. To analyze the effectiveness of inquiry-based blended learning on student learning outcomes.

4. To integrate constructivist and blended learning theories into a unified evaluative model.

1.4 Significance of the Study

This study contributes to the field of educational technology by providing a systematic framework for evaluating blended learning effectiveness in technical education contexts. It also supports curriculum developers and educators in optimizing instructional strategies for Electronics Technology Education. Moreover, it extends existing inquiry-based learning research (Nisa et al., 2018) into vocational and technical domains, addressing an important gap in current literature.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Inquiry-Based Learning in Technical Education

Inquiry-based learning is grounded in constructivist epistemology, where learners actively construct knowledge through exploration and problem-solving (Vygotsky, 1978). The BSCS & IBM (1989) learning model and the 5E instructional cycle (Karplus & Their, 1967) provide structured phases—engage, explore, explain, elaborate, and evaluate—that support inquiry-driven instruction.

In scientific and technical education contexts, Annisa and Rohaeti (2018) found that inquiry-based learning significantly improves conceptual mastery. Similarly, Nisa et al. (2018) demonstrated that guided inquiry learning enhances students' critical thinking skills by facilitating structured investigation processes. This study is particularly relevant as it provides empirical evidence that inquiry-based strategies are effective in improving cognitive outcomes in science education. Notably, Nisa et al. (2018) also emphasize that guided inquiry models help students transition from passive to active learners, which is essential in electronics education where problem-solving is critical.

Further reinforcing this, Siti Nur Kamariah Rubani et al. (2018) found that inquiry-based methods increase student engagement and interest in science experiments, highlighting its relevance in technical disciplines.

2.2 Blended Learning in Vocational Education

Blended learning combines traditional classroom instruction with digital learning environments to enhance flexibility and accessibility. Koohang (2009) proposed a learner-centered blended learning model that emphasizes interaction, autonomy, and adaptive learning pathways. In engineering and technical education, Shambhavi and Mallikharjuna Babu (2015) demonstrated that blended learning improves conceptual clarity and practical understanding.

Muhamad Azhar Stapa et al. (2017) further emphasized that Web 2.0 technologies facilitate collaborative learning in vocational education, enabling interactive and student-centered learning environments. Reza et al. (2020) also confirmed that blended learning systems such as Moodle significantly improve learning effectiveness during remote education scenarios.

2.3 Electronics Technology Education Challenges

Electronics Technology Education faces unique challenges due to its dual requirement of theoretical understanding and hands-on practical skills. Khairul Anuar Abdul Rahman et al. (2015) identified several instructional challenges, including lack of effective teaching aids and difficulty in conceptualizing abstract electrical systems.

Anesman Abdul Rahman et al. (2020) highlight the importance of integrating technological teaching aids in vocational classrooms to enhance learning effectiveness. Similarly, Marlini Mansor (2017) demonstrated that digital learning tools such as EDUBASE significantly improve technical student performance across cognitive and psychomotor dimensions.

2.4 Theoretical Foundation

This study integrates three primary theoretical frameworks:

1. Constructivist Learning Theory (Vygotsky, 1978) – emphasizes social interaction and knowledge construction.

2. Inquiry Learning Cycle (BSCS & IBM, 1989; Karplus & Their, 1967) – structured inquiry phases for cognitive development.

3. Blended Learning Design Model (Koohang, 2009) – learner-centered integration of digital and traditional instruction.

These frameworks collectively support the development of a hybrid instructional model suitable for Electronics Technology Education.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a conceptual framework development approach based on systematic literature synthesis and instructional design modeling. The framework integrates pedagogical, technological, and performance assessment dimensions.

3.2 Framework Components

The proposed framework consists of three primary components:

(A) Instructional Design Layer

This layer integrates inquiry-based learning cycles (BSCS & IBM, 1989) with blended learning structures (Koohang, 2009). Learning activities include:

- Problem identification (electronics concepts)
- Guided exploration (simulation and lab work)
- Concept explanation (teacher facilitation)
- Application tasks (circuit design and testing)

Nisa et al. (2018) emphasize that structured inquiry stages significantly improve critical thinking development, which is essential in this layer.

(B) Learning Environment Layer

This includes digital platforms, simulation tools, and classroom interaction. Web-based systems (Reza et al., 2020) and collaborative tools (Muhamad Azhar Stapa et al., 2017) are integrated to support blended learning delivery.

(C) Evaluation Layer

Academic performance is evaluated across three domains:

- Cognitive (theoretical understanding)
- Psychomotor (practical electronics skills)
- Affective (engagement and motivation)

Assessment tools include performance tests, quizzes, and project-based evaluation.

3.3 Analytical Approach

The framework uses comparative pre-test and post-test evaluation logic combined with observational performance tracking. Inquiry effectiveness is measured through structured skill progression indicators, supported by findings from Nisa et al. (2018), which validate inquiry-based assessment reliability in improving learning outcomes.

4. RESULTS

The synthesis of literature and conceptual framework analysis indicates that inquiry-based blended learning modules have a substantial positive impact on academic performance in Electronics Technology Education. Across the reviewed studies, consistent improvements are observed in cognitive understanding, technical skill acquisition, and learner engagement when inquiry-based strategies are integrated with blended learning environments.

One of the most significant findings is the enhancement of cognitive achievement through structured inquiry cycles. Nisa et al. (2018) demonstrate that guided inquiry learning significantly improves critical thinking skills by engaging students in hypothesis formation, experimentation, and reflective analysis. This is particularly relevant to Electronics Technology Education, where learners must interpret circuit behavior, troubleshoot systems, and apply theoretical principles to practical scenarios. The repeated application of inquiry stages fosters deeper conceptual retention compared to traditional lecture-based instruction.

Similarly, Annisa and Rohaeti (2018) confirm that inquiry-based learning improves conceptual understanding in science-related domains. When applied to electronics education, these outcomes translate into improved comprehension of electrical principles such as Ohm's Law, circuit design, and signal processing. The structured investigative process allows students to actively construct knowledge rather than passively receive information.

Blended learning environments further amplify these effects by providing flexible access to learning resources. Koohang (2009) emphasizes that learner-centered blended models increase engagement through the integration of digital tools and face-to-face instruction. In the context of electronics education, simulation software, virtual labs, and online tutorials enable students to visualize abstract electrical phenomena, thereby bridging the gap between theory and practice.

Evidence from Reza et al. (2020) highlights that blended learning platforms such as Moodle significantly improve learning effectiveness by enabling continuous access to instructional content. This ensures that students can revisit complex electronics concepts at their own pace, reinforcing mastery learning principles.

Another key finding relates to psychomotor skill development. Electronics Technology Education requires hands-on competency in circuit assembly, measurement, and troubleshooting. The framework indicates that inquiry-based blended learning enhances these skills by allowing students to repeatedly test hypotheses in both virtual and physical environments. This iterative process aligns with constructivist principles (Vygotsky, 1978), where knowledge is reinforced through social interaction and experiential learning.

Furthermore, engagement and motivation levels are significantly improved. Studies such as Siti Nur Kamariah Rubani et al. (2018) show that inquiry-based methods increase student interest and participation in experimental learning activities. When combined with blended learning tools, students demonstrate higher autonomy and sustained engagement in learning tasks.

However, findings also reveal inconsistencies in implementation effectiveness. Khairul Anuar Abdul Rahman et al. (2015) highlight challenges in teaching electronics due to limited instructional resources and insufficient pedagogical training. These limitations reduce the effectiveness of blended inquiry-based models when infrastructure and teacher competency are inadequate.

Overall, the findings suggest that inquiry-based blended learning is highly effective in improving academic performance in Electronics Technology Education, particularly when supported by well-structured instructional design and adequate technological infrastructure.

5. DISCUSSION

The results of this study provide strong evidence that integrating inquiry-based learning with blended instructional environments enhances academic performance in Electronics Technology Education. These findings align with constructivist learning theory, which emphasizes that learners construct knowledge through active engagement and social interaction (Vygotsky, 1978).

5.1 Theoretical Implications

From a theoretical perspective, the integration of inquiry-based learning cycles (BSCS & IBM, 1989; Karplus & Their, 1967) with blended learning models (Koohang, 2009) creates a hybrid instructional framework that supports cognitive scaffolding. The inquiry process promotes exploration and conceptual restructuring, while blended learning ensures continuous access to learning materials.

Nisa et al. (2018) provide critical empirical support for this integration by demonstrating that guided inquiry improves higher-order thinking skills. In this study's framework, these cognitive gains are extended into technical domains, particularly electronics, where analytical reasoning and problem-solving are essential.

5.2 Practical Implications

Practically, the proposed framework offers a structured approach for educators to design and evaluate instructional modules in Electronics Technology Education. The integration of digital tools, simulations, and inquiry-based tasks enables students to develop both theoretical and practical competencies.

Anesman Abdul Rahman et al. (2020) highlight the importance of teaching aids in vocational education, which supports the use of blended learning technologies in enhancing instructional effectiveness. Similarly, Marlini Mansor (2017) demonstrates that digital learning platforms improve technical student outcomes across cognitive and psychomotor domains, reinforcing the applicability of the proposed framework.

5.3 Comparative Analysis with Literature

The findings are consistent with Annisa and Rohaeti (2018), who report significant improvements in conceptual understanding through inquiry-based instruction. However, this study extends their findings by applying the model to electronics education, a domain requiring higher psychomotor engagement.

Koohang (2009) emphasizes learner-centered blended learning design, which is reflected in the framework's emphasis on autonomy and flexibility. Reza et al. (2020) further validate the role of digital platforms in enhancing accessibility and learning continuity.

5.4 Limitations

Despite its strengths, the proposed framework has several limitations. First, its effectiveness is highly dependent on technological infrastructure and teacher readiness. In contexts with limited access to digital tools, implementation may be constrained.

Second, while Nisa et al. (2018) support inquiry-based learning effectiveness, the transferability of findings from science education to technical electronics domains may require further empirical validation.

Third, the framework primarily focuses on academic performance and may not fully capture long-term skill retention or industry readiness.

6. CONCLUSION

This study developed a comprehensive evaluative framework for assessing the impact of inquiry-based blended learning modules on academic performance in Electronics Technology Education. The integration of constructivist learning theory, inquiry-based instructional cycles, and blended learning models provides a robust structure for enhancing both theoretical understanding and practical skill development.

The findings indicate that inquiry-based blended learning significantly improves cognitive achievement, psychomotor skills, and learner engagement. Evidence from Nisa et al. (2018) strongly supports the effectiveness of guided inquiry in improving critical thinking, which is further extended in this study to technical electronics education contexts.

The framework contributes to educational technology research by offering a structured model that can be applied in vocational and technical education settings. It also provides practical guidance for educators in designing more effective instructional strategies.

Future research should focus on empirical validation of the framework across diverse educational institutions and explore the integration of advanced technologies such as AI-driven adaptive learning systems to further enhance learning outcomes.

7. REFERENCES

1. Anesman Abdul Rahman, Mohd Azlan Mohammad Hussain, & Rafeizah Mohd Zulkifli. (2020). Teaching vocational with technology: a study of teaching aids applied in Malaysian vocational classroom. International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research, 19(7). 176-188.

2. Annisa, D., & Rohaeti, E. (2018). The effect of inquiry-based learning on students' understanding of the chemical equilibrium concept. AIP Conference Proceedings, 2021(1).

3. Azaman Ishar. (2012). Kesan inovasi MTEP LK dalam pengajaran dan pembelajaran lukisan kejuruteraan dalam kalangan pelajar tingkatan empat di Sekolah Menengah Teknik [The impact of MTEP LK innovation in the teaching and learning of engineering drawings among fourth-grade students at the Malaysian Technical High School]. (Unpublished thesis). Bangi: Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia.

4. Bahagian Pembangunan Kurikulum. (2016). Panduan pelaksanaan pengajaran dan pembelajaran berasaskan inkuiri [The implementation of inquiry-based teaching and learning guideline]. Putrajaya: Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia

5. BSCS & IBM (1989). New designs for elementary science and health: A cooperative project between Biological Sciences Curriculum Study (BSCS) and International Business Machines (IBM). Dubuque, IA: Kendall/Hunt Publishing Company.

6. Brookes, W. (2018). Inquiry-based learning of database concepts. 2018 17th International Conference on Information Technology Based Higher Education and Training (ITHET), (pp. 1-6).

7. Cochran-Smith, M., & Zeichner, K. (2005). Studying teacher education: The report of the AERA panel on research and teacher education. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum

8. Cooper, D.R. and Schindler, P.S. (2006) Business Research Methods. 8th Edition, McGraw Hill, Tata.

9. Cooperstein, S. E., & Kocevar-weidinger, E. (2004). Beyond active learning : A constructivist approach to learning. Reference Services Review32(2). 141-148.

10. Faizah Abdul Karim, Rafidah Sinone, Juliyana Baharudin, & Norashikin Sahadan. (2005, Oktober). Keperluan pembelajaran berasaskan multimedia bagi subjek Sistem Elektronik 1: satu kajian rintis di Politeknik Pasir Gudang (PJB) [Multimedia-based learning needs for Electronic Systems subject: A pilot study at Pasir Gudang Polytechnic] .Seminar Pendidikan 2005, Fakulti Pendidikan, Univerisiti Teknologi Malaysia.

11. Kamarul Azmi Jasmi, Mohd Faeez Ilias, Ab. Halim Tamuri, & Mohd Izham Mohd Hamzah. (2011). Amalan penggunaan bahan bantu mengajar dalam kalangan guru cemerlang Pendidikan islam sekolah menengah di Malaysia [Teaching aids aplicationamong excellent teachers of Islamic Education in Malaysian middle school]. Journal of Islamic and Arabic Education. 3(1). (ms. 59-74).

12. Karplus, R. and Their, H. D. (1967). Atkin-Karplus Learning Cycle (in Bybee, R. W., Taylor, J. A., Gardner, A., Van Scotter, P., Powell, J. C., Westbrook, A., & Landes, N.). The BSCS 5E instructional model: Origins and effectiveness. Colorado Springs,

13. Khairul Anuar Abdul Rahman, Muhammad Sukri Saud, Yusri Kamin & Norazrena Abu Samah. (2015). Masalah dalam pengajaran dan pembelajaran bagi kursus Teknologi Elektrik di Kolej Vokasional [Problems in teaching and learning for Electrical Technology courses at Vocational Colleges]. Jabatan Teknikal, Jabatan Pendidikan Sains, Matematik dan Multimedia Kreatif, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

14. Koohang, A. (2009). A Learner-Centred model for blended learning design. Int. J. Inno.and learning, 6(1). 76-91.

15. Marlini Mansor. (2017). Keberkesanan penggunaan EDUBASE dalam kalangan pelajar teknikal yang berbeza gaya kognitif, afektif dan psikomotor di Politeknik Malaysia [The effectiveness of using

EDUBASE among technical students with different cognitive, affective and psychomotor styles at Malaysian Politechnics]. (Unpublished thesis). Batu Pahat: Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia.

16. Mohammad Hussain et al. Journal of Technical Education and Training Vol.15 No. 2 (2023) p. 21-32

17. Muhamad Azhar Stapa, Mohamad Ibrahim & Amri Yusoff. (2017). Kolaborasi dalam pendidikan vokasional: Mewujudkan Pembelajaran Teradun Melalui Teknologi Web 2.0 [Collaboration in vocational education: Creating blended learning through Web 2.0 technology. Jurnal of ICT in Education (JICTIE). 17 (4), 35-51.

18. Nisa, E. K., Koestiari, T., Habibbulloh, M., & Jatmiko, B. (2018, March). Effectiveness of guided inquiry learning model to improve students' critical thinking skills at senior high school. J.of Physics: Conference Series 997(1).

19. Reza, R., Marianus, S., Rasmitadila, Megan., Asri, H., Rusi, R. A., Achmad, S., & Muhammad, N., (2020). Use of blended learning with moodle: Study effectiveness in elementary school teacher education students during the COVID-19 pandemic.

20. Shambhavi, B. R., Mallikharjuna Babu (2015). Blended Learning an Integrated Learning Solution in Undergraduate Engineering Education: A Case Study, (ms. 311-314).

21. Siti Nur Kamariah Rubani, Norraliza Norrahim, Norhasyimah Hamzah, Arihasnida Ariffin & Tamil Selvan Subramaniam. (2018). Penggunaan kaedah inkuiri penemuan terhadap minat pelajar dalam eksperimen Sains tahun 5 [The use of inquiry methods for student interest in year 5 Science experiment]. Online Journal for TVET Practitioners.

22. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

23. Yadav, A., & Barry, B. E. (2009). Using Case-based Instruction to Increase Ethical Understanding in Engineering: What do we know? What do we need ?, 25(1), (ms. 138-143).

24. Yadav, A., Subedi, D., Lundeberg, M. A., & Bunting, C. F. (2011). Problem-based learning : Influence on students learning in an Electrical Engineering course problem-based learning : Influence on students ' learning in an Electrical Engineering course.

25. Zanariah Ahmad. (2017). Pembangunan modul pedagogi kelas berbalik berasaskan pembelajaran reflektif untuk Politeknik Premier [The Development of flipped class pedagogy module based on reflective learning for Malaysian Premier Polytechnic. (Unpublished PhD Thesis). Kuala Lumpur: Universiti Malaya.