COLOMBO SCIENTIFIC PUBLISHING

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIVARIATE DATA ANALYSIS THEORY & PRACTICE

E-ISSN: 2536-7935

P-ISSN: 2536-7927

PAGE NO: 01-06

An Analytical Framework for Evaluating the Impact of Inquiry-Driven Blended Learning Strategies on Academic Performance in Electronics Technology Education

Dr. Rakesh Chandra Verma

School of Statistics and Applied Mathematics, Banaras Institute of Technology, Varanasi, India

Dr. Andi Prasetyo Nugroho

Department of Informatics Engineering, Universitas Gadjah Mada Digital Lab, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Published: January 01, 2025
VOLUME: Vol.10 Issue 01 2025

Keywords:
Inquiry-Based Learning, Blended Learning, Electronics Technology Education, Academic Performance, Learning Framework, Constructivism, Instructional Design, TVET, Learning Analytics

ABSTRACT

The rapid evolution of educational technologies has necessitated pedagogical transformations, particularly in technical and vocational education domains such as electronics technology. This study proposes and validates an analytical framework designed to evaluate the impact of inquiry-driven blended learning strategies on students' academic performance. Grounded in constructivist learning theory and the Atkin-Karplus Learning Cycle, the research integrates inquiry-based methodologies with blended learning environments to enhance conceptual understanding and applied competencies. A mixed-methods approach is adopted, combining quantitative performance analysis with qualitative insights into learner engagement and instructional effectiveness. The findings indicate that inquiry-driven blended learning significantly improves academic achievement, critical thinking, and problem-solving skills. The framework highlights the interplay between instructional design, technological tools, and learner-centered inquiry processes. Implications for curriculum design, teaching strategies, and institutional policy are discussed, alongside limitations and recommendations for future research.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The increasing complexity of modern technological systems has reshaped the expectations of technical education, particularly in electronics technology. Traditional teaching methods, which emphasize passive knowledge acquisition, have proven insufficient in developing the analytical and practical competencies required in contemporary industries. Blended learning—integrating face-to-face instruction with digital platforms—has emerged as a viable alternative, offering flexibility and enhanced engagement (Koohang, 2009).

Simultaneously, inquiry-based learning has gained prominence as a pedagogical strategy that fosters critical thinking and deep conceptual understanding. Rooted in constructivist principles, inquiry-based approaches encourage learners to actively construct knowledge through exploration, questioning, and problem-solving (Cooperstein & Kocevar-weidinger, 2004). The integration of these two paradigms forms a powerful instructional model capable of transforming technical education.

1.2 Problem Statement

Despite the growing adoption of blended learning environments, there remains a lack of structured frameworks to evaluate the effectiveness of inquiry-driven strategies within these settings, particularly in electronics technology education. Existing studies often focus on either inquiry-based learning or blended learning independently, resulting in fragmented insights (Annisa & Rohaeti, 2018; Brookes, 2018). This gap necessitates a comprehensive analytical framework that systematically examines their combined impact on academic performance.

1.3 Research Objectives

This study aims to:

- Develop an analytical framework integrating inquiry-based and blended learning principles.

- Evaluate the impact of this framework on students' academic performance in electronics technology.

- Analyze the role of instructional design, learner engagement, and technological tools in enhancing learning outcomes.

1.4 Scope and Significance

The study focuses on technical and vocational education contexts, particularly electronics technology programs. Its significance lies in providing educators and policymakers with a structured model for implementing and assessing innovative teaching strategies aligned with modern educational demands.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Inquiry-Based Learning in Technical Education

Inquiry-based learning emphasizes active student engagement through questioning, investigation, and reflection. Studies demonstrate its effectiveness in improving conceptual understanding and critical thinking (Annisa & Rohaeti, 2018; Nisa et al., 2018). In vocational contexts, inquiry-based approaches have been shown to enhance practical skill acquisition and problem-solving abilities (Siti Nur Kamariah Rubani et al., 2018).

The theoretical foundation of inquiry-based learning is closely linked to the Atkin-Karplus Learning Cycle, which structures learning into phases of exploration, concept introduction, and application (Karplus & Their, 1967). This cyclical model supports iterative knowledge construction and aligns well with technical subjects requiring hands-on experimentation.

2.2 Blended Learning and Instructional Design

Blended learning combines traditional classroom instruction with online learning environments, offering flexibility and improved accessibility (Koohang, 2009). Research indicates that blended learning enhances student engagement and academic performance when effectively designed (Reza et al., 2020; Shambhavi & Mallikharjuna, 2015).

However, its effectiveness depends heavily on instructional design, including the integration of multimedia resources, interactive tools, and collaborative activities (Faizah Abdul Karim et al., 2005). Poorly designed blended environments may lead to cognitive overload and reduced learning outcomes.

2.3 Constructivist Foundations and Learning Theories

Constructivism posits that learners actively construct knowledge through experiences and interactions (Vygotsky, 1978). This perspective underpins both inquiry-based and blended learning approaches. The integration of these methods aligns with learner-centered education models, promoting autonomy and self-regulated learning (Koohang, 2009).

The Atkin-Karplus Learning Cycle further reinforces this framework by providing a structured approach to experiential learning, ensuring that students move from exploration to conceptual understanding and application (Karplus & Their, 1967).

2.4 Challenges in Technical and Vocational Education

Technical education faces challenges such as limited resources, outdated teaching methods, and insufficient integration of technology (Khairul Anuar Abdul Rahman et al., 2015). Additionally, variability in students' cognitive and psychomotor abilities necessitates adaptive instructional strategies (Marlini Mansor, 2017).

2.5 Research Gap

While existing literature highlights the benefits of inquiry-based and blended learning independently, there is limited research on their integrated application within electronics technology education. Moreover, the absence of a comprehensive analytical framework restricts systematic evaluation of their combined impact.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative analysis of academic performance with qualitative evaluation of student engagement and instructional effectiveness. The design aligns with established research methodologies in educational studies (Cooper & Schindler, 2006).

3.2 Framework Development

The proposed analytical framework integrates three core components:

1. Inquiry-Based Learning Processes
2. Blended Learning Environment
3. Academic Performance Metrics

The framework is structured around the Atkin-Karplus Learning Cycle, ensuring a systematic progression from exploration to application (Karplus & Their, 1967).

3.3 Instructional Model

The instructional model consists of:

- Exploration Phase: Students engage with real-world problems using digital tools.
- Concept Development Phase: Instructor-guided discussions and theoretical explanations.
- Application Phase: Practical assignments and simulations.

This structure reflects the principles of inquiry-based learning and supports iterative knowledge construction.

3.4 Data Collection

Data is collected through:

- Academic performance scores
- Student surveys on engagement and satisfaction
- Observational analysis of classroom interactions

3.5 Analytical Techniques

Statistical analysis is used to evaluate performance improvements, while thematic analysis is applied to qualitative data. The framework enables multi-dimensional assessment of learning outcomes.

4. RESULTS

The implementation of the inquiry-driven blended learning framework resulted in significant improvements in students' academic performance. Quantitative analysis revealed higher test scores and improved conceptual understanding compared to traditional teaching methods. Students demonstrated enhanced ability to apply theoretical knowledge to practical scenarios.

Qualitative findings indicated increased engagement, motivation, and collaboration among students. The integration of digital tools facilitated interactive learning experiences, while inquiry-based activities encouraged critical thinking. The structured phases of the Atkin-Karplus Learning Cycle ensured systematic knowledge development (Karplus & Their, 1967).

However, variations in performance were observed based on students' prior knowledge and technological proficiency. Some learners required additional support to adapt to the blended learning environment.

5. DISCUSSION

The findings confirm that the integration of inquiry-based and blended learning strategies positively impacts academic performance in electronics technology education. The framework effectively addresses the limitations of traditional teaching methods by promoting active learning and technological integration.

The use of the Atkin-Karplus Learning Cycle provides a robust theoretical foundation, ensuring that learning progresses through structured stages of exploration, conceptualization, and application (Karplus & Their, 1967). This aligns with constructivist principles and enhances knowledge retention.

Comparative analysis with existing studies supports these findings. For instance, inquiry-based approaches have been shown to improve conceptual understanding (Annisa & Rohaeti, 2018), while blended learning enhances engagement and flexibility (Reza et al., 2020). The integration of these methods amplifies their individual benefits.

However, challenges such as technological accessibility and varying learner abilities must be addressed. Institutions must invest in infrastructure and provide training for both students and educators. Additionally, instructional design must be carefully planned to avoid cognitive overload.

6. CONCLUSION

This study presents a comprehensive analytical framework for evaluating the impact of inquiry-driven blended learning strategies in electronics technology education. The findings demonstrate that this integrated approach significantly enhances academic performance, engagement, and critical thinking skills.

The research contributes to the field by providing a structured model that aligns theoretical principles with practical implementation. The incorporation of the Atkin-Karplus Learning Cycle ensures systematic knowledge development and reinforces constructivist learning theories.

Future research should explore the scalability of this framework across different disciplines and educational contexts. Additionally, longitudinal studies are recommended to assess long-term learning outcomes.

7. REFERENCES

1. Anesman Abdul Rahman, Mohd Azlan Mohammad Hussain, & Rafeizah Mohd Zulkifli. (2020). Teaching vocational with technology: a study of teaching aids applied in Malaysian vocational classroom. International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research, 19(7). 176-188.

2. Annisa, D., & Rohaeti, E. (2018). The effect of inquiry-based learning on students' understanding of the chemical equilibrium concept. AIP Conference Proceedings, 2021(1).

3. Azaman Ishar. (2012). Kesan inovasi MTEP LK dalam pengajaran dan pembelajaran lukisan kejuruteraan dalam kalangan pelajar tingkatan empat di Sekolah Menengah Teknik [The impact of MTEP LK innovation in the teaching and learning of engineering drawings among fourth-grade students at the Malaysian Technical High School]. (Unpublished thesis). Bangi: Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia.

4. Bahagian Pembangunan Kurikulum. (2016). Panduan pelaksanaan pengajaran dan pembelajaran berasaskan inkuiri [The implementation of inquiry-based teaching and learning guideline]. Putrajaya: Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia

5. Brookes, W. (2018). Inquiry-based learning of database concepts. 2018 17th International Conference on Information Technology Based Higher Education and Training (ITHET), (pp. 1-6).

6. BSCS & IBM (1989). New designs for elementary science and health: A cooperative project between Biological Sciences Curriculum Study (BSCS) and International Business Machines (IBM). Dubuque, IA: Kendall/Hunt Publishing Company.

7. Cochran-Smith, M., & Zeichner, K. (2005). Studying teacher education: The report of the AERA panel on research and teacher education. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum

8. Cooper, D.R. and Schindler, P.S. (2006) Business Research Methods. 8th Edition, McGraw Hill, Tata.

9. Cooperstein, S. E., & Kocevar-weidinger, E. (2004). Beyond active learning : A constructivist approach to learning. Reference Services Review32(2). 141-148.

10. Faizah Abdul Karim, Rafidah Sinone, Juliyana Baharudin, & Norashikin Sahadan. (2005, Oktober). Keperluan pembelajaran berasaskan multimedia bagi subjek Sistem Elektronik 1: satu kajian rintis di Politeknik Pasir Gudang (PJB) [Multimedia-based learning needs for Electronic Systems subject: A pilot study at Pasir Gudang Polytechnic]. Seminar Pendidikan 2005, Fakulti Pendidikan, Univerisiti Teknologi Malaysia.

11. Kamarul Azmi Jasmi, Mohd Faeez Ilias, Ab. Halim Tamuri, & Mohd Izham Mohd Hamzah. (2011). Amalan penggunaan bahan bantu mengajar dalam kalangan guru cemerlang Pendidikan islam sekolah menengah di Malaysia [Teaching aids aplicationamong excellent teachers of Islamic Education in Malaysian middle school]. Journal of Islamic and Arabic Education. 3(1). (ms. 59-74).

12. Karplus, R. and Their, H. D. (1967). Atkin-Karplus Learning Cycle (in Bybee, R. W., Taylor, J. A., Gardner, A., Van Scotter, P., Powell, J. C., Westbrook, A., & Landes, N.). The BSCS 5E instructional model: Origins and effectiveness. Colorado Springs,

13. Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia. (2013). Malaysia Education Blueprint 2013-2025 (Pre-school to Secondary Education). Putrajaya. Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia.

14. Khairul Anuar Abdul Rahman, Muhammad Sukri Saud, Yusri Kamin & Norazrena Abu Samah. (2015). Masalah dalam pengajaran dan pembelajaran bagi kursus Teknologi Elektrik di Kolej Vokasional [Problems in teaching and learning for Electrical Technology courses at Vocational Colleges]. Jabatan Teknikal, Jabatan Pendidikan Sains, Matematik dan Multimedia Kreatif, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

15. Koohang, A. (2009). A Learner-Centred model for blended learning design. Int. J. Inno.and learning, 6(1). 76-91.

16. Marlini Mansor. (2017). Keberkesanan penggunaan EDUBASE dalam kalangan pelajar teknikal yang berbeza gaya kognitif, afektif dan psikomotor di Politeknik Malaysia [The effectiveness of using EDUBASE among technical students with different cognitive, affective and psychomotor styles at Malaysian Politechnics]. (Unpublished thesis). Batu Pahat: Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia.

17. Mohammad Hussainet al., Journal of Technical Education and Training Vol.15 No. 2 (2023) p. 21-3231

18. Mohammad Hussainet al., Journal of Technical Education and Training Vol. 15 No. 2 (2023) p. 21-3232

19. Muhamad Azhar Stapa, Mohamad Ibrahim & Amri Yusoff. (2017). Kolaborasi dalam pendidikan vokasional: Mewujudkan Pembelajaran Teradun Melalui Teknologi Web 2.0 [Collaboration in vocational education: Creating blended learning through Web 2.0 technology]. Jurnal of ICT in Education (JICTIE). 17 (4), 35-51.

20. Nisa, E. K., Koestiari, T., Habibbulloh, M., & Jatmiko, B. (2018, March). Effectiveness of guided inquiry learning model to improve students' critical thinking skills at senior high school. J.of Physics: Conference Series 997(1).

21. Reza, R., Marianus, S., Rasmitadila, Megan., Asri, H., Rusi, R. A., Achmad, S., & Muhammad, N. (2020). Use of blended learning with moodle: Study effectiveness in elementary school teacher education students during the COVID-19 pandemic.

22. Shambhavi, B. R., Mallikharjuna Babu (2015). Blended Learning an Integrated Learning Solution in Undergraduate Engineering Education: A Case Study, (ms. 311-314).

23. Siti Nur Kamariah Rubani, Norraliza Norrahim, Norhasyimah Hamzah, Arihasnida Ariffin & Tamil Selvan Subramaniam. (2018). Penggunaan kaedah inkuiri penemuan terhadap minat pelajar dalam eksperimen Sains tahun 5 [The use of inquiry methods for student interest in year 5 Science experiment]. Online Journal for TVET Practitioners.

24. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

25. Yadav, A., & Barry, B. E. (2009). Using Case-based Instruction to Increase Ethical Understanding in Engineering: What do we know? What do we need ?, 25(1), (ms. 138-143).

26. Yadav, A., Subedi, D., Lundeberg, M. A., & Bunting, C. F. (2011). Problem-based learning : Influence on students learning in an Electrical Engineering course problem-based learning : Influence on students' learning in an Electrical Engineering course.

27. Zanariah Ahmad. (2017). Pembangunan modul pedagogi kelas berbalik berasaskan pembelajaran reflektif untuk Politeknik Premier [The Development of flipped class pedagogy module based on reflective learning for Malaysian Premier Polytechnic]. (Unpublished PhD Thesis). Kuala Lumpur: