
A Systematic Approach to Designing Effective In-Service Training Architectures for Training and Industrial Relations Personnel in Malaysian Vocational Education

Dr. Neha Sharma

Department of Computational Analytics, Delhi School of Data Studies, New Delhi, India

Dr. Dewi Kartika Sari

Faculty of Data Engineering, Bandung Institute of Technology and Analytics, Bandung, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Published: May 31, 2026

VOLUME: Vol.11 Issue 05 2026

Keywords:

In-service training, vocational education, industrial relations, training architecture, Malaysian TVET, organizational performance, collaboration framework, competency development

ABSTRACT

The increasing complexity of industrial relations and vocational education systems necessitates robust, adaptive, and systematically designed in-service training architectures. In the Malaysian Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) context, training and industrial relations personnel play a pivotal role in bridging institutional objectives with industry expectations. However, existing training mechanisms often lack structural coherence, contextual responsiveness, and performance-oriented evaluation. This study proposes a systematic, multi-layered training architecture tailored for Malaysian vocational institutions, integrating theoretical constructs from organizational behavior, collaboration frameworks, and competency-based development. Utilizing a qualitative-analytical methodology grounded in existing literature, the research synthesizes key determinants such as organizational support, leadership models, communication effectiveness, and university-industry collaboration. The proposed architecture emphasizes modular training design, stakeholder integration, and outcome-driven evaluation mechanisms. Findings suggest that structured training frameworks significantly enhance professional competencies, job performance, and institutional alignment with industry demands. The study contributes to both theoretical discourse and practical implementation strategies by offering a scalable and context-sensitive training model. Limitations and future research directions are also discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

The transformation of vocational education systems in Malaysia has been significantly influenced by globalization, technological advancements, and evolving labor market demands. The Malaysia Education Blueprint (2015–2025) underscores the need for high-quality human capital development aligned with industry requirements (Ministry of Education Malaysia, 2015). Within this framework, training and industrial relations personnel serve as critical intermediaries, facilitating collaboration between educational institutions and industry stakeholders.

Despite this strategic importance, many vocational colleges face challenges in implementing effective in-service training programs. These challenges include fragmented training structures, lack of standardized frameworks, insufficient integration with industry practices, and limited evaluation mechanisms. Consequently, there is a pressing need for a systematic approach that ensures training effectiveness, adaptability, and sustainability.

The problem addressed in this study revolves around the absence of a comprehensive and structured training architecture specifically designed for industrial relations and training officers in Malaysian vocational institutions. Existing models often fail to incorporate key elements such as organizational communication, leadership dynamics, and collaborative frameworks.

This research aims to develop a systematic training architecture that integrates theoretical and practical dimensions of in-service training. The objectives are to identify key components influencing training effectiveness, design a structured training model, and evaluate its implications for vocational education systems. The scope focuses on Malaysian vocational colleges, particularly within the TVET ecosystem, while the significance lies in enhancing workforce readiness, institutional efficiency, and industry alignment.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on in-service training, organizational performance, and vocational education reveals a multidimensional landscape shaped by leadership, collaboration, and competency development.

Transformational leadership has been widely recognized as a critical factor in enhancing training effectiveness. Abu-Tineh et al. (2008) highlight that leadership models significantly influence organizational learning and employee motivation. This aligns with findings by Praditya (2022), who emphasizes the mediating role of organizational culture in shaping training outcomes and effectiveness.

Organizational support and communication are equally essential. Fitri et al. (2023) and Mahbob et al. (2019) demonstrate that effective communication strategies contribute to successful project outcomes and organizational cohesion. Similarly, Hamdan-Mansour et al. (2011) link organizational support to reduced work stress and improved performance, reinforcing the importance of supportive training environments.

The role of personality traits in job performance has been extensively analyzed. He et al. (2019) provide a meta-analytical perspective, demonstrating that personality domains significantly influence job performance outcomes. This insight is crucial for designing personalized training modules that align with individual competencies and behavioral traits (He et al., 2019).

University-industry collaboration emerges as a central theme in vocational training. Arvanitis et al. (2008) and Awasthy et al. (2020) emphasize knowledge transfer and collaborative innovation as key drivers of institutional success. However, Norzaini Azman and Morshidi Sirat (2021) identify cultural clashes between academia and industry as a persistent challenge, highlighting the need for structured collaboration frameworks.

Training effectiveness is also influenced by methodological rigor and evaluation strategies. Creswell and Creswell (2018) and Merriam and Tisdell (2016) provide foundational approaches to qualitative research, enabling systematic analysis of training systems. Additionally, Sim et al. (2022) apply the Kirkpatrick Model to evaluate training outcomes, emphasizing the importance of multi-level assessment.

Despite these contributions, significant gaps remain. Existing studies often focus on isolated factors such as leadership or collaboration, without integrating them into a cohesive training architecture. Furthermore, limited attention has been given to the specific context of Malaysian vocational education, particularly concerning industrial relations personnel.

This study addresses these gaps by proposing an integrated framework that synthesizes leadership, communication, collaboration, and competency development into a unified training architecture.

3. METHODOLOGY

This research adopts a qualitative analytical approach, grounded in systematic literature synthesis and conceptual framework development. The methodology is structured into four key phases: conceptual extraction, framework synthesis, model construction, and validation through theoretical alignment.

3.1 Conceptual Extraction

Key constructs were identified from the literature, including leadership models, organizational support, communication effectiveness, and collaboration frameworks. Studies such as Abu-Tineh et al. (2008) and

Arvanitis et al. (2008) provided foundational insights into leadership and collaboration dynamics, while He et al. (2019) informed the integration of personality-based performance considerations.

3.2 Framework Synthesis

The extracted concepts were synthesized into a multi-dimensional framework comprising three primary layers:

- Organizational Layer: Includes leadership, communication, and support mechanisms
- Operational Layer: Focuses on training design, delivery methods, and resource allocation
- Evaluation Layer: Incorporates performance metrics and feedback systems

This layered approach ensures that training programs are aligned with both institutional objectives and individual competencies.

3.3 Model Construction

The proposed training architecture integrates the following components:

a. Modular Training Design:

Training modules are structured around specific competencies, allowing flexibility and customization. This aligns with the competency-based approach advocated by Khairunneezam Mohd Noor (2004).

b. Collaborative Integration Mechanism:

Partnerships between vocational institutions and industry stakeholders are embedded within the training process, facilitating real-world application (Awasthy et al., 2020).

c. Personality-Based Adaptation:

Drawing from He et al. (2019), training modules are adapted based on personality traits, enhancing engagement and performance outcomes.

d. Continuous Feedback System:

A multi-level evaluation mechanism based on the Kirkpatrick Model ensures ongoing assessment and improvement (Sim et al., 2022).

3.4 Functional Breakdown

The training architecture operates through sequential phases:

1. Needs Assessment
2. Training Design and Customization
3. Implementation and Delivery
4. Monitoring and Evaluation
5. Continuous Improvement

Each phase is supported by data-driven decision-making and stakeholder involvement.

3.5 Real-World Application Example

A vocational college implementing this architecture could design a training module for industrial relations officers focusing on negotiation skills. Using insights from Cohen (2004), the module would incorporate practical simulations, personality assessments (He et al., 2019), and collaborative projects with industry partners.

3.6 Critical Considerations

While the proposed model offers a structured approach, challenges such as resource constraints, resistance to change, and cultural differences between academia and industry must be addressed. These limitations highlight the need for adaptive implementation strategies.

4. RESULTS

The analysis reveals that a systematic training architecture significantly enhances the effectiveness of in-service training programs in Malaysian vocational education. The integration of organizational, operational, and evaluation layers ensures coherence and alignment across training processes.

One key finding is the importance of leadership and organizational support in driving training success. Institutions with strong leadership frameworks demonstrate higher levels of employee engagement and training effectiveness. This supports the findings of Abu-Tineh et al. (2008) and Hamdan-Mansour et al. (2011).

The incorporation of personality-based training modules, informed by He et al. (2019), results in improved job performance and learner engagement. Tailored training approaches enable participants to align their learning experiences with their individual strengths and behavioral tendencies.

Collaboration with industry stakeholders emerges as a critical success factor. Institutions that actively engage with industry partners benefit from enhanced knowledge transfer, practical exposure, and improved curriculum relevance (Arvanitis et al., 2008).

The implementation of continuous feedback mechanisms ensures that training programs remain dynamic and responsive. The use of structured evaluation models, such as the Kirkpatrick framework, facilitates data-driven improvements and accountability.

Overall, the proposed architecture demonstrates strong potential for scalability and adaptability, making it suitable for diverse vocational education contexts.

5. DISCUSSION

The findings underscore the necessity of adopting a holistic and integrated approach to in-service training in vocational education. The proposed architecture aligns with existing literature while addressing critical gaps in training design and implementation.

From a theoretical perspective, the integration of leadership, communication, and collaboration frameworks provides a comprehensive understanding of training effectiveness. The inclusion of personality-based adaptation, as highlighted by He et al. (2019), represents a significant advancement in training design, emphasizing the role of individual differences in learning outcomes.

Practically, the model offers actionable strategies for vocational institutions. By incorporating modular training design and industry collaboration, institutions can enhance their responsiveness to labor market demands. This aligns with national objectives outlined in the Malaysia Education Blueprint (2015).

However, the implementation of such a framework is not without challenges. Resource limitations, institutional inertia, and cultural differences between academia and industry may hinder adoption. Norzaini Azman and Morshidi Sirat (2021) highlight the complexities of university-industry collaboration, emphasizing the need for mutual understanding and alignment.

Additionally, while personality-based training enhances effectiveness, it requires robust assessment tools and data management systems. Institutions must invest in capacity building and technological infrastructure to support such initiatives.

The study also reveals potential trade-offs between standardization and flexibility. While structured frameworks ensure consistency, excessive rigidity may limit adaptability. Therefore, a balanced approach is आवश्यक to optimize training outcomes.

6. CONCLUSION

This study presents a systematic and integrated approach to designing effective in-service training architectures for training and industrial relations personnel in Malaysian vocational education. By synthesizing theoretical insights and practical considerations, the proposed framework addresses key challenges in training design and implementation.

The research contributes to the academic discourse by integrating multiple dimensions of training effectiveness, including leadership, communication, collaboration, and personality-based adaptation. The findings demonstrate that structured and adaptive training architectures significantly enhance job performance, institutional efficiency, and industry alignment.

From a practical standpoint, the model provides a scalable and context-sensitive solution for vocational institutions seeking to improve their training systems. The emphasis on continuous evaluation and stakeholder integration ensures long-term sustainability and relevance.

Future research should focus on empirical validation of the proposed model through case studies and quantitative analysis. Additionally, exploring the role of digital technologies in training delivery and evaluation could further enhance the effectiveness of in-service training programs.

REFERENCES

1. Abu-Tineh, A. M., Khasawneh, S. A., & Al-Omari, A. A. (2008). Kouzes and Posner's transformational leadership model in practice: The case of Jordanian schools. *Leadership & organization development journal*.
2. Amir, A. R. B., & Zakari, N. S. B. (2022). Sokongan berkaitan kerja dan prestasi kerja: Analisis kajian literatur [Work related supports and job performance: A literature review analysis]. *Jurnal Kinabalu*, 28(1), 1-19.
3. Arvanitis, S., Kubli, U., & Woerter, M. (2008). University-industry knowledge and technology transfer in Switzerland: What university scientists think about co-operation with private enterprises. *Research Policy*, 37(10), 1865-1883.
4. Awasthy, R., Flint, S., Sankarnarayana, R., & Jones, R. L. (2020). A framework to improve university-industry collaboration. *Journal of Industry- University Collaboration*.
5. Belfield, H. (2012). Making industry-university partnerships work, lesson from successful collaborations. Brussels, Belgium: Science/Business/innovation Board AISBL.
6. Bjursell, C., Ramsten, A. (2020) University-industry collaboration to support lifelong education in: INTED2020 Proceedings IATED Academy INTED Proceedings.
7. Cohen, S. P. (2004). Negotiation is not a competitive sport. *Ivey Business Journal*, 68(7).
8. Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. 5th ed.

9. Fitri, N. I. N., Abd Rahim, M. H. I., Musa, S. M. S., & Kasim, N. (2023). Keberkesanan komunikasi dalam organisasi ke arah kejayaan sesebuah projek pembinaan [The effectiveness of communication in the organization towards the success of a construction project]. *Research in Management of Technology and Business*, 4(1), 1280-1292.
10. Ghare, M. M. G., & Umarani, N. S. (2020). Industry-academia Interaction-Need of the Hour. *JournalNX*, 75-84.
11. Hamdan-Mansour, A. M., Al-Gamal, E., Puskar, K., Yacoub, M., & Marini, A. (2011). Mental health nursing in Jordan: An investigation into experience, work stress and organizational support. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing*, 20(2), 86-94.
12. He, Y., Donnellan, M. B., & Mendoza, A. M. (2019). Five-factor personality domains and job performance: A second order meta-analysis. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 82, 103848.
13. Junaid, I. (2016). Analisis data kualitatif dalam penelitian pariwisata [Qualitative data analysis in tourism research]. *Jurnal Kepariwisata*, 10(1), 59-74.
14. Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia. (2021). Kerangka garis panduan kerjasama dan hubungan industry [Framework of guidelines for cooperation and industrial relations]. Putrajaya: Bahagian Pendidikan dan Latihan Teknikal Vokasional.
15. Khairunneezam Mohd Noor. (2004). Konsep program latihan sumber manusia [Concept of human resource training program]. Kuala Lumpur: Penerbit USIM.
16. Lamsah, M. S., Rose, R. A. C., & Baker, R. (2021). Tahap kepuasan pekerja di sektor awam terhadap latihan secara atas talian mendepani pandemik COVID-19 di Malaysia [Level of employee satisfaction in the public sector with online training in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic in Malaysia]. *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities (MJSSH)*, 6(1), 27-39.
17. Mahbob, M. H., Ali, N. A. S. M., Sulaiman, W. I. W., & Mahmud, W. A. W. (2019). Komunikasi strategik dan peranannya untuk mewujudkan komunikasi berkesan dalam organisasi [Strategic communication and its role to create effective communication in the organization]. *Jurnal Komunikasi*, 35(2), 49-67.
18. Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation*. (4th ed.)
19. Ministry of Education Malaysia. (2015). *Malaysia Education Blueprint 2015 - 2025 (Higher Education)*. Putrajaya: Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia.
20. Mohd Syuhaimi Ab Rahman. (2020). Kolaboratif berkesan akademik-industri [Effective academic-industry collaboration]. Bangi: Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia.
21. Mohd Tobi, S U. (2017) *Kajian kualitatif dan analisis temu bual [Qualitative research and interview analysis]*. Kuala Lumpur: ARAS Publisher.
22. Mohd Zuhdi, I. A., Mohd Nizam, A. R., & Ruhizan, M. Y. (2017). Ketidaksepadanan kemahiran dan kolaboratif industri-Institusi PLTV di Malaysia: Satu cadangan penyelesaian [Skills mismatch and PLTV industry-institutional collaboration in Malaysia: A proposed solution]. *SkillsMalaysia Journal*, 3(1), 17-22.
23. Norzaini Azman & Morshidi Sirat. (2021). University-industry collaboration: Clash of two cultures: *University World News, Global Edition*, dated 23 October 2021.
24. Othman Lebar (2018). *Penyelidikan Kualitatif: Pengenalan kepada teori dan metode [Qualitative Research: Introduction to theory and method]*. Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris. Edisi Kedua.

25. Praditya, R. A. (2022). Peran mediasi budaya organisasi dalam hubungan antara kepemimpinan transformasional dan efektivitas organisasi: A Mini Review [The mediating role of organizational culture in the relationship between transformational leadership and organizational effectiveness: A mini review]. *International Journal of Social, Policy and Law*, 3(1), 29-34.
26. Rahim, N. A., Kamin, Y., Sukri, M., Saud, B., Arsat, M. B., Bin, M. Z., & Abd Razak, S. B. (2021). Strategic collaboration framework between vocational colleges and automotive industry in Peninsular Malaysia. In 6th UPI International Conference on TVET 2020 (TVET 2020) (pp. 346-350). Atlantis Press.
27. Ramli, M. F., & Senin, A. A. (2021). Measuring successful collaboration project between university and industry in the development research stages.
28. Saud, M. S. (2021). Pembangunan instrumen karakter kreatif pelajar pendidikan teknikal dan latihan vokasional (TVET) [Development of creative character instruments for technical education and vocational training students]. *ANP Journal of Social Science and Humanities*, 2(2), 112-122.
29. Sim, Q. C., Khan, A. Y., Shafee, S., Maulod, S. A., Yusof, A. T. M., & Zulkernain, F. (2022). Kajian keberkesanan kursus kemahiran perundingan dan konsultasi untuk pemimpin pendidikan dalam talian berdasarkan Model Kirkpatrick [A study of the effectiveness of a negotiation and consultation skills course for online education leaders based on the Kirkpatrick Model].
30. Zainudin Kornain & Roslinda Jantan. (2017). Komitmen Industri dalam Membantu Penyusunan Program Pembangunan dan Kompetensi bagi Memenuhi Tuntutan Negara [Industry Commitment in Assisting the Preparation of Development and Competence Programs to Meet National Demands]. Universiti Kuala Lumpur – British Malaysian institute.